



Supplement of

Interactions between biogeochemical and management factors explain soil organic carbon in Pyrenean grasslands

Antonio Rodríguez et al.

Correspondence to: Antonio Rodríguez (antonio.arharh@gmail.com)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

2 Table S1: Variables considered in this study.

| Variable | Description | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Regional variables | | | | |
| Climate variables | | | | |
| МАР | Mean Annual Precipitation, mm. | | | |
| MSP | Mean Summer Precipitation, mm. | | | |
| MAT | Mean Annual Temperature, ºC. | | | |
| MST | Mean Summer Temperature, ºC. | | | |
| TSIS | MST-MAT. | | | |
| Bedrock | 3 cathegories : Basic (marls and calcareous rocks), Acidic (mostly sandstones and | | | |
| beuroek | slates) or Mixed. | | | |
| Landscape variables | | | | |
| Topographical variables | | | | |
| Slope | Pendent, º. | | | |
| Aspect | Cos(º) | | | |
| Macrotopography | Protected; north-facing slopes; Exposed, south-facing slopes. | | | |
| Microtopography | Flat areas, convexities or mounds, and concavities, convexities or smooth areas. | | | |
| Soil type variables | | | | |
| Sand10 | Percentage of sands in the 10 cm upper layer (%). | | | |
| Clay | Percentage of clays in the 10 cm upper layer (%). | | | |
| Loam | Percentage of loams in the 10 cm upper layer (%). | | | |
| рН | pH value in soil 10 cm upper layer. | | | |
| Management variables | | | | |
| Management | Grazer type : Cattle, Sheep, Mixed | | | |
| Grazing | Grazing intensity, (units of big grazer (UBG ha-1) low (1; lower than 0.2 UBG ha-1), | | | |
| Grazing | medium (2; between 0.2-0.4 UBG ha-1) and high (3; up to 0.4 UBG ha-1). | | | |

Soil nutrient variables

| Soil N | N in soil 20 cm upper layer. (%). |
|---------|--|
| C/N | Soil C/N ratio |
| P10 | Cations of P10 in soil 10 cm upper layer. (ppm). |
| К10 | Cations of K10 in soil 10 cm upper layer. (ppm). |
| Herbage | |
| Abiom | Avoveground biomass in g/m ² |
| ADL | Lignin concentration by the acid detergent lingin method (%/DM). |
| ADF | Fiber concentration by the acid detergent fiber method (%/DM). |
| NDF | Fiber concentration by the neutro detergent fiber method (%/DM). |
| NH | Nitrogen in the herbage (%/DM). |
| СН | Carbon in the herbage (%/DM) |
| CH/NH | CH/NH |
| ADL/NH | ADL/NH |
| NDF/CP | NDF/CP (CP: crude protein) |
| SOC20 | Soil Organic Carbon stocks in the 20 cm upper layer (kg m ⁻²). |

3

4

5 Table S2: Minimum, maximum, median and mean values of the continuous predictors of this 6 study. Units are shown in Table S1. MAT: mean annual temperature; MST: mean summer 7 temperature; TSIS: mean summer temperature minus mean annual temperature; MAP: mean 8 annual precipitation; MSP: mean summer precipitation; Slope: terrain slope; Aspect:; Sand: sand 9 content; Loam: loam content; Clay: clay content; pH: soil pH; Soil N: soil nitrogen; Soil P: soil 10 phosphorus; Soil C/N: soil carbon to nitrogen ratio; Soil Mg: soil magnesium; Soil K: soil 11 potassium; NDF: neutro-detergent fibre; ADF: acid-detergent fibre; ADL: acid-detergent lignin; 12 NH: nitrogen in the herbage; CH: carbon in the herbage; CH/NH: carbon to nitrogen ratio in the 13 herbage; Abiom: aboveground biomass; NDF/CP: neutro-detergent fibre to crude protein ratio;

