

QUASI-GREEDY BASES IN ℓ_p ($0 < p < 1$) ARE DEMOCRATIC

FERNANDO ALBIAC, JOSÉ L. ANSORENA,
AND PRZEMYSŁAW WOJTASZCZYK

ABSTRACT. The list of known Banach spaces whose linear geometry determines the (nonlinear) democracy functions of their quasi-greedy bases to the extent that they end up being democratic, reduces to c_0 , ℓ_2 , and all separable \mathcal{L}_1 -spaces. Oddly enough, these are the only Banach spaces that, when they have an unconditional basis, it is unique. Our aim in this paper is to study the connection between quasi-greediness and democracy of bases in non-locally convex spaces. We prove that all quasi-greedy bases in ℓ_p for $0 < p < 1$ (which also has a unique unconditional basis) are democratic with fundamental function of the same order as $(m^{1/p})_{m=1}^\infty$. The methods we develop allow us to obtain even more, namely that the same occurs in any separable \mathcal{L}_p -space, $0 < p < 1$, with the bounded approximation property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of greedy-like bases from a functional analytic point of view sprang from the celebrated characterization of greedy bases in Banach spaces as those bases that are simultaneously unconditional and democratic [25]. Since Konyagin and Temlyakov's foundational result, several authors have considered derived forms of unconditionality and democracy which, either combined or separately, have given rise to new types of bases of interest both in approximation theory and in functional analysis. In this paper we are concerned with the possible connections between the properties of unconditionality and democracy

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B15, 46A16, 41A65.

Key words and phrases. quasi-greedy basis, democratic basis, democracy functions, quasi-Banach spaces, sequence spaces.

F. Albiac acknowledges the support of the Spanish Ministry for Science and Innovation under Grant PID2019-107701GB-I00 for *Operators, lattices, and structure of Banach spaces*. F. Albiac and J. L. Ansorena acknowledge the support of the Spanish Ministry for Science, Innovation, and Universities under Grant PGC2018-095366-B-I00 for *Análisis Vectorial, Multilineal y Aproximación*. P. Wojtaszczyk was supported by National Science Centre, Poland grant UMO-2016/21/B/ST1/00241.

(or some of its variations) in the general framework of quasi-Banach spaces.

We shall start by recalling the main concepts that we will need and setting the terminology.

Throughout this paper, a *basis* of a quasi-Banach space (in particular, a Banach space) \mathbb{X} over the real or complex scalar field \mathbb{F} will be a norm-bounded sequence $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ that generates the entire space \mathbb{X} and for which there is a (unique) bounded sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ in the dual space \mathbb{X}^* such that $(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a biorthogonal system. A basic sequence in \mathbb{X} will be a sequence which is a basis of its closed linear span. According to this definition, a basic sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{X} is semi-normalized, i.e., it satisfies

$$0 < \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathbf{x}_n\| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathbf{x}_n\| < \infty.$$

Note that semi-normalized Schauder bases are a particular case of bases.

For a fixed sequence $\gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N}$, let us consider the map

$$S_\gamma = S_\gamma[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]: \text{span}(\mathbf{x}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \mathbf{x}_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^\infty \gamma_n a_n \mathbf{x}_n.$$

The basis \mathcal{X} is *unconditional* if S_γ is well-defined on \mathbb{X} for all $\gamma \in \ell_\infty$ and

$$K_u = K_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] := \sup_{\|\gamma\|_\infty \leq 1} \|S_\gamma\| < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

If \mathcal{X} is unconditional, K_u is called the unconditional basis constant. Now, given $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define the *coordinate projection* onto A (with respect to the basis \mathcal{X}) as

$$S_A = S_{\gamma_A}[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}],$$

where $\gamma_A = (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence defined by $\gamma_n = 1$ if $n \in A$ and $\gamma_n = 0$ otherwise. It is known (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 1.10]) that \mathcal{X} is unconditional if and only if it is *suppression unconditional*, i.e.,

$$\sup\{\|S_A\| : A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ finite}\} < \infty.$$

Given a basis $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{X} , the *coefficient transform*

$$\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N}, \quad f \mapsto (\mathbf{x}_n^*(f))_{n=1}^\infty$$

is a bounded linear operator from \mathbb{X} into c_0 , hence for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a unique $A = A_m(f) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of cardinality $|A| = m$ such that whenever $n \in A$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus A$, either $|a_n| > |a_k|$ or $|a_n| = |a_k|$ and

$n < k$. The m th greedy approximation to $f \in \mathbb{X}$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{X} is

$$\mathcal{G}_m(f) = \mathcal{G}_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](f) := S_{A_m(f)}(f).$$

Note that the operators $(\mathcal{G}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ defining the greedy algorithm on \mathbb{X} with respect to \mathcal{X} are not linear nor continuous. The basis \mathcal{X} is said to be *quasi-greedy* if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{G}_m(f)\| \leq C\|f\|, \quad f \in \mathbb{X}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Equivalently, by [37, Theorem 1] (see also [2, Theorem 3.1]), these are precisely the bases for which the greedy algorithm merely converges, i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}_m(f) = f \text{ for all } f \in \mathbb{X}.$$

This characterization shows that if the basis \mathcal{X} is quasi-greedy then its coefficient transform is one-to-one, i.e., \mathcal{X} is a Markushevich basis (see [2, Corollary 3.5]).

Unconditional bases are a special kind of quasi-greedy bases, and although the converse is not true in general, quasi-greedy bases always retain in a certain sense a flavour of unconditionality. For example, they are *suppression unconditional for constant coefficients* (or SUCC, for short), i.e., there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that whenever A, B are finite subsets of \mathbb{N} with $A \subseteq B$ and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in B}$ are signs (i.e., scalars of modulus one) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|$$

(see [2, Lemma 2.2] and [37, Proposition 2]). If the basis is SUCC then there is another constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \theta_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq C \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \tag{1.2}$$

for all finite subsets A of \mathbb{N} and all choice of signs $(\theta_n)_{n \in A}$ and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in A}$.

