



Review

Inflammation in COVID-19 and the Effects of Non-Pharmacological Interventions during the Pandemic: A Review

Vicente Javier Clemente-Suárez ^{1,2,*} , Álvaro Bustamante-Sanchez ¹ , José Francisco Tornero-Aguilera ^{1,2} , Pablo Ruisoto ³ and Juan Mielgo-Ayuso ⁴

¹ Faculty of Sports Sciences, Universidad Europea de Madrid, Tajo Street, s/n, 28670 Madrid, Spain

² Research Center in Applied Combat (CESCA), 45007 Toledo, Spain

³ Department of Health Sciences, Public University of Navarre, 31006 Pamplona, Spain

⁴ Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Burgos, 09001 Burgos, Spain

* Correspondence: vctxente@yahoo.es

Abstract: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) caused the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic that hit the health systems worldwide hard, causing a collapse of healthcare systems. One of the main problems of this new virus is the high inflammatory response it provokes, which is the cause of much of the symptoms. Different pharmacological approaches tried to stop the advance of the pandemic, but it seems that only vaccines are the solution. In this line, different nonpharmacological approaches have been made in order to improve symptomatology, contagion, and spread of COVID-19, the principal factors being the physical activity, nutrition, physiotherapy, psychology, and life patterns. The SARS-CoV-2 virus produces a disproportionate inflammatory response in the organism of the guest and causes complications in this that can end the life of the patient. It has been possible to see how different nonpharmacological interventions based on physical activity, nutritional, psychological, and physical therapy, and lifestyle changes can be functional tools to treat this inflammation. Thus, in the present review, we aim to provide an overview of the role of inflammation in COVID-19 and the nonpharmacological interventions related to it.

Keywords: COVID-19; inflammation; physical activity; nutrition; physiotherapy; psychology; life patterns



Citation: Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Bustamante-Sanchez, Á.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F.; Ruisoto, P.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J. Inflammation in COVID-19 and the Effects of Non-Pharmacological Interventions during the Pandemic: A Review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 15584. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232415584>

Academic Editor: Eleni Gavriilaki

Received: 26 October 2022

Accepted: 4 December 2022

Published: 9 December 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. COVID-19 and Inflammation

SARS-CoV-2 is a contagious disease with origin in Wuhan, China [1]. Spreading around the world and affecting the population worldwide, with more than 110 million confirmed cases and more than 2.5 million deaths on its peak, has led to the COVID-19 pandemic situation [2].

Coronaviruses typically infect only the upper respiratory tract and cause minor symptoms [3]. However, the SARS-CoV-2 can replicate in the lower respiratory tract and cause pneumonia [4] and lead to a state of hyper-inflammation, known as the “cytokine storm” and thrombotic manifestations in different organs, which can be fatal [5]. In this line, there are two pathophysiological processes of SARS-CoV-2 differentiated and related to each other by the inflammatory and immune responses [6]:

1. Phase I: direct cytopathic effect that derives from the viral contagion that will prevail onset of the disease.

During this phase, there is a controlled death and injury of virus-infected cells and tissues as part of the virus replicative cycle [7]. Viral infection and replication in airways could cause high levels of virus-linked pyroptosis [8], a highly inflammatory form of programmed cell death common amongst cytopathic viruses [9]. The segregation of the

cytokine interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), the cytokine released during pyroptosis [10], together with the detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) by alveolar epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages induces a local inflammation involving increased secretion of the proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines interferon gamma (IFN- γ), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), interferon gamma-inducible protein-10 (IP-10), interferon gamma (IFN- γ), and IL-6 [11]. Cytokines and chemokines secreted attract monocytes and T lymphocytes but not neutrophils into the infected site [12]. This recruitment of immune cells to the pulmonary system may explain the lymphopenia and increased neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio seen in around 80% of COVID-19 patients [13]. Most patients can suppress the infection with the recruited cells aforementioned and recover from the disease. However, some cases evolve differently, and a dysfunctional immune response ensues with an uncontrolled cytokine secretion and widespread lung inflammation, leading to phase II [4].

2. Phase II: nonregularized inflammatory replication of the host cell, the same as prevails in the later phases. The interleaving of these two pathophysiological phases refers phenotypically in the progress of three stages of the illness [14].
 - (a) Stage I (early phase): it results from the replication of the virus that establishes the cytopathic sequela and acceleration of the innate immune response, identified by presenting mild symptoms, such as fever, cough, and myalgia (included in phase I).
 - (b) Stage II (pulmonary phase): the result of the activation of the adaptive immune response, which results in a decrease in the viremia but indicates an inflammatory cascade causing tissue damage. It is determined by a worsening of the respiratory condition that can cause acute respiratory failure, accompanied by worsening lymphopenia and moderate increase in transaminase C.
 - (c) Stage III (hyperinflammatory phase) represented by sudden multi-organ insufficiency, with frequent deterioration of pulmonary capacity, the result of an uncontrolled immune response that establishes the cytokine storm syndrome, which resembles secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis [15] and causes 28% of fatal COVID-19 cases [16].

Among these stages, patients may exhibit higher blood plasma levels of IL-2, IL-7, IL-10, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), IP-10, MCP1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 α (MIP1 α), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) [10,16]. IL-6 levels continue rising as the disease develops in these patients and are higher in non-survivors than survivors [17]. Furthermore, there exists a highly inflammatory monocyte-derived Ficolin-1+ (FCN1+) macrophage population in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid [18] and a significantly higher percentage of CD14+ and CD16+ inflammatory monocytes in peripheral blood of severe SARS-CoV-2 cases [17]. These cells secrete more inflammatory cytokines MCP1, IP-10, and MIP1 α that contribute to the ongoing cytokine storm and the exacerbated inflammatory response [4]. In addition to the direct damage dealt by the virus, an unrestrained inflammatory response can itself mediate damage to the lung through excessive secretion of proteases and reactive oxygen species, resulting in desquamation of alveolar cells, hyaline membrane formation, and pulmonary edema [19], limiting gas exchange efficiency, breathing difficulty, and low blood oxygen levels, and leaving the lungs vulnerable to secondary infections [20]. This uncontrolled inflammatory response not only affects local tissues, but it has ripple effects across the body. Elevated levels of cytokines, such as TNF, can cause septic shock and multi-organ failure, which may result in myocardial damage and circulatory failure.

Regardless of the stage and phases, patient's symptomatology may be very diverse, regarding previous pathophysiology and comorbidities of the patient and his inflammatory and immunological response, which can be [20]:

Clinical mild symptoms: fever <38° without cough, dyspnea, or wheezing, no comorbidities, and without evidence of lung parenchyma.

Clinical moderate symptoms: dyspnea, high fever >38°, and gastrointestinal symptoms as nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea.

Clinical severe symptoms: respiratory rate >30 min, qSOFA score 2 or more, SPO₂ $\leq 93\%$ at rest, PaO₂/FiO₂ <300 mmHg, bilateral parenchymal involvement $>50\%$ in 24–48 h while experiencing confusion, agitation, and restlessness.

Clinical critical symptoms: respiratory failure/acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), septic shock and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS).