14 ADL/NH: acid-detergent lignin to nitrogen in the herbage ratio.

| | Minimum | Maximum | Median | Mean |
|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| MAT | 1.08 | 9.90 | 4.72 | 4.96 |
| MST | 7.88 | 16.93 | 12.23 | 12.47 |
| TSIS | 6.80 | 7.80 | 7.58 | 7.51 |
| MAP | 964 | 1586 | 1252 | 1242.91 |
| MSP | 169.00 | 258.00 | 235.00 | 228.90 |
| Slope | 0.00 | 35.00 | 16.50 | 16.88 |
| Aspect | 1.00 | 3.00 | 1.84 | 2.05 |
| Sand | 3.10 | 72.20 | 32.80 | 32.67 |
| Loam | 13.60 | 73.50 | 38.60 | 39.80 |
| Clay | 2.90 | 68.60 | 27.25 | 27.53 |
| рН | 3.90 | 7.80 | 5.47 | 5.74 |
| Soil N | 0.11 | 1.10 | 0.46 | 0.47 |
| Soil P | 4.00 | 54.00 | 11.00 | 12.98 |
| Soil C/N | 4.13 | 41.60 | 12.47 | 13.39 |
| Soil Mg | 2.89 | 5.99 | 4.99 | 4.92 |
| Soil K | 3.40 | 6.84 | 4.99 | 5.03 |
| NDF | 31.20 | 78.90 | 52.45 | 52.08 |
| ADF | 17.70 | 46.60 | 29.55 | 30.07 |
| ADL | 1.16 | 12.72 | 6.32 | 6.63 |
| NH | 0.48 | 3.03 | 1.66 | 1.63 |
| СН | 22.60 | 49.10 | 45.15 | 44.53 |
| CH/NH | 13.90 | 97.20 | 26.60 | 31.14 |
| | | | | |

| Abiom | 64.52 | 1224 | 308.32 | 341.91 |
|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| NDF/CP | 2.15 | 17.20 | 4.77 | 5.71 |
| ADL/NH | 0.50 | 14.02 | 3.92 | 4.78 |

- Table S3: Chemical composition of herbage samples used for NIRS calibration. DM: dry matter;
 MM: mineral matter or ash content; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutro-detergent fibre; ADF: acid-
- 19 detergent fibre; ADL: acid-detergent lignin; NH: nitrogen in the herbage; CH: carbon in the
- 20 herbage.

| Parameter, % | Ν | Min. | Max. | Mean | SD |
|--------------|----|-------|-------|-------|------|
| DM | 67 | 91.60 | 96.73 | 93.48 | 1.39 |
| MM (Ash) | 67 | 3.58 | 19.73 | 10.10 | 3.98 |
| СР | 67 | 5.50 | 14.67 | 9.29 | 1.90 |
| NDF | 67 | 36.82 | 73.11 | 55.42 | 9.27 |
| ADF | 67 | 21.95 | 41.97 | 30.00 | 4.70 |
| ADL | 67 | 3.35 | 12.52 | 6.18 | 2.08 |
| NH | 55 | 0.75 | 2.10 | 1.44 | 0.31 |
| СН | 55 | 36.83 | 51.13 | 45.10 | 2.99 |

Table S4: Calibration and cross validation statistics for predicting the chemical composition
parameters in herbage samples by NIRS analysis. DM: dry matter; MM: mineral matter or ash
content; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutro-detergent fibre; ADF: acid-detergent fibre; ADL: aciddetergent lignin; NH: nitrogen in the herbage; CH: carbon in the herbage.

| Parameter | Math ^a | Scatter ^b | R ² | r ² | SEC | SECV | RPD |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|------|
| | treatment | correction | | | | | |
| DM | 2,4,4,1 | DT | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.392 | 0.539 | 2.58 |
| Ash | 2,4,4,1 | MSC | 0.83 | 0.70 | 1.583 | 0.830 | 4.80 |
| СР | 2,4,4,1 | SNV | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.331 | 0.451 | 4.21 |
| NDF | 2,4,4,1 | DT | 0.83 | 0.72 | 3.756 | 4.728 | 1.96 |

| ADF | 2,4,4,1 | DT | 0.81 | 0.70 | 2.031 | 2.548 | 1.84 |
|-----|---------|-----|------|------|-------|-------|------|
| ADL | 2,4,4,1 | MSC | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.900 | 1.178 | 1.77 |
| Ν | 2,4,4,1 | MSC | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.055 | 0.068 | 4.56 |
| С | 2,4,4,1 | MSC | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.422 | 0.581 | 5.15 |

R² = coefficient of determination for calibration. r² = coefficient of determination for cross
 validation. SEC = standard error of calibration. SECV = standard error of cross validation. RPD = ratio
 of performance to deviation (RPD=SD/SECV).