In turn, a basis $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of a quasi-Banach space \mathcal{X} is said to be *democratic* if blocks of \mathcal{X} of the same size have uniformly comparable quasi-norms, i.e., there is a constant $D \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq D \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|,$$

for any two finite subsets A, B of \mathbb{N} with $|A| = |B|$. The lack of democracy of a basis \mathcal{X} exhibits some sort of asymmetry. To measure

how much a basis \mathcal{X} deviates from being democratic, we consider its *upper democracy function*, also known as its *fundamental function*,

$$\varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) := \varphi_u(m) = \sup_{|A| \leq m} \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and its *lower democracy function*,

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) := \varphi_l(m) = \inf_{|A| \geq m} \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

If \mathcal{X} is SUCC then $\varphi_l(m) \lesssim \varphi_u(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, hence \mathcal{X} is democratic if and only $\varphi_u(m) \lesssim \varphi_l(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for any set A with $|A| = m$ we have

$$\inf_{|A|=m} \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

in which case it is *super-democratic*, i.e., there is a constant $D \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \theta_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\| \leq D \left\| \sum_{n \in B} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|$$

for any two finite subsets A, B of \mathbb{N} with $|A| = |B|$, and any signs $(\theta_n)_{n \in A}$ and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in B}$. Here, and throughout this paper, the symbol $\alpha_j \lesssim \beta_j$ for $j \in J$ means that there is a positive constant $C < \infty$ such that the families of non-negative real numbers $(\alpha_j)_{j \in J}$ and $(\beta_j)_{j \in J}$ are related by the inequality $\alpha_j \leq C\beta_j$ for all $j \in J$. If $\alpha_j \lesssim \beta_j$ and $\beta_j \lesssim \alpha_j$ for $j \in J$ we say $(\alpha_j)_{j \in J}$ and $(\beta_j)_{j \in J}$ are equivalent, and we write $\alpha_j \approx \beta_j$ for $j \in J$.

Quasi-greedy vs. democratic bases. In general, quasi-greedy (or even unconditional) bases need not be democratic and, conversely, democratic bases may not be quasi-greedy. Thus, these two properties are a priori independent of each other and they can be thought of as the two pillars that sustain the entire theory of greedy approximation using bases. Indeed, apart from the aforementioned characterization of greedy bases in terms of unconditionality and democracy, this claim is supported by the characterization of almost greedy basis as those bases that are at the same time quasi-greedy and democratic [12].

In order to investigate the connection between quasi-greediness and democracy, it is very natural to ask in which way the geometry of the space affects the democracy functions of quasi-greedy bases. For instance, although the democracy functions $\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]$ and $\varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]$ may vary as we consider different quasi-greedy bases \mathcal{X} within the same

space \mathbb{X} , there exist spaces for which all quasi-greedy bases have essentially the same democracy functions. The first result in this direction appeared in [37] where it was proved that for any quasi-greedy basis \mathcal{B} in ℓ_2 we have

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \ell_2](m) \approx m^{1/2} \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \ell_2](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.4)$$

so that all quasi-greedy bases of ℓ_2 are democratic.

Subsequently, Dilworth et al. proved that the unit vector system is, up to equivalence, the unique quasi-greedy basis of c_0 (see [11, Corollary 8.6]), hence formally speaking all quasi-greedy bases \mathcal{X} in c_0 are democratic with $\varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, c_0] \approx \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, c_0] \approx 1$. In this case even more can be said, namely that c_0 is the unique Banach space whose dual is a GT space and has a quasi-greedy basis ([11, Proposition 8.1]). In particular, c_0 is the unique \mathcal{L}_∞ -space with a quasi-greedy basis. In this line of thought, Dilworth et al. [13] achieved the following result, which applies, in particular to ℓ_1 and L_1 .

Theorem 1.1 ([13, Theorem 4.2]). *Suppose that \mathcal{X} is a quasi-greedy basis of a GT space \mathbb{X} . Then \mathcal{X} is democratic with*

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \approx m \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The non-specialist reader will find in the Appendix (see Section 4) the necessary information on \mathcal{L}_p -spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and GT spaces.

Let us next summarize the interplay between quasi-greediness and democracy for bases in the spaces ℓ_p and $L_p = L_p([0, 1])$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$. Despite the fact that any super-democratic (in particular, democratic and quasi-greedy) basis of ℓ_p satisfies

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p](m) \approx m^{1/p} \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

(see [1, Corollary 2.7]), ℓ_p possesses quasi-greedy bases that are not democratic. Roughly speaking this could be interpreted by saying that the linear structure of ℓ_p for $p \neq 2, 1$ is less restrictive on the (nonlinear) democracy functions of quasi-greedy bases of the space, and in fact the only geometric features that shed any information in this respect are the Rademacher type and cotype. Indeed, a similar argument to the one used in [37] to obtain (1.4) yields that all SUCC bases \mathcal{X} of a quasi-Banach \mathbb{X} with type $0 < q \leq 2$ and cotype $r \geq 2$ satisfy

$$m^{1/r} \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \lesssim m^{1/q}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.5)$$

(cf. [1, Lemma 2.5]). In the case when $\mathbb{X} = \ell_p$ or $\mathbb{X} = L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, these estimates are sharp. Indeed, it is well-known (see ([33]) that for $1 < p < \infty$, the space ℓ_p is isomorphic to $\mathbb{X}_p = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_2^n)_{\ell_p}$. Hence, the canonical basis of \mathbb{X}_p provides (through the isomorphism)

an unconditional, hence quasi-greedy, basis of ℓ_p with $\varphi_l(m) \approx m^{1/r}$ and $\varphi_u(m) \approx m^{1/q}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $r = \min\{p, 2\}$ is the optimal type of ℓ_p and $q = \max\{p, 2\}$ is its optimal cotype.

As far the space $L_p([0, 1])$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, is concerned we point out that, unlike ℓ_p , this space possesses democratic quasi-greedy bases with different fundamental functions. To see this it is convenient to recall the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (see [32, Theorem 1.4] and [13, Theorem 1.4]). *There is an orthogonal system $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in L_2 with $\sup_n \|\psi_n\|_\infty < \infty$ such that Ψ is a quasi-greedy basis of L_p for each $1 < p < \infty$.*

Now, on one hand, if $1 < p < \infty$ and Ψ is as in Theorem 1.2, by [3, Proposition 2.5] we have

$$\varphi_l[\Psi, L_p](m) \approx m^{1/2} \approx \varphi_m[\Psi, L_p](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

On the other hand, the L_p -normalized Haar system $\mathcal{H}^{(p)}$ is a unconditional and democratic basis of L_p with

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{H}^{(p)}, L_p](m) \approx m^{1/p} \approx \varphi_m[\mathcal{H}^{(p)}, L_p](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

(see [35]). In contrast, since any unconditional basis of L_p possesses a subbasis equivalent to the unit vector system of ℓ_p (see [19]), any democratic unconditional basis \mathcal{X} of L_p satisfies

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, L_p](m) \approx m^{1/p} \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, L_p](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since ℓ_2 is a complemented subspace of L_p , applying [16, Proposition 6.1] yields that the direct sum of $\mathcal{H}^{(p)}$ and the unit vector system of ℓ_2 is an unconditional basis (hence, quasi-greedy) basis of (a space isomorphic to) L_p with $\varphi_l(m) \approx m^{1/r}$ and $\varphi_u(m) \approx m^{1/q}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us also mention that every \mathcal{L}_p -space \mathbb{X} other than ℓ_p has a democratic quasi-greedy basis with fundamental function equivalent to $(m^{1/s})_{m=1}^\infty$ for $s \in \{2, p\}$ (see [4, Example 4.6]).