SARS-CoV-2 employs the highly glycosylated spike protein (S) in order to penetrate cells and easily bind to the angiotensin-converting enzyme receptor 2 (ECA2). This enzyme is found indicated in type II alveolar cells. The RNA of the virus enters the cells from the nose and lower respiratory tract to be transferred to viral proteins. This has been linked as the major entry point for SARS-CoV-2. However, in the pathophysiology of COVID-19, ECA2, through the production of angiotensin 1-7, has anti-inflammatory qualities and very important antifibrotic properties, effects that occur through the receptor MAS [21]. Thus, the ECA2–ANG1-7–MAS receptor axis and the ECA–ANGII–ATA 1 receptor pathway can be seen as opposite but very complementary and where balance is necessary for optimal health [22].

Therefore, to restore or maintain the natural balance between the receiving axis ECA2–ANG1-7–MAS and the ECA–ANGII–ATA 1 receptor pathway and maintain the susceptibility and risk of COVID-19 physiopathology, physical exercise may be an optimal stimuli approach [23]. Physical exercise can increase the ACE2–ANG1-7–MAS receptor axis by inhibiting simultaneously the ACE–ANGII–ATA 1 receptor [24]. Yet, if, as authors suggest, severe and critical COVID-19 symptomatology leads to long-term lung damage [24], in cardiopulmonary rehabilitation, exercise should be mandatory, since, as mentioned before, the activation of the ECA2–ANG1-7–MAS receptor with physical training reduces pulmonary fibrosis [22]. Furthermore, muscle contraction during exercise is thought to be the main trigger for overexpression of IL-6 [25]. Its production in chronic inflammatory rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases is induced by macrophages in the presence of TNF α , activated by the nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) pathway [26]. However, IL-6 overproduction during muscle contraction appears to have no inflammatory effects, since it occurs without the presence of TNF α or NF-kB activation and is accompanied by other anti-inflammatory events [27]. TNF α inhibitors increase during and post-exercise [26]. IL-1 receptor antagonist is also produced during exercise and remains elevated immediately after [26], while IL-1b and TNF α inflammatory response mediators, remain suppressed during exercise [26] and proinflammatory M1 macrophages decrease, while anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages increase during exercise in healthy people [27], also impacting on the expression of proinflammatory cytokines [28], thus suggesting the acute anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. Furthermore, chronic effects on inflammation linked to exercise are thought to be mainly mediated by adipose tissue reduction, as adipose tissue plays a capital role in stimulating the increase in inflammatory mediators [29].

In this line, observations from Wuhan at the start of the pandemic showed hypertension (30%), diabetes (22%), and coronary artery disease (22%), usually associated with obesity, as the main comorbidities in COVID-19 patients who required hospitalization [30]. Chronic inflammation, condition accompanying obesity, and metabolic syndrome produces an abnormal proinflammatory cytokine production of TNF α , IL-1, and IL-6 and increased acute phase reactants [31]. Due to this condition, the innate immune response in patients with obesity is altered, with an increased inflammatory response and abnormal T-cell response [32]. These conditions may lead to a deficiency in control of viral replication and a longer proinflammatory response with a poorer outcome, which may lead to hospitalization when contracting COVID-19 disease in patients with these previous comorbidities compared to healthy patients [17].

Furthermore, the acute and chronic inflammation caused by COVID-19 physiopathology may have long-term consequences in those that recover, as authors suggest [33], leading to chronic medical conditions, likely through neuroinflammatory mechanisms that can be compounded by an unhealthy diet [34]. In this line, a balanced and equilibrated diet with vitamin D, vitamin A, B vitamins (folate, vitamin B6, and vitamin B12), vitamin C, and

the minerals, Fe, Cu, Se, and Zn will promote and contribute to the normal function of the immune system and the inflammatory response [35,36]. Yet, one diet synonymous of anti-inflammation is the Mediterranean diet, which is a diet, traditional in Mediterranean countries, characterized especially by a high consumption of vegetables and olive oil and moderate consumption of protein, and thought to confer health benefits [37,38], fulfilled with anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory compounds, such as essential vitamins (C, D, and E) and minerals (zinc, copper, calcium, etc.) [39,40]. It also includes foods containing bioactive compounds, such as phenolic compounds; polar lipids; and peptides with potent anti-inflammatory effect, antithrombotic, and antioxidant properties, preventing inflammation and associated thrombotic and reactive oxygen species (ROS)-related complications [41,42]. Likewise, considering that the bulk of hospitalized COVID-19 patients suffer from malnutrition and deficiencies in vitamin C, D, B12 selenium, iron, ω -3, and medium- and long-chain fatty acids, highlighting the potential health effect of vitamin C and D interventions [41], the inclusion of a Mediterranean diet can be an adequate nutritional pattern, which provides protection against noncommunicable diseases and, potentially, against COVID-19 [37,43].

In addition to adequate levels of physical activity and nutritional habits to control the immunological and inflammatory response, an optimal psychological state is also essential. The immune system and inflammation are currently accepted as contributors to brain-related diseases in most neurological and psychiatric disorders. Immune system activation is reflected in abnormal levels of inflammatory cytokines in serum, plasma, and cerebrospinal fluid of patients with psychiatric and neuropsychiatric disorders, creating a sustained proinflammatory state, believed to be involved in the pathogenesis and pathophysiology of major mental illnesses [44]. Furthermore, controlling inflammation without immunosuppression has been hypothesized to improve antidepressant treatment outcomes [45]. Furthermore, stress activates the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis and the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system, with the subsequent reduction in vagal tone, resulting in a homeostatic imbalance, which contributes to a proinflammatory state [46,47].

Other techniques and habits can also help regulate the immune and inflammatory response. In this line, nerve stimulation is an emerging field in modern medicine used to control organ function and re-establish physiological homeostasis during illness [48], with recent studies indicating therapeutic benefits in treating inflammation in noncommunicative diseases (arthritis, colitis, obesity, or diabetes) and other infectious disorders, such as septic shock and severe sepsis [49]. Recent noninvasive strategies for nerve stimulation, such as electroacupuncture, are currently being used to control inflammation and prevent organ damage in inflammatory and infectious disorders and re-establish physiological homeostasis [50].

Whether as a preventive factor or as a treatment, correct lifestyle habits, controlled daily physical exercise, and adequate nutrition are the keys to controlling the immune and inflammatory response and keeping the pathophysiology and comorbidity of COVID-19 at bay.

2. Nonpharmacological Interventions

The present research aimed to highlight the principal nonpharmacological interventions in COVID-19. For this aim, the principal results of the actual literature in physical activity, nutrition, physiotherapy, psychology, and life patterns are presented in the following points.

2.1. Physical Activity

Physical activities are divided into aerobic, resistance, and diary activity interventions to better understand the effect of these three different activities.

Aerobic exercise impacts all the immune cells, improving the performance of natural killer cells, neutrophils, and macrophages, following moderate exercise. Innate and

adaptive immune systems are enhanced after acute, transient, and long-term adaptations to physical activity in a dose–response relationship, with an increase in higher maximal oxygen uptake as one of the underlying factors to avoid COVID-19 symptoms [51,52]. Regular physical activity, through moderate-intensity aerobic training, has been regarded as a protective factor against the severity of COVID-19 relating to thromboinflammation and its complications. Regular exercise could be an adjuvant for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 [53], since it fosters angiogenesis, an enhanced vascularization, and a decreased chronic inflammation [54].