Table S5: Variance inflation values for the continuous predictors included in the GLMs. Values
under 5 are considered non-problematic (Heiberger, 2017). MAP: mean annual precipitation;
TSIS: mean summer temperature minus mean annual temperature; Slope: terrain slope; Clay:
clay content; Soil C/N: soil carbon to nitrogen ratio; soil N: soil nitrogen; NDF: neutro-

37 detergent fibre; ADL/NH: acid-detergent lignin to nitrogen in the herbage ratio.

| Predictor | MAP | MMT | Slope | Clay | Log(soil | Soil | NDF | ADL/NH |
|-------------|------|------|-------|------|----------|------|------|--------|
| | | | | | C/N) | Ν | | |
| Geophysical | 1.26 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.22 | - | - | - | - |
| model | | | | | | | | |
| Complete | - | 1.26 | 1.32 | - | 1.58 | 1.82 | 1.32 | 1.67 |
| model | | | | | | | | |



41 Figure S1: Map of the study area. Points indicate sampling locations.



RFE deviance - SOC20 - folds = 100



Figure S3: Changes in the predictive deviance of BRT models by backward removal of its
predictors. The solid line indicates the mean change in predictive deviance, and the dotted line
the standard error, calculated over the 10 folds of the cross-validation. Solid vertical line
indicates the variables removed for the second fit. Dotted vertical line indicates minimum
change in predictive deviance. Dotted horizontal line indicates mean change in predictive
deviance.







Figure S4: Histogram and normal Q-Q plot of A) SOC and B) log(SOC). Result of Shapiro Wilk W
test result were W = 0.948; p-value < 0.001 and W = 0.99; p-value = 0.18 respectively. SOC: soil
organic carbon.







73 Figure S6: Relative contributions of variable groups in the linear model explaining Soil Organic

74 Carbon, using regional, landscape and management predictors. MAP: mean annual

75 precipitation; TSIS: mean summer temperature minus mean annual temperature; Slope:

76 terrain slope; Exposed: Exposed position according to Macrotopography; Clay: clay content;

77 Low and medium intensity: Low and medium intensity according to Grazing intensity.



80 Figure S7: Relative contributions of variable groups in the linear model explaining Soil Organic

81 Carbon using regional, landscape, management and biochemical predictors. MAP: mean

82 annual precipitation; TSIS: mean summer temperature minus mean annual temperature;

83 Slope: terrain slope; Cattle and Mixed: Cattle and mixed management according to grazing

species; Low and medium intensity: Low and medium intensity according to Grazing intensity;

Soil C/N: soil carbon to nitrogen ratio; soil N: soil nitrogen; NDF: neutro-detergent fibre;

86 ADL/NH: acid-detergent lignin to nitrogen in the herbage ratio.



Figure S8: Partial dependence plots for the 15 selected predictors in the BRT model. Y axes are
centred to have zero mean over data distribution. Values (solid lines) are predictions of the
model across the predictor's range maintaining the rest of the predictors at their average
values. Grey areas around prediction lines indicate 95% bootstrap confidence intervals. Soil N:
soil nitrogen; Soil C/N: soil carbon to nitrogen ratio, Clay: clay content; Abiom: aboveground

- biomass; ADL: acid-detergent lignin; Silt: silt content; K: soil potassium; TSIS: mean summer
- 95 temperature minus mean annual temperature; NDF: neutro-detergent fibre; pH: soil pH; CH:
- 96 carbon in the herbage; Mg: soil magnesium; Slope: terrain slope; MAP: mean annual
- 97 precipitation; ADF: acid detergent fibre. See Table S1 for more details about variables.



100 Figure S9: Pairwise Pearson's correlations between climate variables. MST: mean summer

101 temperature; MWT: mean winter temperature; MAT: mean annual temperature; TSIS: inter-

102 annual seasonality measured as MST-MAT.