The above examples show that the connection between democracy and quasi-greediness of bases in \mathcal{L}_p -spaces for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is by now completely understood. The attentive reader might have noticed a pattern here, namely that the only indices $p \in [1, \infty]$ for which all quasi-greedy bases of ℓ_p (with the convention that ℓ_∞ means c_0) are democratic coincide with the values of p for which ℓ_p has a unique unconditional basis ([26, 30, 31]).

Motivated by those results, and also by the recent nontrivial extension to (not necessarily locally convex) quasi-Banach spaces of the characterization of almost greedy bases as democratic and quasi-greedy

(see [2, Theorem 5.3]), in this article we initiate the study of the connection between quasi-greediness and democracy of bases in the lack of local convexity of the underlying space. Since $L_p([0, 1])$ for $0 < p < 1$ has trivial dual (making it therefore impossible for L_p to have a basis), the first non-locally convex spaces that come to mind as objects of study are the spaces ℓ_p for $0 < p < 1$. Kalton proved that these spaces also have a unique unconditional basis (see [20]), hence it seems reasonable to conjecture that quasi-greedy bases in ℓ_p for $0 < p < 1$ will follow the pattern of quasi-greedy bases in ℓ_p for $p = 1, 2, \infty$, and will end up being democratic. Our guess was reinforced by the results obtained in the recent paper [5], where the authors construct a continuum of mutually permutatively nonequivalent quasi-greedy bases in each ℓ_p , and all of them are democratic. The main result of the present paper consists of confirming our conjecture by showing the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 < p < 1$. If \mathcal{X} is a quasi-greedy basis of ℓ_p then*

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p](m) \approx m^{1/p} \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, \mathcal{X} is democratic.

Note that the p -convexity of the space immediately yields that any basis \mathcal{X} of a p -Banach space \mathcal{X} , $0 < p \leq 1$, satisfies

$$\varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \lesssim m^{1/p}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.6)$$

So, the challenge with Theorem 1.3 consists on developing the specific tools that permit to show that when $0 < p < 1$, $m^{1/p} \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \ell_p](m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We will take care of this in Section 3. Prior to that, for the reader's sake, in Section 2 we gather the most relevant preliminary results. We close with an Appendix mainly devoted to providing a simplified and more direct proof of Theorem 1.1. This last section has a heuristic purpose and exhibits once again the fact that the methods used for the case $p = 1$ are rendered useless when the local convexity of the space is lifted.

We use standard facts and notation from Banach spaces and approximation theory (see, e.g., [6, 15]). The reader will find the required specialized background and notation on greedy-like bases in quasi-Banach spaces in [2].

2. PRELIMINARIES

A family of nonlinear operators of key relevance in the study of the convergence of the greedy algorithm $(\mathcal{G}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ in a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{X} with respect to a basis $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence $(\mathcal{U}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ of

restricted truncation operators, defined as follows. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the m th-restricted truncation operator $\mathcal{U}_m: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is the map

$$\mathcal{U}_m(f) = \mathcal{U}(f, A_m(f)), \quad f \in \mathbb{X},$$

where for each $f \in \mathbb{X}$ and each $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ finite,

$$\mathcal{U}(f, A) = \min_{n \in A} |\mathbf{x}_n^*(f)| \sum_{n \in A} \text{sign}(\mathbf{x}_n^*(f)) \mathbf{x}_n.$$

Here, as is customary, $\text{sign}(\cdot)$ denotes the sign function, i.e., $\text{sign}(0) = 1$ and $\text{sign}(a) = a/|a|$ if $a \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

A crucial property for our purposes is that quasi-greedy bases in quasi-Banach spaces have the *bounded restricted truncation operator property*, i.e., the operators $(\mathcal{U}_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ are uniformly bounded ([2, Theorem 3.13]).

Since a basis \mathcal{X} is unconditional if and only if it is suppression unconditional, to quantify the conditionality of a quasi-greedy basis in a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{X} we use the *conditionality constants* of the basis,

$$k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] = \sup_{|A| \leq m} \|S_A[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]\|, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

If \mathbb{X} is a p -Banach space, then the p -convexity of the space immediately yields $k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \lesssim m^{1/p}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and this is the best one can hope for in general. Indeed, the difference basis of ℓ_p given by $\mathbf{d}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{d}_n = \mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_{n-1}$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, satisfies $k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \geq (2m)^{1/p}$ for each m . As is customary, \mathbf{e}_n denotes the n th unit vector basis of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$, i.e., $\mathbf{e}_n = (\delta_{k,n})_{k=1}^\infty$, where $\delta_{k,n} = 1$ if $k = n$ and $\delta_{k,n} = 0$ otherwise. However, when the basis is quasi-greedy the size of the members of the sequence $(k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}])_{m=1}^\infty$ is controlled by a slowly growing function:

Theorem 2.1 (see [5, Theorem 5.3]). *Let \mathbb{X} be a p -Banach space, $0 < p \leq 1$. Suppose \mathcal{X} is a basis of \mathbb{X} with the bounded restricted truncation operator property. Then*

$$k_m[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}] \lesssim (1 + \log(m))^{1/p}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us next recall the basic ingredients and facts that we will need about embeddings via bases. Loosely speaking, this method aims at obtaining qualitative estimates on the symmetry of bases in \mathbb{X} by squeezing the space \mathbb{X} in between two symmetric sequence spaces that are sufficiently close to each other.

A *symmetric sequence space* will be a subset $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with a “gauge” $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{S}}: \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

- (q1) $\|f\|_{\mathbb{S}} > 0$ for all $f \neq 0$;
- (q2) $\|t f\|_{\mathbb{S}} = |t| \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}$ and all $f \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}}$;

- (q3) $\|(b_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq \|(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathbb{S}}$ whenever $|b_j| \leq |a_j|$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$;
 (q4) $\|\sum_{j \in A} \mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathbb{S}} < \infty$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ finite;
 (q5) if the sequence $(a_{j,k})_{j,k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing in k , then

$$\left\| \left(\lim_k a_{j,k} \right)_{j=1}^\infty \right\|_{\mathbb{S}} = \lim_k \|(a_{j,k})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathbb{S}};$$

- (q6) $\|(a_{\pi(j)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathbb{S}} = \|(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathbb{S}}$ for every permutation π of \mathbb{N} ;
 (q7) $\mathbb{S} = \{f \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}} : \|f\|_{\mathbb{S}} < \infty\}$.