Exercise immunology researchers have reported the acute and chronic effects of exercise in the immune system that are maximized by adaptive responses primarily based on improved immunosurveillance and reduced systemic inflammation [55]. The role of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2), which is the receptor for SARS-CoV-2, after a COVID-19 infection leads to the increase in ACE2 levels through pathological complications, leading to neurological and cardiovascular problems that could be avoided by physical exercise [56].

Vigorous exercise that performs an overload to the cardiovascular system has been reported to mobilize and redistribute effector lymphocytes (through catecholamines effect) that move from reservoirs—such as blood vessels, spleen, and bone marrow—to tissues and organs—such as the upper respiratory tract and lungs—and improve the immune surveillance [57]. The important relationship between cardiovascular fitness and health outcomes has been supported by research that investigated maximal exercise capacity (determined by the Bruce test) that proved to be inversely associated with the odds of being hospitalized due to COVID-19 infection [58]. The health status of the people prior to infection is of vital importance to predict the severity of the COVID-19 symptoms. Overweight, insulin resistance, poor dietary habits, and a lack of physical activity have been linked to a chronic low-grade inflammation due to an increased level of several proinflammatory cytokines. This chronic status rises the odds of contracting a COVID-19 infection with more hazardous symptoms [59].

Sports medicine and laboratory diagnostics suggest that moderate exercise can help to reduce inflammation, to maintain thymic mass, and to enhance immunosurveillance [60]. Intense exercise, if performed inadequately, can open a window of immune system malfunction just after exercise, in which airborne infection could be easier [61]. In conclusion, it has been widely reported that moderate intensity activity can be prescribed as the preferred nonpharmacological way to prevent COVID-19, while vigorous exercise should be better explored. A careful assessment of each patient should be previously considered [62], since high-intensity exercise could increase thrombotic risk [63].

If we analyze recovery strategies after being infected by COVID-19 with hospitalization, the higher aerobic capacity and aerobic capacity change during recovery may be linked with a higher work activity level before the illness. Continuing physical activity, even at home, will promote recovery after the illness [64]. According to research, there are three mechanisms that protect from COVID-19 infections and increase the aerobic performance of patients: improved function of immune cells; antibiotic, antioxidant, and antimycotic effects in lungs that improve respiratory functions; and an enhanced immune function that could improve the barriers to stop the progression of this disease [65]. Since COVID-19 rapidly affects aerobic performance of young adults, a previous aerobic capacity could help to act as a barrier against its symptoms [66,67].

2.1.1. Resistance

Resistance training, such as eccentric training, could be useful as a coadjuvant treatment to avoid COVID-19 symptoms. The temporal stress induced by resistance training may contribute to a long-term improved immune system. When exercise is repeated on a regular basis, it may act as a natural vaccine against viral infections, such as COVID-19, and should be further researched to control and prevent this disease [51]. To compensate for the usual activities during the lockdowns of a pandemic, there has been recommended

at least two to three resistance sessions per week to compensate for the decreased mobility demands of the population [68]. Performing resistance exercise at home has been also reported to be necessary to avoid airborne infections and to maintain healthy resistance loads for the body; lifting and carrying, lunges, stair climbing, stand-to-sit and sit-to-stand using house items, squats, and sit-ups could be useful exercises to perform at home [69,70].

It seems that concurrent training is less effective than only aerobic or resistance training, although it is also capable of improving immune function. Moderate interval-based aerobic cycle endurance combined with resistance training had a good effect to achieve individually tailored goals for physical performance and health-related quality of life after COVID-19 hospitalization, compared to other approaches [71].

Specific resistance training based on respiratory muscles (that are the most affected by COVID-19 disease) have been developed: inspiratory muscle resistive training and respiratory muscle isocapnic hyperpnoea training. Both methods try to develop inspiratory overload to stimulate the respiratory muscles, subsequently improving respiratory ability. It is generally recommended to perform 50–100 resisted breaths daily, 5 days a week [72].

For healthy adults, home-based endurance and resistance exercise can reduce the detrimental fitness and health effects of detraining and could help to boost the immune system. For athletes, it is recommended to continue training but to avoid exhaustion to not compromise the immune system. For the elderly, a mixture of resistance, strength, and balancing exercise is recommended to avoid muscle loss and to enhance psychological functioning [73,74]. However, in a sample of young adults, upper extremity and trunk strength were not affected by a COVID-19 infection, suggesting that the most affected areas are related to respiratory function [66]. However, the importance of strength training for the breathing muscles [60] during the recovery period of older patients after an acute COVID-19 infection has been highlighted [75,76]. Whole-body vibration has been also explored to propose a different way to stimulate muscles and reduce inflammation in patients who have acute symptoms that prevent them from using traditional exercise as a countermeasure [77].

2.1.2. Diary Physical Activity

Physical activity is one of the fundamental pillars in nonpharmacological interventions for the treatment of acute and chronic inflammatory processes. Within physical activity, the accumulated daily, that is, daily physical activity, is the key, since it represents the sum of physical activity per week and per month, that is, the chronic load of physical exercise, which is what we are looking for in intervention processes post-positive chronic load. In this line, increasing evidence suggests that the reason why only certain chronic diseases predispose to harmful symptoms is because they are linked with a proinflammatory state and an imbalance between the proinflammatory angiotensin converting enzyme-1 (ACE1) and anti-inflammatory ACE2 axes. Many studies have demonstrated that aerobic exercise can quickly reverse these links, regardless of one's age or sex. Although regular exercise would not reduce one's risk of becoming infected with SARS-CoV-2, it would reduce one's risk of experiencing severe disease symptoms [78].

Thus, a regular physical activity practice acts as a modulator of the immune system, which helps to obtain both a lower infection incidence, acute symptoms, and mortality rates in viral infections, such as COVID-19. During and after physical exercise, pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines are released, preventing inflammation and acting as an adjuvant booster of the immune system to avoid damage caused by COVID-19 symptoms [79]. Moreover, frequent moderate exercise (at least 3–5 days a week) is associated with better mental and physical well-being and a lower prevalence of COVID-related allostatic overload compared to those who lived a sedentary life during the COVID-19 pandemic. Frequent moderate exercise was associated with improved well-being, subjective physical health, and decreased somatization [80].

The importance of a consistent diary physical activity has been highlighted, since meeting physical activity guidelines (more than 150 min a week of physical activity)

has been associated with a lower chance for severe COVID-19 outcomes among adult patients [81]. Apart from the benefits of physical activity on its own, regular physical activity has been previously reported to boost vaccination programs due to an increase in T cells and neutralizing antibodies. More research is needed in the case of acute exercise to boost vaccine responses, where there have been inconsistent findings in terms of the benefit to adding exercise bouts to vaccines to frail adults [82]. The risks of lockdowns during a pandemic also imply a less active lifestyle and a need to review the usual physical activity guidelines; to compensate for this loss, a weekly increase in physical activity from 150 min to 200–400 min aerobic exercise during lockdowns is suggested [68]. Moderate-intensity physical activity between 150 and 300 min per week has been consistently linked with enhanced immunosurveillance and lower respiratory illness hazard. On the other hand, overtraining and exercising while infected with a respiratory pathogen have been linked to immune dysfunction and an elevated risk for respiratory illness. This model can be applied to COVID-19, with the high likelihood that risk of morbidity and mortality is moderated in lean, physically active individuals of all ages. Some psychological disorders that have been reported after the pandemic quarantine, such as fear, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder, can depress immune function. Exercise training increases endorphin, dopamine, and serotonin, all of which can contribute to enhancing immune function [72].