Let \mathbb{S} be a symmetric sequence space and \mathbb{X} be a quasi-Banach space with a basis \mathcal{X} . Let us denote by \mathcal{F} the coefficient transform with respect to \mathcal{X} . The following terminology was introduced in [1].

- (a) We say that \mathbb{S} *embeds in* \mathbb{X} *via* \mathcal{X} , and put $\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X}$, if there is a constant C such that for every $g \in \mathbb{S}$ there is $f \in \mathbb{X}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(f) = g$, and we have $\|f\| \leq C\|g\|_{\mathbb{S}}$.
 (b) We say that \mathbb{X} *embeds in* \mathbb{S} *via* \mathcal{X} , and put $\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{S}$, if there is a constant C such that $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \mathbb{S}$ with $\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq C\|f\|$ for all $f \in \mathbb{X}$.

Given a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{F} with $\lim_n a_n = 0$, the non-increasing rearrangement of $(|a_j|)_{j=1}^\infty$ will be denoted by $(a_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers, and let $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be its *primitive weight* defined by $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $0 < q \leq \infty$, the *weighted Lorentz sequence space* $d_q(\mathbf{w})$ is the symmetric sequence space associated to the gauge $\|\cdot\|_{q,\mathbf{w}}$ defined for $f = (a_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ by

$$\|f\|_{q,\mathbf{w}} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^*)^q s_n^{q-1} w_n \right)^{1/q}, \quad \text{if } 0 < q < \infty,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\infty,\mathbf{w}} = \sup_n s_n a_n^*, \quad \text{if } q = \infty.$$

If $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is an increasing weight we denote by $\Delta \mathbf{s} = (s_n - s_{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$ its *difference weight* (with the convention that $s_0 = 0$). There is an obvious relation between primitive and difference weights: if $\mathbf{w} = \Delta \mathbf{s}$ then \mathbf{s} is the primitive weight of \mathbf{w} . Note that, if $\mathbf{s}_\alpha = (n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ then $d_q(\Delta \mathbf{s}_{1/p}) = \ell_{p,q}$, for all $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$.

The following lemma summarizes the connections between greedy-like bases and embeddings involving sequence Lorentz spaces. **We refer the reader to [1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 37] for the uses of this type of embeddings in the theory of non-linear approximation in Banach spaces with respect to bases.**

Lemma 2.2 (see [2, Theorem 8.12 and Corollary 8.13]). *Let \mathcal{X} be a basis of a q -Banach space \mathbb{X} , $0 < q \leq 1$. Let \mathbf{w} be a weight with primitive weight $\mathbf{s} = (s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.*

- (a) *Suppose the \mathcal{X} has the bounded restricted truncation operator property. Then $\mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} d_\infty(\mathbf{w})$ if and only if $s_m \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (b) *$d_q(\mathbf{w}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X}$ if and only if $\varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \lesssim s_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.2 yields in particular that if a basis \mathcal{X} is democratic and has the bounded restricted truncation operator property, and \mathbf{s} is equivalent to the fundamental function of \mathcal{X} , then we have

$$d_q(\mathbf{w}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} d_\infty(\mathbf{w})$$

(see [2, Theorem 8.14]). The possibility of having such embeddings has been considered by some authors as a condition which ensures in a certain sense the optimality of the compression algorithms with respect to the basis \mathcal{X} (see [14]).

Finally, we recall that if \mathbb{X} is a quasi-Banach space of type $p > 1$ then \mathbb{X} is isomorphic to a Banach space [21, Theorem 4.1] while if the type of \mathbb{X} is $p < 1$ then \mathbb{X} is isomorphic to a p -Banach space [21, Theorem 4.2]. Combining Lemma 2.2 with the estimates in (1.5) we obtain that if \mathbb{X} is a quasi-Banach space of type p and cotype r , and \mathcal{X} is a basis of \mathbb{X} with the bounded restricted truncation operator property then

$$\ell_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_{r,\infty},$$

where $q = 1$ if $p > 1$, $q = p$ if $p < 1$, and $0 < q < 1$ is arbitrary if $p = 1$. In the case when $p = r = 2$ (i.e., \mathbb{X} is a Hilbert space [27]), we obtain

$$\ell_{2,1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_{2,\infty} \tag{2.1}$$

(cf. [37, Theorem 3.1]).

3. QUASI-GREEDY BASIS IN \mathcal{L}_p -SPACES, $0 < p < 1$

Our approach towards proving Theorem 1.3 is inspired by the techniques from [36], where it was shown that if a quasi-Banach space has a strongly absolute basis and is isomorphic to its square then it has a unique unconditional basis up to a permutation. Let us record this important definition for further reference.

Definition 3.1. A semi-normalized unconditional basis $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$ of a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{B} is said to be *strongly absolute* if for every $\epsilon > 0$

there is a (smallest) constant $\mathbf{A}(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in J} |\mathbf{b}_j^*(f)| \leq \max \left\{ \mathbf{A}(\epsilon) \sup_{j \in J} |\mathbf{b}_j^*(f)|, \epsilon \|f\| \right\}, \quad f \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this case, the map $\mathbf{A}: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ will be called the *strongly absolute function* of \mathcal{B} .

Strongly absolute bases were introduced in [23] to study the uniqueness of unconditional structure of non-locally convex quasi-Banach spaces. Roughly speaking, a basis is strongly absolute if it dominates the unit vector system of ℓ_1 while remaining far from it. This is the case with the unit vector system $(\mathbf{e}_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ when regarded as a basis of ℓ_p for $0 < p < 1$. Recall that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{e}_j = (\delta_{i,j})_{i=1}^\infty$, where $\delta_{i,j} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. The vectors $(\mathbf{e}_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ form a normalized 1-unconditional basis of ℓ_p whose associated sequence $(\mathbf{e}_j^*)_{j=1}^\infty$ of biorthogonal functionals satisfies $f = (\mathbf{e}_j^*(f))_{j=1}^\infty$ for all $f \in \ell_p$.

Lemma 3.2 (cf. [29, Lemma 2.2]). *For $0 < p < 1$, the unit vector system is a strongly absolute basis of ℓ_p whose strongly absolute function \mathbf{A} satisfies*

$$\mathbf{A}(\epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-p/(1-p)}, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, set $C = \epsilon^{-p/(1-p)}$. For $f \in \ell_p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{e}_j^*(f)| &= \|f\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty^{1-p} \|f\|_p^p \\ &= (C \|f\|_\infty)^{1-p} (\epsilon \|f\|_p)^p \\ &\leq \max\{C \|f\|_\infty, \epsilon \|f\|_p\} \\ &= \max \left\{ C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\mathbf{e}_j^*(f)|, \epsilon \|f\|_p \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Suppose \mathbb{X} is a quasi-Banach space with an unconditional basis $(\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$. Given a family $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n^*)_{n \in A}$ in $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X}^*$, and $\delta > 0$ we consider the following sets of indices from J :

$$\Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S}) = \{j \in J : |\mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n)| \geq \delta \text{ for some } n \in A\}. \quad (3.2)$$

The analysis of the sets $\Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})$ for families \mathcal{S} associated to unconditional bases was successfully used in [36] to advance the research initiated in [23] on uniqueness of unconditional bases in quasi-Banach spaces. The following lemma is in the spirit of the estimates obtained in [36]. In the absence of unconditionality, the role played by Lemma 3.3

in the proof of Theorem 1.3 runs parallel to the role played in the proof of Theorem 1.1 by the interpretation of Grothendieck's inequality of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński in their proof of the uniqueness of unconditional basis of ℓ_1 (see Section 4).

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathbb{B} be a quasi-Banach space with a strongly absolute semi-normalized unconditional basis $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{b}_j)_{j \in J}$ and $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n^*)_{n \in A}$ be a finite family in $\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}^*$ such that $\mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{x}_n) = 1$, $\|\mathbf{x}_n\| \leq a$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_n^*\| \leq b$ for all $n \in A$. Then for each $C \in (1, \infty)$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$|A| \leq C \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \left| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right|.$$

Moreover, if $c = \sup_j \|\mathbf{b}_j\|$, K_u is the unconditional basis constant of \mathcal{B} , and \mathbf{A} is the strongly absolute function of \mathcal{B} , we can choose

$$\delta = \frac{C-1}{C} \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(\epsilon)}, \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \frac{C-1}{C} \frac{1}{abcK_u}.$$

Proof. For $j \in J$ and $n \in A$ set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j &= \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n), \\ f_n &= \sum_{j \in J \setminus \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{b}_j. \end{aligned}$$

By construction, $|\mathbf{b}_j^*(f_n)| \leq \delta$ for all $n \in A$ and $j \in J$, and by unconditionality, $\|f_n\| \leq bcK_u \|\mathbf{x}_n\|$ for all $n \in A$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= \left| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{j \in J \setminus \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right| + \sum_{n \in A} \left| \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \mathbf{x}_n^*(\mathbf{b}_j) \mathbf{b}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right| \\ &= \sum_{n \in A} \sum_{j \in J} |\mathbf{b}_j^*(f_n)| + \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} |\lambda_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in A} \max\{\mathbf{A}(\epsilon)\delta, \epsilon\|f_n\|\} + \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} |\lambda_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in A} \max\{\mathbf{A}(\epsilon)\delta, bcK_u\epsilon\|\mathbf{x}_n\|\} + \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} |\lambda_j| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{C-1}{C}|A| + \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} |\lambda_j|.$$

Hence, $C^{-1}|A| \leq \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} |\lambda_j|$. \square

The following square-function estimate for vectors with constant coefficients is valid for quasi-greedy bases and, in lack of unconditionality, serves as a substitute of the Littlewood-Paley formula for unconditional bases in L_p -spaces.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 < p < 1$. Suppose $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{i=1}^\infty$ is a SUCC basic sequence in ℓ_p . Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|_p \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n \in A} |e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p}$$

for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ finite.

Proof. Using inequality (1.2) and the classical Khintchine's inequality [24] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|_p^p &\approx \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right\|_p^p \\ &= \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| e_j^* \left(\sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n \mathbf{x}_n \right) \right|^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Ave}_{\varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{n \in A} \varepsilon_n e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right|^p \\ &\approx \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n \in A} |e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We are almost ready to tackle the proof of Theorem 1.3. Actually Theorem 1.3 will follow as a particular case of Theorem 3.7, where we prove our main result for subspaces of ℓ_p that admit the extension to the whole ℓ_p of compact operators mapping into quasi-Banach spaces. This ideas go back to [28, Theorem 2.2] and rely upon the following definition.

Definition 3.5. Suppose \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{B} are quasi-Banach spaces and that \mathbb{X} is a subspace of \mathbb{B} . We shall say that \mathbb{X} has the *compact extension property in \mathbb{B}* if every compact operator $S: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ mapping into a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{Y} extends to a compact operator $T: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$.

The next theorem follows from the open mapping theorem (see comments previous to [22, Theorem 2.2]).

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{B} be quasi-Banach spaces such that \mathbb{X} is a subspace of \mathbb{B} , and let $0 < p \leq 1$. If \mathbb{X} has the compact extension property in \mathbb{B} then there is a constant $D \geq 1$ such that any compact operator $S: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ mapping into a p -Banach space \mathbb{Y} extends to a compact operator $T: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ with $\|T\| \leq D\|S\|$.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathbb{X} be a closed subspace of ℓ_p , $0 < p < 1$, and suppose that \mathbb{X} has the compact extension property in ℓ_p . If \mathcal{X} is a basis of \mathbb{X} with the bounded restricted truncation operator property, then \mathcal{X} is democratic with*

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \approx m^{1/p} \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, $\mathcal{X}^* = (\mathbf{x}_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty$, $a = \sup_n \|\mathbf{x}_n\|$ and $b = \sup_n \|\mathbf{x}_n^*\|$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|A| = m < \infty$. Use Theorems 2.1 and 3.6 to choose an extension

$$T_A: \ell_p \rightarrow [\mathbf{x}_n: n \in A]$$

of $S_A[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}]$ with $\|T_A\| \leq C_1(1 + \log(m))^{1/p}$ for some constant C_1 only depending on \mathcal{X} . For $n \in A$, let $\mathbf{y}_n^* = \mathbf{x}_n^* \circ T_A$ so that $T_A(f) = \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{y}_n^*(f) \mathbf{x}_n$ for every $f \in \ell_p$. We have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathbf{y}_n^*\| \leq bC_1(1 + \log(m))^{1/p}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 the unit vector system in a strongly absolute basis of ℓ_p . Moreover, if \mathbf{A} denotes its strongly absolute function and

$$\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2abC_1(1 + \log(m))},$$

we have

$$\delta := \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}(\epsilon_0)} \geq \epsilon_0^{p/(1-p)} = \frac{1}{2}(2abC_1)^{-p/(1-p)}(1 + \log(m))^{-1/(1-p)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 to $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n^*)_{n \in A}$ with $C = 2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq 2 \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \left| \sum_{i \in A} \mathbf{y}_n^*(\mathbf{e}_j) \mathbf{e}_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \left\| \sum_{i \in A} \mathbf{y}_n^*(\mathbf{e}_j) \mathbf{x}_n \right\|_p \\ &= 2 \sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \|T_A(\mathbf{e}_j)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq 2|\Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})| \|T_A\| \\
 &\leq 2bC_1(1 + \log(m))^{1/p} |\Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})|. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

For every $j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{n \in A} |e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{b} \left(\sum_{n \in A} |e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{x}_n^*(e_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{\delta}{b}. \tag{3.5}$$

Set $q = (1 - p + p^2)/(p^2 - p^3)$ and

$$s_m = \frac{m^{1/p}}{(1 + \log(m))^q}.$$

Combining Lemma 3.4, with (3.4), (3.5) and (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \mathbf{x}_n \right\|_p &\geq \frac{1}{C_2} \left(\sum_{j \in \Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})} \left(\sum_{n \in A} |e_j^*(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\geq \frac{\delta}{C_2 b} |\Omega_\delta(\mathcal{S})|^{1/p} \\
 &\geq \frac{\delta}{C_2 b} \frac{m^{1/p}}{(2bC_1)^{1/p} (1 + \log(m))^{1/p^2}} \\
 &\geq \left(2a^{p^2} b C_1^{1-p+p^2} C_2^{p-p^2} \right)^{-1/p(1-p)} s_m,
 \end{aligned}$$

where C_2 is a constant depending only on \mathcal{X} .