Not only are responses to diseases heterogeneous, but also responses to exercise may be different for different populations. Physical fitness, the characteristics of the training, or the individual pre-existing immunological characteristics can have an influence. Prior physiological and psychological conditions need to be considered to provide tailored immunological responses [83]. Continuous moderate and adapted exercise may be doubly beneficial in type II diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases for preventing inflammation and viral respiratory infection (including COVID-19). Hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease are pre-existing comorbidities that increase the risk of COVID-19 symptoms due to their systemic inflammation. Exercise training programs would enhance immune protection for patients with these diseases [84], while they foster immunomodulation through the recommended guidelines of moderate physical activity [85].

2.2. Nutrition Interventions

The apparition of COVID-19 has made more and more research look for anti-inflammatory therapies that can buffer the cytokine storm in order to reduce the severity of this infection [5]. As in other serious infections, diet, exercise, and healthy lifestyle preferences can reduce the risk of development and could improve the prognosis of COVID-19 because they may be able to control the activity of inflammatory intermediaries [85]. In contrast, an unhealthy diet and lifestyle is associated with low-grade inflammation and increased oxidative stress, which could favor the spread and severity of COVID-19 [5]. In this sense, there is enough evidence to indicate that the consumption of some foods and nutrients affect the functioning of our immune system [86]. Therefore, although the difficulty of the interaction (nutrition and immunology) requires more research at present, it is recommended to ensure the recommended daily allowance (RDA) for those nutrients that are considered important for the proper functioning of immune functions [37].

A diet synonymous with anti-inflammatory properties is the Mediterranean diet, characterized by a high dietary intake of fruits, vegetables, olive oil, whole grains, and nuts, with a low/moderate consumption of fermented dairy products, fish, poultry, wine and, finally, a low consumption of processed and red meats [87]. A diet that conforms to these proportions of these foods is associated with anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory nutrients, such as vital vitamins (A, B, C, D, and E) and minerals (copper, selenium, zinc, etc.), which affect the nutritional status of a person [39]. In this sense, it has been shown that higher adherence to the Mediterranean diet may be related with a lower risk of suffering from COVID-19 [88]. These results are in line with an ecological study of 23 countries where a negative association was also observed between adherence to the Mediterranean

diet and deaths related to COVID-19 when adjusted for factors of well-being and physical inactivity [89].

The most characteristic food of the Mediterranean diet is olive oil [90], characterized by being a key bioactive food due to its high nutritional quality and, in addition to its particular composition in monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), it is an important source of polyphenols (REF). The contemporary knowledge available on the beneficial effects of olive oil and its phenolic compounds, in particular, its biological properties and its antioxidant capacity against immune-mediated inflammatory responses [91] are a potential candidate to act against SARS-CoV-2 [92].

Fish and their oils are another important food group within the Mediterranean pattern. Its content in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) could positively affect the progression and severity of COVID-19 [76,93]. PUFAs have anti-inflammatory properties through various cellular mechanisms, including depletion of proteins that play a central role in COVID-19-induced cytokine storms [94]. Furthermore, PUFAs have been shown to produce less pulmonary neutrophil infiltration and less pulmonary permeability in acute respiratory disease (ARDS), a well-known complication of COVID-19 [30]. In this sense, supplementation with 1 capsule/day with omega-3 (400 mg EPA and 200 mg DHA) enhanced the levels of various respiratory and renal function parameters in critically ill patients with COVID-19 [94].

Another group of high consumption foods in the Mediterranean pattern is that of fruits and vegetables. Although there are no studies that associate the intake of this food group and the suffering of COVID-19, an inverse relationship has been demonstrated between the intakes of fruits and vegetables with respiratory [95] and inflammatory conditions [96], two of the effects of COVID-19. This benefit may be due to its micronutrient profile that can exert an antioxidant, anti-inflammatory action, and other beneficial effects on the suffering of COVID-19 [97]. These micronutrients included in the foods of a healthy diet pattern, such as the B vitamins, vitamin C, D, and E, and the minerals Se, Cu, and Zn, are important for proper immune function [76]. Therefore, although in many cases there is no direct information on their role in COVID-19, it is plausible to believe that an adequate amount of these could potentially favor the prognosis of this disease by increasing resistance to infection [76,98].

In this line, although the role of group B vitamins, present in foods of animal and vegetable origin, in reducing inflammation and respiratory difficulties in patients infected by COVID-19 has not been demonstrated, their individual functions on the immune system make it a group to be reckoned with [99]. Adequate levels of thiamine (vitamin B1) are likely to aid adequate immune responses of antibodies (T cells) during SARS-CoV-2 infection [99]. For its part, riboflavin (vitamin B2), together with ultraviolet light, could alleviate part of the risk of transmission of COVID-19 through blood contact [100]. Niacin (vitamin B3) could be used as a complementary treatment for patients with COVID-19 because it is a basic component of NAD that has immunomodulatory properties by reducing proinflammatory cytokines [101]. Furthermore, it decreases neutrophil infiltration and shows an anti-inflammatory effect in persons affected with lung injury and avoids lung tissue damage [102]. Supplementation with pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP), an active form of vitamin B6, can help mitigate COVID-19 symptoms by regulating immune responses, decreasing proinflammatory cytokines, maintaining endothelial integrity, and preventing hypercoagulability [99]. It has also been suggested that folic acid could be beneficial for the treatment in the early stages of respiratory disease associated with COVID-19 because it was able to inhibit furin, an enzyme associated with bacterial and viral infections, preventing the binding of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, preventing cell entry and virus renewal [103]. Lastly, vitamin B12 supplements have been shown to have the potential to reduce organic symptoms and damage related to COVID-19. In this sense, supplementation with 500 µg of vitamin B12, 1000 IU of vitamin D, and magnesium reduced the severity of COVID-19 symptoms and the need for oxygen and intensive care [104].

Vitamin C, present in fruits and vegetables, can prevent the progression of mild to severe symptoms in patients with COVID-19 [105]. It has been hypothesized that the use of ascorbic acid could reduce SARS-CoV-2 infection through the ability to stimulate the immune response, along with decreasing the severity of the virus-mediated inflammatory response [106]. In this sense, supplementation with high doses of vitamin C has improved oxygen support in patients [107] and showed reductions in inflammatory markers and in the risk of mortality in hospitalized patients [108]. So, high-dose vitamin C may be a promising therapy for COVID-19.

Vitamin E, present in vegetables and vegetable oils, acts as an antioxidant and protects cell membranes, including those of immune cells, from lipid peroxidation [109]. In addition, vitamin E levels have been shown to decrease in cases of influenza infection, and supplementation with vitamin E reduces the severity and duration of this illness [110]. In the same context, a meta-analysis showed that vitamin E reduced the levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), an element used to identify inflammations or infections in the body [111]. A combination of vitamin E and C has recently been proposed to improve cardiac lesions in critically ill COVID-19 patients, further showing its role in COVID-19 disease [112].