Since for m large enough, $\mathbf{s} = (s_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ is increasing, there is a weight \mathbf{w} whose primitive weight is equivalent to \mathbf{s} . By Lemma 2.2 (a), the coefficient transform \mathcal{F} is a bounded linear operator from \mathbb{X} into $d_\infty(\mathbf{w})$. But $\sum_{n=1}^\infty 1/s_n < \infty$, which yields $d_\infty(\mathbf{w}) \subseteq \ell_1$ and so \mathcal{F} is a bounded linear map from \mathbb{X} into ℓ_1 . With this new piece of information about the coefficient transform we will next be able to use a bootstrap argument for improving the above estimates.

Use again Theorem 3.6 to determine a constant C_3 and linear operators

$$L_A: \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_1(A), \quad A \subseteq \mathbb{N}, |A| < \infty,$$

such that $\|L_A\| \leq C_3$ and $L_A(f) = (\mathbf{x}_n^*(f))_{n \in A}$ for every $f \in \mathbb{X}$. Fix $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ finite and pick $(\mathbf{z}_n^*)_{n \in A}$ in $(\ell_p)^*$ such that $L_A(f) = (\mathbf{z}_n^*(f))_{n \in A}$ for every $f \in \ell_p$. Consider the family $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{z}_n^*)_{n \in A}$. Since $\|\mathbf{z}_n^*\| \leq C_3$ for every $n \in A$, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 yield the existence of $\beta > 0$

depending only on p and the basis \mathcal{X} such that

$$|A| \leq 2 \sum_{j \in \Omega_\beta(\mathcal{T})} \left| \sum_{n \in A} z_n^*(e_j) e_j^*(x_n) \right|.$$

With the natural identification of $\ell_\infty(A)$ with the the dual space of $\ell_1(A)$, the dual operator $L_A^*: \ell_\infty(A) \rightarrow (\ell_p)^*$ of L_A is given by

$$L_A^*((a_n)_{n \in A})(f) = \sum_{n \in A} a_n z_n^*(f), \quad a_n \in \mathbb{F}, f \in \ell_p.$$

Thus, if we set $g_j = (e_j^*(x_n))_{n \in A}$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \in A} z_n^*(e_j) e_j^*(x_n) \right| = |L_A^*(g_j)(e_j)| \leq C_3 \|g_j\|_\infty \|e_j\|_p \leq aC_3.$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$|A| \leq 2aC_3 |\Omega_\beta(\mathcal{T})|.$$

Finally, applying again Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} x_n \right\|_p \geq \frac{\beta}{C_2 b} |\Omega_\beta(\mathcal{T})|^{1/p} \geq \frac{\beta}{(2ab^p C_2^p C_3)^{1/p}} |A|^{1/p}.$$

Hence $m^{1/p} \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account inequality (1.6) the proof is over. \square

Let \mathbb{B} be a quasi-Banach space with a strongly absolute unconditional basis \mathcal{B} . Suppose that \mathbb{B} has the property that all quasi-greedy bases of \mathbb{B} are democratic. Then, in particular, \mathcal{B} is democratic hence greedy. So, in light of Theorem 1.3, in order to enlarge the scant list of quasi-Banach spaces where all quasi-greedy bases are democratic it is natural to look for quasi-Banach spaces with a strongly absolute greedy basis. Among them, Hardy spaces deserve special attention.

Question 3.8. Let $0 < p < 1$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Are all quasi-greedy bases in $H_p(\mathbb{D}^d)$ democratic?

We close this section by applying Theorem 3.7 to \mathcal{L}_p -spaces for $0 < p < 1$. Recall that a closed subspace \mathbb{X} of a quasi-Banach space \mathbb{B} is said to be *locally complemented* in \mathbb{B} if there is a constant C such that for every finite-dimensional subspace \mathbb{V} of \mathbb{B} and every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a linear operator $T: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ with $\|T\| \leq C$ and $\|T|_{\mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{X}} - \text{Id}_{\mathbb{V} \cap \mathbb{X}}\| \leq \epsilon$. Given $0 < p \leq 1$, following Kalton [22] we say that a quasi Banach space is an \mathcal{L}_p -space if it is isomorphic to a locally complemented subspace of $L_p(\mu)$ for some measure μ .

Corollary 3.9. *Let $0 < p < 1$. Suppose \mathcal{X} is a quasi-greedy basis of a \mathcal{L}_p -space \mathbb{X} with the bounded approximation property. Then:*

- (i) $\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \approx m^{1/p}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, \mathcal{X} is democratic.
- (ii) $\ell_p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_{p,\infty}$.
- (iii) For $p < q \leq 1$, the q -Banach envelope of \mathcal{X} is equivalent to the unit vector system of ℓ_q .
- (iv) For $p < q \leq 1$, the q -Banach envelope of \mathbb{X} is isomorphic to ℓ_q .
- (v) The dual space \mathbb{X}^* is isomorphic to ℓ_∞ .
- (vi) The dual basis of \mathcal{X} is equivalent to the unit vector system of c_0 .