Vitamin D is an essential nutrient, whose plasma levels have been positively associated with lower severity in COVID-19 patients because it acts as a modulator of the immune system, providing an effective physical barrier and strengthening both adaptive and innate immunity [113]. Although this relationship is fully confirmed, there are few intervention studies that show the effects of vitamin D supplementation on the immune system of COVID-19 patients. In this sense, although a single oral dose of 200,000 IU of vitamin D3 did not significantly reduce the length of hospital stay of COVID-19 patients [114], a dose of 60,000 IU for 8 or 10 days of vitamin D led to a significant reduction in the inflammatory markers associated with COVID-19 without showing side effects [115].

On the other hand, selenium, a mineral present in fish, seafood, red meat, chicken, eggs, and cereals, may play a role in the prevention of COVID-19 due to its antioxidant function, since it is a structural component of a family of antioxidant enzymes [116]. In parallel, selenium participates in a critical step in the immune response; therefore, selenium deficiency is associated with an increase in inflammatory molecules [11]. Although selenium is found in widely used foods, 42% of hospitalized COVID-19 patients have developed selenium deficiency [85]. In this sense, a supplementation with 1.0 mg/day of intravenous selenium for 10–14 days improved plasma selenium levels that were inversely correlated with inflammation markers in critically ill patients due to COVID-19 [117].

A mineral related to selenium is copper, which we can find in certain fruits and vegetables, as well as oysters and other shellfish. Copper deficiency is related to an increase in the rate of infections and mortality from COVID-19 [118]. Survivors of COVID-19 have been observed to show higher mean serum copper concentrations than those who died [119]. Likewise, a positive linear correlation was observed in total serum copper and selenium concentrations in all patient samples analyzed. These results would indicate that supplementation with these micronutrients in patients with deficiencies of the same can positively influence the prognosis of COVID-19, since it can prevent oxidative DNA damage and reduce inflammatory markers due to the fact that several cuproenzymes control the redox state and support the immune system [119].

Zinc also has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects, in addition to inhibiting the activity and replication of some viruses, such as the coronavirus (SARS-CoV-1) [120]. Although its deficiency is not very common, since we can find it in meat, fish, and seafood, it has been observed that deficient levels of zinc seem to increase the susceptibility to infections of the respiratory system that can be mitigated with supplementation with zinc [121]. On the other hand, zinc may mediate the beneficial effects of chloroquine, a drug that is widely used against COVID-19 because this drug increases intracellular levels of Zn^{2+} [19].

In conclusion, following a healthy dietary pattern, such as the Mediterranean pattern, will include foods (olive oil, fish, fruits, and vegetables) whose micronutrient profile will

allow oxidative stress and high functionality of the system to be kept at bay, important elements to reduce the risk of serious conditions in patients infected by COVID-19.

2.3. *Physiotherapy Intervention*

COVID-19 reduces lung compliance and causes significant changes in lung function, with hypoxic and cardiovascular effects. These changes require management with physical therapy and oxygen therapy and ventilatory support in these patients. Survival and critically ill patients are often associated with severe dysfunction and poor health-related quality of life. Early physiotherapy and community-based rehabilitation of COVID-19 patients have recently been identified as essential therapeutic tools and have become an important evidence-based element in the management of these patients [121].

Patients usually present with a debilitated physical condition because of the disease, which reduces their exercise capacity, especially when they present with fever, dyspnea, myalgia, and fatigue, the debilitated physical condition being the result of prolonged mechanical ventilation and immobilization [122].

A variety of techniques and modalities of early physiotherapy in intensive care unit are suggested by clinical research, but, principally, chest physiotherapy and rehabilitation of respiratory muscles presented evidence that supports or partially supports this. Chest physiotherapy may improve respiratory function and quality of life in people with COVID-19, especially after discharge. Some patients with a productive cough may benefit from airway hygiene procedures and techniques to stimulate coughing [123]. However, be aware that chest physiotherapy is an individual treatment based on the patient's specific symptoms. So, if a patient has symptoms that could benefit from chest physiotherapy, it can be given while the patient is closely monitored for side effects [124].

After the post-intubation and the discharge, interventions oriented principally to recover respiratory muscles have been applied. In this line, the most effective techniques were the rehabilitation involving respiratory muscle training, cough exercise, diaphragmatic training, stretching exercise, and home exercise [125]. These rehabilitation services are presented as essential, highlighting the necessity to continue this service during the pandemic and after it finishes, since they are an essential component of high-value care offered for individuals across the lifespan to optimize physical and cognitive functioning to reduce disability [126].

2.4. *Psychology Interventions*

Quarantine and lockdown measures in the contexts of the COVID-19 pandemic have limited mental health interventions, presenting a double challenge: first, to prevent increased risks in mental disorders; and second, to treat and mitigate mental health interventions to patients and health professionals [127].

Evidence suggests that COVID-19 is associated with an increased risk of developing a mental disorder and poor mental wellbeing and mediated by psychosocial factors, such as socioeconomic status [127]. The most vulnerable groups of negative mental effects from COVID-19 are those with previous mental disorders, health care professionals, and older people [128].

However, though the label is understandable, valid situational responses to psychiatric "disorders" may result in stigma and a perceived need for medication, when providing information, normalizing, validation, kindness, empathy, or social support may be even more effective [128]. For example, mental health care for medical staff in China during the COVID-19 outbreak has been considered a template for best practice "refused any psychological help and stated that they did not have any problems" [129]. Indeed, COVID-19-related distress should not itself be considered a mental disorder.

To date, epidemiological data on the mental health problems and psychiatric morbidity of those suspected or diagnosed with the 2019-nCoV and their treating health professionals have not been available, and mental health care for the patients and health professionals directly affected by the COVID-19 epidemic has been under-addressed [130].

Furthermore, since epidemiological data on the mental health problems of COVID-19 patients or health professionals are not yet fully available, most recommendations of nonpharmacological interventions for the actual COVID-19 pandemic are based on mental health consequences and measures taken during the 2003 SARS outbreak.

In general, most patients experienced emotions of fear of the consequences of infection and loneliness and stigma. From a stress model perspective, the perception of uncertainty and uncontrollability are core predictors of increased stress and, therefore, increased risk of mental disorders and worse health, including depression and suicidality [131]. Moreover, recent studies suggest an increased risk in drug use and drug-related harms [132]. Health care professionals have also reported increased risk of depression, anxiety, fear, and frustration [130].

However, most health professionals, even those working in COVID-19 units, fail to receive any training in providing mental health care.

Some recommendations to prevent or mitigate the increased risk of mental health problems include: first, to communicate with patients and health professionals regularly with clear and accurate updates about COVID-19 and their progress, if necessary using smartphone- or internet-based communications to reduce uncertainty associated with stress and isolation. Second, provide regular screening for depression, anxiety, and suicidality, followed by formal psychological treatments when needed. Interestingly, it is worth mentioning that recent studies suggest that the most common trajectory for both anxiety–depression in COVID-19 is characterized by a resilient little-to-no psychological distress [133].