Proof. By [22, Theorem 6.4] we can suppose without loss of generality that \mathbb{X} is a locally complemented subspace of ℓ_p . By [22, Theorem 3.4], \mathbb{X} has the compact extension property in ℓ_p . Then, (i) follows from [2, Theorem 3.13] and Theorem 3.7. Once we have proved (i), (ii) is a consequence of Remark 2.3, and (iii) and (iv) follow from [2, Proposition 9.12]. In turn, (v) is a consequence of (iv). Finally, (vi) follows from combining (iii) with [2, Corollary 9.10]. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let $0 < p < 1$. If \mathcal{X} is a quasi-greedy basis of an \mathcal{L}_p -space then \mathcal{X} is almost greedy.*

Proof. Just combine [2, Theorem 3.13], Corollary 3.9 (i) and [2, Theorem 5.3]. \square

4. APPENDIX. DEMOCRACY OF QUASI-GREEDY BASES OF ℓ_1

A Banach space \mathbb{X} is called a *GT space* [34] if every bounded linear operator $T: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \ell_2$ is absolutely summing, i.e., there is a constant C such that for all finite collections $(f_k)_{k \in B}$ in \mathbb{X} ,

$$\sum_{k \in B} \|T(f_k)\|_2 \leq C \sup_{|\varepsilon_k|=1} \left\| \sum_{k \in B} \varepsilon_k f_k \right\|. \quad (4.1)$$

The smallest constant C such that (4.1) holds is the absolutely summing norm of T and is denoted by $\pi_1(T)$. Of course, if \mathbb{X} is a GT space then there is a constant D such that $\pi_1(T) \leq D\|T\|$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, \ell_2)$.

Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, following [30] we say that an infinite-dimensional Banach space \mathbb{X} is a \mathcal{L}_p -space if there is $\lambda \geq 1$ such that for every finite dimensional subspace $E \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and an d -dimensional subspace $E \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ such that $d(F, \ell_p^d) \leq \lambda$. We could extend this definition to non-locally convex spaces, but at the end we shall conclude that if a quasi-Banach is a \mathcal{L}_p -space for some $p \geq 1$ then it is

(isomorphic to) a Banach space. It is known [22] that, for $p = 1$, this definition coincides with the one we gave in Section 3.

Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński [30] reinterpreted Grothendieck's inequality [17] in the following fashion.

Theorem 4.1 ([30, Theorem 4.1]). *Every \mathcal{L}_1 -space is a GT space.*

Other examples of GT spaces besides \mathcal{L}_1 -spaces are the spaces L_1/H , where H is a subspace of L_1 isomorphic to a Hilbert space (see [34, Corollary 6.11]), the dual of the disc algebra, and L_1/H_1 (see [9]).

Our approach to the proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the following lemma and a bootstrap argument.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a basis of a GT space \mathbb{X} . Assume that \mathcal{X} has the bounded restricted truncation operator property. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_m)_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $s_m \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$t_m := m \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{s_n^2} \right)^{-1/2} \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. The basis \mathcal{X} is in particular SUCC. Let C be as in (1.2). By Lemma 2.2 (a), the coefficient transform \mathcal{F} with respect to $\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{x}_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is bounded from \mathbb{X} into the weak Lorentz space $d_\infty(\Delta \mathbf{s})$. Let us denote by C_1 its norm. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|A| = m$. The coordinate projection from $\mathbb{F}^\mathbb{N}$ onto \mathbb{F}^A is, when regarded as an operator from $d_\infty(\Delta \mathbf{s})$ onto $\ell_2(A)$, bounded by m/t_m . Indeed, given $f = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in d_\infty(\Delta \mathbf{s})$,

$$\sum_{j \in A} |a_j|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^m (a_n^*)^2 \leq \|f\|_{\infty, \Delta \mathbf{s}}^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{s_n^2} = \|f\|_{\infty, \Delta \mathbf{s}}^2 \frac{m^2}{t_m^2}.$$

Consequently, the operator

$$T_A: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \ell_2(A), \quad f \mapsto (\mathbf{x}_j^*(f))_{j \in A}$$

satisfies $\|T_A\| \leq C_1 m/t_m$. Since \mathbb{X} is a GT space, for every finite family $(f_k)_{k \in B}$ in \mathbb{X} we have

$$\sum_{k \in B} \|T_A(f_k)\|_2 \leq C_1 D \frac{m}{t_m} \sup_{|\varepsilon_k|=1} \left\| \sum_{k \in B} \varepsilon_k f_k \right\|, \quad (4.2)$$

for some constant D depending only of \mathbb{X} . Applying (4.2) to $(\mathbf{x}_k)_{k \in A}$ we obtain

$$m \leq C_1 D \frac{m}{t_m} \sup_{|\varepsilon_k|=1} \left\| \sum_{k \in A} \varepsilon_k \mathbf{x}_k \right\| \leq C C_1 D \frac{m}{t_m} \left\| \sum_{k \in A} \mathbf{x}_k \right\|,$$

and so inequality (1.3) completes the proof. \square

Next, we state and prove a result slightly more general than Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{X} basis of a GT space \mathbb{X} . Suppose that \mathcal{X} has the bounded restricted truncation operator property. Then \mathcal{X} is democratic. Moreover,*

$$\varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m) \approx m \approx \varphi_u[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{X} is a SUCC basis, inequality (1.3) yields $1 \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We feed Lemma 4.2 with $s_m = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and obtain

$$m^{1/2} \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $H_m = \sum_{n=1}^m 1/n$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying again Lemma 4.2, now with $s_m = m^{1/2}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, gives

$$H_m^{-1/2} m \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n n^{-2} < \infty$, using once more Lemma 4.2 gives

$$m \lesssim \varphi_l[\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{X}](m), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Appealing to inequality (1.6) the proof is over. □

We close with some applications. For the reader's convenience we will state our results for quasi-greedy bases, but they also hold for bases with the bounded restricted truncation operator property.

Corollary 4.4. *Let \mathcal{X} be quasi-greedy basis in a GT space \mathbb{X} . Then $\ell_1 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_{1,\infty}$.*

Proof. Just combine Lemma 4.3 with Lemma 2.2. □

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose that a sequence \mathcal{X} in ℓ_1 is a quasi-greedy basis of both spaces ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Then \mathcal{X} is equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_p for all $1 < p < 2$.*

Proof. Combining Corollary 4.4 with the embeddings in (2.1) and Marcinkiewicz's interpolation theorem (see [10]) we obtain

$$\ell_p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_p,$$

which yields the desired conclusion. □

Our last results show that the reason for leaving out L_1 in Theorem 1.2 is not due to a limitation of our methods but to the geometric structure of the space.