Both patients and health care providers would also benefit from raising awareness about the mental health impact of COVID-19 and increasing access to public mental health and reducing social isolation resulting from lockdown and social distance measures to face COVID-19.

In summary, mental health should be part of an integral response to COVID-19, with long-lasting positive effects that may outlast the pandemic. In order to reach this goal, it is a priority to develop psychological interventions to meet the mental health problems in both COVID-19 patients and health care professionals [134]. However, for most patients and health workers, emotional and behavioral responses are part of an adaptive response to extraordinary stress, so non-psychological interventions based on the stress-adaptation model might be helpful [134]. Moreover, more funding for providing an adequate psychological intervention is needed [135], since coverage of interventions to prevent mental disorder or promote mental well-being is negligible and future research should focus on this area.

2.5. Life Patterns

In a landmark study published in *Science* 100 years ago, two main lifestyle or behavioral factors should be considered to precede the Spanish Flu pandemic [136]: first, risk misperception put oneself and others in danger; second, self-isolation or social distancing as a measure to protect others is contra intuitive and less likely to be endorsed.

This is interesting because most current studies have focused on COVID-19 as a pandemic associated with infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). However, this infectious disease interacts with psychosocial factors, such as social inequality and other noncommunicable diseases related with lifestyle patterns. Indeed, the aggregation of these lifestyle patterns and the background of social and economic disparity, which may exacerbate the adverse effects of COVID-19 suggest that COVID-19 is rather a syndemic than a pandemic [137]. In other words, framing COVID-19 as a syndemic highlights the important role of psychosocial factors (encompassing education, employment, housing, food, and environment) and lifestyle patterns. Therefore, solutions should include lifestyle-based interventions, beyond seeking purely pharmaceutical solutions.

Currently, the total number of people living with chronic diseases associated with poor lifestyles is growing; therefore, when facing COVID-19, we should consider risk lifestyles

associated with hypertension, obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular, chronic respiratory diseases, and cancer.

Certainly, tobacco smokers are also at high risk of severe COVID-19 infection due to poor lung function, because COVID-19 transmits through salivary droplets and may cause severe lung pneumonia [138]. Moreover, it is a potential mode of transmission for the virus for both active and passive smokers, because it produces through exhaled smoke, coughing, or sneezing aerosols containing the virus [138]. Based on past public health crises, long-term alcohol consumption may increase 1.5 times due to distress [139]. Furthermore, people with substance use are at risk for contamination and socioeconomic changes caused by the pandemic will add to the classical difficulties in access to and adherence to treatment, certainly worsening their condition with COVID-19 [140]. Moreover, the risk of a variety of symptoms ranging from sleep disturbances to suicide may be exacerbated due to COVID-19 [125]. In fact, sleep abnormalities are a stand-alone risk factor for suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and suicide death [141]. Finally, according to recent research conducted by the American Diabetes Association, obesity is a risk factor for greater COVID-19 severity [142]. It has been hypothesized that obesity commonly aggravates the severity of respiratory diseases in three pathways: first, due to underlying low-grade chronic inflammation and suppression of innate and adaptive immune response; second, by providing an altered microenvironment able to support the emergence of potentially pathogenic variants responsible for greater disease severity; and, third, severe obesity is associated with mechanical dysfunction, increasing the severity of lower respiratory tract infection or secondary infections [142]. Finally, compelling evidence supports the link between larger exposure to poor air quality or air pollution and 9.4 times more COVID-19 cases and 3.0 times more hospital admissions [143]. For example, in a recent study conducted in England, regional levels of NO₂, NO, and O₃ correlated with number of COVID-19 cases and deaths (144). Moreover, small increases in air pollution have led to a large increase in COVID-19 cases and mortality [144]. Therefore, exposure to poor air or pollution may increase vulnerability and worsen the prognosis of COVID-19 patients [145].

The perception of risk of COVID-19 has consequences in daily behaviors and lifestyle patterns. The usual “optimism bias” may lead to underestimating the likelihood of contracting a disease such as COVID-19 [146]. Moreover, many risk perceptions are driven by emotional information rather than factual information. Emotions may bias the way in which information is processed. Strong emotional reactions may lead to ignoring important numeric information, such as probabilities of contagion or number of deaths [147]. This has important implications in how media should inform about COVID-19. Moreover, the perception of risk or threat by COVID-19 increases the risk of intolerance and discrimination or punitive attitudes towards out-groups [148]. This is relevant to establish social norms that balance freedom and social distancing in the context of COVID-19.

Indeed, the role of information is key, and the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in an increase in conspiracy theories, fake news, and misinformation. This is a serious problem because more people may isolate themselves or make pervasive lifestyle decisions, such as hostility toward groups seen as responsible for the virus. There is no doubt that coping with COVID-19 will benefit from strategies that aim to engage in effective communication to increase persuasion around public health [149].

Social distancing and self-isolation are a key measure when dealing with COVID-19. However, social connection also helps people to regulate their emotions and cope with stress and remain resilient during adverse times [150]. Unfortunately, loneliness and social isolation worsen the burden of stress and often produce deleterious effects on mental, cardiovascular, and immune health [150].

On the positive side, a sense of shared identity and mutual aid groups among the public have become widespread in response to COVID-19 [147]. This enhances collaboration and result from addressing the public in collective terms and by urging “us” to act for the common good [151]. By contrast, competition may lead to stocking up on supplies in preparation for potential self-isolation [147].

In summary, the global health crisis associated with COVID-19 places important psychological burdens on individuals and requires a large-scale lifestyle change. Previous authors have already highlighted why insights from social and behavioral sciences can be used to align behaviors with recommendations of epidemiologists and public health experts [152].