Corollary 4.6. *Let μ be a non-purely atomic measure. There is no family of functions that is simultaneously a quasi-greedy basis in both spaces $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_2(\mu)$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is a quasi-greedy basis in both $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_2(\mu)$. In particular, $L_1(\mu)$ and $L_2(\mu)$ are separable Banach spaces. Let $1 < p < 2$. Combining Corollary 4.4 and the embedding (2.1) with Marcinkiewicz's interpolation theorem we obtain

$$\ell_p \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} L_p(\mu) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}} \ell_p.$$

Therefore $L_p(\mu) \simeq \ell_p$, an absurdity because $L_p(\mu) \simeq L_p([0, 1]) \not\simeq \ell_p$ (see [18]). \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let μ be a finite measure and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a quasi-greedy basis of $L_1(\mu)$. Then, for $1 < q \leq \infty$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_n\|_q = \infty.$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $\liminf_n \|\psi_n\|_q < \infty$ for some $q > 1$. Then there is a subbasis $\Psi_0 = (\psi_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ of Ψ with $\sup_k \|\psi_{n_k}\|_q < \infty$. Since Ψ_0 is a quasi-greedy basic sequence, combining Theorem 4.3 with [3, Lemma 2.3] yields

$$m \lesssim \varphi_l[\Psi, L_1(\mu)](m) \leq \varphi_u[\Psi_0, L_1(\mu)](m) \lesssim m^{1/q}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that $\sup_m m^{1-1/q} < \infty$, an absurdity. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and J. L. Ansorena, *Lorentz spaces and embeddings induced by almost greedy bases in Banach spaces*, Constr. Approx. **43** (2016), no. 2, 197–215.
- [2] F. Albiac, J. L. Ansorena, P. Berná, and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Greedy approximation for biorthogonal systems in quasi-Banach spaces*, arXiv e-prints (2019), available at 1903.11651. To appear in press in Dissertationes Mathematicae.
- [3] F. Albiac, J. L. Ansorena, Ó. Ciaurri, and J. L. Varona, *Unconditional and quasi-greedy bases in L_p with applications to Jacobi polynomials Fourier series*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **35** (2019), no. 2, 561–574.
- [4] F. Albiac, J. L. Ansorena, S. J. Dilworth, and D. Kutzarova, *Building highly conditional almost greedy and quasi-greedy bases in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), no. 6, 1893–1924.
- [5] F. Albiac, J. L. Ansorena, and P. Wojtaszczyk, *On certain subspaces of ℓ_p for $0 < p \leq 1$ and their applications to conditional quasi-greedy bases in p -Banach spaces*, Mathematische Annalen, posted on 2020, DOI 10.1007/s00208-020-02069-3.
- [6] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory, 2nd revised and updated edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 233, Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [7] P. Bechler, R. Devore, A. Kamont, G. Petrova, and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Greedy wavelet projections are bounded on BV* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 2, 619–635.
- [8] P. M. Berná, O. Blasco, G. Garrigós, E. Hernández, and T. Oikhberg, *Embeddings and Lebesgue-type inequalities for the greedy algorithm in Banach spaces*, Constr. Approx. **48** (2018), no. 3, 415–451.

- [9] J. Bourgain, *New Banach space properties of the disc algebra and H^∞* , Acta Math. **152** (1984), no. 1-2, 1–48.
- [10] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 129, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [11] S. J. Dilworth, N. J. Kalton, and D. Kutzarova, *On the existence of almost greedy bases in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **159** (2003), no. 1, 67–101.
- [12] S. J. Dilworth, N. J. Kalton, D. Kutzarova, and V. N. Temlyakov, *The thresholding greedy algorithm, greedy bases, and duality*, Constr. Approx. **19** (2003), no. 4, 575–597.
- [13] S. J. Dilworth, M. Soto-Bajo, and V. N. Temlyakov, *Quasi-greedy bases and Lebesgue-type inequalities*, Studia Math. **211** (2012), no. 1, 41–69.
- [14] D. L. Donoho, *Unconditional bases are optimal bases for data compression and for statistical estimation*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **1** (1993), no. 1, 100–115.
- [15] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler, *Banach space theory*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer, New York, 2011. The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis.
- [16] G. Garrigós, E. Hernández, and T. Oikhberg, *Lebesgue-type inequalities for quasi-greedy bases*, Constr. Approx. **38** (2013), no. 3, 447–470.
- [17] A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo **8** (1953), 1–79 (French).
- [18] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, *Basic concepts in the geometry of Banach spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 1–84.
- [19] M. I. Kadec and A. Pełczyński, *Bases, lacunary sequences and complemented subspaces in the spaces L_p* , Studia Math. **21** (1961/1962), 161–176.
- [20] N. J. Kalton, *Orlicz sequence spaces without local convexity*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **81** (1977), no. 2, 253–277.
- [21] N. J. Kalton, *Convexity, type and the three space problem*, Studia Math. **69** (1980/81), no. 3, 247–287.
- [22] ———, *Locally complemented subspaces and \mathcal{L}_p -spaces for $0 < p < 1$* , Math. Nachr. **115** (1984), 71–97.
- [23] N. J. Kalton, C. Leránóz, and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Uniqueness of unconditional bases in quasi-Banach spaces with applications to Hardy spaces*, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), no. 3, 299–311 (1991).
- [24] A. Khintchine, *Über dyadische Brüche*, Math. Z. **18** (1923), no. 1, 109–116 (German).
- [25] S. V. Konyagin and V. N. Temlyakov, *A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*, East J. Approx. **5** (1999), no. 3, 365–379.
- [26] G. Köthe and O. Toeplitz, *Lineare Räume mit unendlich vielen Koordinaten und Ringe unendlicher Matrizen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **171** (1934), 193–226 (German).
- [27] S. Kwapien, *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 583–595.
- [28] J. Lindenstrauss, *Extension of compact operators*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. **48** (1964), 112.
- [29] C. Leránóz, *Uniqueness of unconditional bases of $c_0(\ell_p)$, $0 < p < 1$* , Studia Math. **102** (1992), no. 3, 193–207.

- [30] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators in L_p -spaces and their applications*, *Studia Math.* **29** (1968), 275–326.
- [31] J. Lindenstrauss and M. Zippin, *Banach spaces with a unique unconditional basis*, *J. Functional Analysis* **3** (1969), 115–125.
- [32] M. Nielsen, *An example of an almost greedy uniformly bounded orthonormal basis for $L_p(0, 1)$* , *J. Approx. Theory* **149** (2007), no. 2, 188–192.
- [33] A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 209–228.
- [34] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 60, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [35] V. N. Temlyakov, *The best m -term approximation and greedy algorithms*, *Adv. Comput. Math.* **8** (1998), no. 3, 249–265.
- [36] P. Wojtaszczyk, *Uniqueness of unconditional bases in quasi-Banach spaces with applications to Hardy spaces. II*, *Israel J. Math.* **97** (1997), 253–280.
- [37] ———, *Greedy algorithm for general biorthogonal systems*, *J. Approx. Theory* **107** (2000), no. 2, 293–314.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES-INAMAT²,
UNIVERSIDAD PÚBLICA DE NAVARRA, CAMPUS DE ARROSADÍA, PAMPLONA, 31006
SPAIN

Email address: fernando.albiac@unavarra.es

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, UNIVERSIDAD DE
LA RIOJA, LOGROÑO, 26004 SPAIN

Email address: joseluis.ansorena@unirioja.es

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 00-656
WARSZAWA, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, POLAND

Email address: wojtaszczyk@impan.pl