3. Conclusions

The SARS-CoV-2 virus produces a disproportionate inflammatory response in the organism of the guest and causes complications in this that can end the life of the patient. It has been possible to see how different nonpharmacological interventions based on physical activity, nutritional, psychological, physical therapy, and lifestyle changes can be functional tools to treat this inflammation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.J.C.-S. and Á.B.-S.; methodology, V.J.C.-S., P.R., J.M.-A. and J.F.T.-A.; investigation, all authors; writing—original draft preparation, all authors; writing—review and editing, all authors; supervision, V.J.C.-S.; project administration, V.J.C.-S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: P.R. contribution was funded by the European Union—NextGeneration EU through the Grant for the Requalification of the Spanish University System for 2021–2023 at the Public University of Navarre (Resolution 1402/2021).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Platto, S.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Carafoli, E. History of the COVID-19 pandemic: Origin, explosion, worldwide spreading. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2021**, *538*, 14–23. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. World Health Organization. *Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Situation Report, 94*; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020.
3. Fehr, A.R.; Perlman, S. Coronaviruses: An overview of their replication and pathogenesis. In *Coronaviruses*; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2015; pp. 1–23.
4. Jose, R.J.; Manuel, A. COVID-19 cytokine storm: The interplay between inflammation and coagulation. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2020**, *8*, e46–e47. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Hu, B.; Huang, S.; Yin, L. The cytokine storm and COVID-19. *J. Med. Virol.* **2021**, *93*, 250–256. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Yang, X.; Yu, Y.; Xu, J.; Shu, H.; Liu, H.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Yu, Z.; Fang, M.; Yu, T.; et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in wuhan, china: A single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2020**, *8*, 475–481. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Park, W.B.; Kwon, N.J.; Choi, S.J.; Kang, C.K.; Choe, P.G.; Kim, J.Y.; Yun, J.; Lee, G.W.; Seong, M.W.; Kim, N.J.; et al. Virus isolation from the first patient with SARS-CoV-2 in Korea. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* **2020**, *35*, e84. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Chen, I.; Moriyama, M.; Chang, M.; Ichinohe, T. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus viroporin 3a activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 50. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Fink, S.L.; Cookson, B.T. Apoptosis, pyroptosis, and necrosis: Mechanistic description of dead and dying eukaryotic cells. *Infect. Immun.* **2005**, *73*, 1907–1916. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Huang, C.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Ren, L.; Zhao, J.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fan, G.; Xu, J.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 497–506. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Huang, Z.; Rose, A.H.; Hoffmann, P.R. The role of selenium in inflammation and immunity: From molecular mechanisms to therapeutic opportunities. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* **2012**, *16*, 705–743. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Xu, Z.; Shi, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Huang, L.; Zhang, C.; Liu, S.; Zhao, P.; Liu, H.; Zhu, L.; et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2020**, *8*, 420–422. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Guan, W.J.; Ni, Z.Y.; Hu, Y.; Liang, W.H.; Ou, C.Q.; He, J.X.; Liu, L.; Shan, H.; Lei, C.L.; Hui, D.S.; et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in china. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2020**, *382*, 1708–1720. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Avila-Jalca, M.A.; Castro-Jalca, F.P.; Castro-Jalca, J.E. Características epidemiológicas y fisiopatológicas por SAR-CoV-2. *Polo Del Conoc.* **2021**, *6*, 47–60.

15. Whetton, A.D.; Preston, G.W.; Abubeker, S.; Geifman, N. Proteomics and informatics for understanding phases and identifying biomarkers in COVID-19 disease. *J. Proteome Res.* **2020**, *19*, 4219–4232. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Zhang, B.; Zhou, X.; Qiu, Y.; Song, Y.; Feng, F.; Feng, J.; Song, Q.; Jia, Q.; Wang, J. Clinical characteristics of 82 cases of death from COVID-19. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0235458. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Zhou, F.; Yu, T.; Du, R.; Fan, G.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Xiang, J.; Wang, Y.; Song, B.; Gu, X.; et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 1054–1062. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Liao, M.; Liu, Y.; Yuan, J.; Wen, Y.; Xu, G.; Zhao, J.; Chen, L.; Li, J.; Wang, X.; Wang, F.; et al. The landscape of lung bronchoalveolar immune cells in COVID-19 revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Medrxiv* **2020**. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Zabetakis, I.; Lordan, R.; Norton, C.; Tsoupras, A. COVID-19: The inflammation link and the role of nutrition in potential mitigation. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 1466. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Xue, J.; Moyer, A.; Peng, B.; Wu, J.; Hannafon, B.N.; Ding, W. Chloroquine is a zinc ionophore. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e109180. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Cunha, A.L.A.; Cornejo, A.A.Q.; Hilari, A.Á.; Cayoja, A.V.; Mendoza, J.M.C.; Carrasco, O.V. Breve historia y fisiopatología del COVID-19. *Cuad. Hosp. De Clínicas* **2020**, *61*, 130–143.
22. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in china. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi = Zhonghua Liuxingbingxue Zazhi* **2020**, *41*, 145–151. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Evangelista, F.S. Physical exercise and the renin angiotensin system: Prospects in the COVID-19. *Front. Physiol.* **2020**, *11*, 1282. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Heffernan, K.S.; Jae, S.Y. Exercise as medicine for COVID-19: An ACE in the hole? *Med. Hypotheses* **2020**, *142*, 109835. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Hagi, B. Vasodilators, enhancers of prevention through exercise of COVID-19? *J. Pharm. Res. Int.* **2020**, *32*, 126–131. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Fernández-Lázaro, D.; González-Bernal, J.J.; Sánchez-Serrano, N.; Navascués, L.J.; Ascaso-del-Río, A.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J. Physical exercise as a multimodal tool for COVID-19: Could it be used as a preventive strategy? *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 8496. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Benatti, F.B.; Pedersen, B.K. Exercise as an anti-inflammatory therapy for rheumatic diseases—Myokine regulation. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* **2015**, *11*, 86–97. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Pedersen, B.K.; Febbraio, M.A. Muscle as an endocrine organ: Focus on muscle-derived interleukin-6. *Physiol. Rev.* **2008**, *88*, 1379–1406. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Gordon, P.M.; Liu, D.; Sartor, M.A.; IglayRager, H.B.; Pistilli, E.E.; Gutmann, L.; Nader, G.A.; Hoffman, E.P. Resistance exercise training influences skeletal muscle immune activation: A microarray analysis. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2012**, *112*, 443–453. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Metsios, G.S.; Moe, R.H.; Kitas, G.D. Exercise and inflammation. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol.* **2020**, *34*, 101504. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Saltiel, A.R.; Olefsky, J.M. Inflammatory mechanisms linking obesity and metabolic disease. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2017**, *127*, 1–4. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Fang, L.; Karakiulakis, G.; Roth, M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? *Lancet Respir. Med.* **2020**, *8*, e21. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Hotamisligil, G.S. Inflammation and metabolic disorders. *Nature* **2006**, *444*, 860–867. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
34. Frydrych, L.M.; Bian, G.; O’Lone, D.E.; Ward, P.A.; Delano, M.J. Obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus drive immune dysfunction, infection development, and sepsis mortality. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **2018**, *104*, 525–534. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Higgins, V.; Sohaei, D.; Diamandis, E.P.; Prassas, I. COVID-19: From an acute to chronic disease? potential long-term health consequences. *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.* **2021**, *58*, 297–310. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Butler, M.J.; Barrientos, R.M. The impact of nutrition on COVID-19 susceptibility and long-term consequences. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2020**, *87*, 53–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Richardson, D.P.; Lovegrove, J.A. Nutritional status of micronutrients as a possible and modifiable risk factor for COVID-19: A UK perspective. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2021**, *125*, 678–684. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Rodríguez-Besteiro, S.; Valencia-Zapata, G.; Beltrán de la Rosa, E.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Food consumption and COVID-19 risk perception of university students. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 1625. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Martín-Rodríguez, A.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F.; López-Pérez, P.J.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Overweight and executive functions, psychological and behavioral profile of Spanish adolescents. *Physiol. Behav.* **2022**, *254*, 113901. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Casas, R.; Sacanella, E.; Estruch, R. The immune protective effect of the mediterranean diet against chronic low-grade inflammatory diseases. *Endocr. Metab. Immune Disord. -Drug Targets* **2014**, *14*, 245–254. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Carreira-Míguez, M.; Ramos-Campo, D.J.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Differences in Nutritional and Psychological Habits in Hypertension Patients. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2022**, *2022*, 1920996. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Kapiszewska, M.; Soltys, E.; Visioli, F.; Cierniak, A.; Zajac, G. The protective ability of the mediterranean plant extracts against the oxidative DNA damage. the role of the radical oxygen species and the polyphenol content. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol. Suppl.* **2005**, *56*, 183–197.
43. Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J.; Quiles, J.L.; Varela-Lopez, A.; Aranda, P. Effect of α -tocopherol megadoses on hematologic parameters and antioxidant capacity of rats in an ultraendurance probe. *Physiol. Int.* **2017**, *104*, 291–300. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

44. Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Dalamitros, A.A.; Beltran-Velasco, A.I.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F. Social and psychophysiological consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic: An extensive literature review. *Front. Psychol.* **2020**, *11*, 580225. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Halaris, A. Inflammation and depression but where does the inflammation come from? *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry* **2019**, *32*, 422–428. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Müller, N.; Schwarz, M.J.; Dehning, S.; Douhe, A.; Ceroveck, A.; Goldstein-Müller, B.; Spellmann, I.; Hetzel, G.; Maino, K.; Kleindienst, N.; et al. The cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor celecoxib has therapeutic effects in major depression: Results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled, add-on pilot study to reboxetine. *Mol. Psychiatry* **2006**, *11*, 680–684. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Miller, A.H.; Maletic, V.; Raison, C.L. Inflammation and its discontents: The role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* **2009**, *65*, 732–741. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Bermejo-Franco, A.; Sánchez-Sánchez, J.L.; Gaviña-Barroso, M.I.; Atienza-Carbonell, B.; Balanzá-Martínez, V.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Gender Differences in Psychological Stress Factors of Physical Therapy Degree Students in the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, *19*, 810. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Ulloa, L.; Quiroz-Gonzalez, S.; Torres-Rosas, R. Nerve stimulation: Immunomodulation and control of inflammation. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2017**, *23*, 1103–1120. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Pavlov, V.A.; Tracey, K.J. Neural regulation of immunity: Molecular mechanisms and clinical translation. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2017**, *20*, 156–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Torres-Rosas, R.; Yehia, G.; Peña, G.; Mishra, P.; del Rocio Thompson-Bonilla, M.; Moreno-Eutimio, M.A.; Arriaga-Pizano, L.A.; Isibasi, A.; Ulloa, L. Dopamine mediates vagal modulation of the immune system by electroacupuncture. *Nat. Med.* **2014**, *20*, 291–295. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Alkhatib, A. Antiviral functional foods and exercise lifestyle prevention of coronavirus. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 2633. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Bustamante-Sánchez, A.; Gomez-Ruano, M.A.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Jiménez-Sáiz, S.L. Pre-shot combinations and game-related statistics discriminating between winners and losers depending on the game location during the NBA COVID-19 season. *Front. Physiol.* **2022**, *13*, 949445. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Heffernan, K.S.; Ranadive, S.M.; Jae, S.Y. Exercise as medicine for COVID-19: On PPAR with emerging pharmacotherapy. *Med. Hypotheses* **2020**, *143*, 110197. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Batatinha, H.A.P.; Kruger, K.; Rosa Neto, J.C. Thromboinflammation and COVID-19: The role of exercise in the prevention and treatment. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2020**, *7*, 582824. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
56. Furtado, G.E.; Letieri, R.V.; Caldo-Silva, A.; Sardão, V.A.; Teixeira, A.M.; de Barros, M.P.; Vieira, R.P.; Bachi, A.L.L. Sustaining efficient immune functions with regular physical exercise in the COVID-19 era and beyond. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **2021**, *51*, e13485. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. De Sousa, R.A.L.; Improta-Caria, A.C.; Aras-Júnior, R.; de Oliveira, E.M.; Soci, Ú.P.R.; Cassilhas, R.C. Physical exercise effects on the brain during COVID-19 pandemic: Links between mental and cardiovascular health. *Neurol. Sci.* **2021**, *42*, 1325–1334. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Simpson, R.J.; Katsanis, E. The immunological case for staying active during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2020**, *87*, 6–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Brawner, C.A.; Ehrman, J.K.; Bole, S.; Kerrigan, D.J.; Parikh, S.S.; Lewis, B.K.; Gindi, R.M.; Keteyian, C.; Abdul-Nour, K.; Keteyian, S.J. Inverse relationship of maximal exercise capacity to hospitalization secondary to coronavirus disease 2019. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **2021**, *96*, 32–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Zbinden-Foncea, H.; Francaux, M.; Deldicque, L.; Hawley, J.A. Does high cardiorespiratory fitness confer some protection against proinflammatory responses after infection by SARS-CoV-2? *Obesity* **2020**, *28*, 1378–1381. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Alschuler, L.; Chiasson, A.M.; Horwitz, R.; Sternberg, E.; Crocker, R.; Weil, A.; Maizes, V. Integrative medicine considerations for convalescence from mild-to-moderate COVID-19 disease. *Explore* **2020**, *18*, 140–148. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Scudiero, O.; Lombardo, B.; Brancaccio, M.; Mennitti, C.; Cesaro, A.; Fimiani, F.; Gentile, L.; Moscarella, E.; Amodio, F.; Ranieri, A.; et al. Exercise, immune system, nutrition, respiratory and cardiovascular diseases during COVID-19: A complex combination. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 904. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Rahmati-Ahmadabad, S.; Hosseini, F. Exercise against SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19): Does workout intensity matter? (A mini review of some indirect evidence related to obesity). *Obes. Med.* **2020**, *19*, 100245. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Zadow, E.K.; Wundersitz, D.W.T.; Hughes, D.L.; Adams, M.J.; Kingsley, M.I.C.; Blacklock, H.A.; Wu, S.S.X.; Benson, A.C.; Duthiel, F.; Gordon, B.A. Coronavirus (COVID-19), coagulation, and exercise: Interactions that may influence health outcomes. *Semin. Thromb. Hemost.* **2020**, *46*, 807–814. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
65. Uz, C.; Umay, E.; Gundogdu, I.; Uz, F.B.; Bahtiyarca, Z.T. Factors affecting medium-term aerobic capacity in COVID-19 patients. *Acta Med.* **2021**, *37*, 847.
66. Mohamed, A.A.; Alawna, M. Role of increasing the aerobic capacity on improving the function of immune and respiratory systems in patients with coronavirus (COVID-19): A review. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev.* **2020**, *14*, 489–496. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Cramer, G.A.G.; Bielecki, M.; Züst, R.; Buehrer, T.W.; Stanga, Z.; Deuel, J.W. Reduced maximal aerobic capacity after COVID-19 in young adult recruits, Switzerland, May 2020. *Eurosurveillance* **2020**, *25*, 2001542. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

68. Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Beltrán-Velasco, A.I.; Ramos-Campo, D.J.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J.; Nikolaidis, P.A.; Belando, N.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F. Physical activity and COVID-19. The basis for an efficient intervention in times of COVID-19 pandemic. *Physiol. Behav.* **2022**, *244*, 113667. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Jimenez-Pavon, D.; Carbonell-Baeza, A.; Lavie, C.J. Physical exercise as therapy to fight against the mental and physical consequences of COVID-19 quarantine: Special focus in older people. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2020**, *63*, 386–388. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Chen, P.; Mao, L.; Nassis, G.P.; Harmer, P.; Ainsworth, B.E.; Li, F. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): The need to maintain regular physical activity while taking precautions. *J. Sport Health Sci.* **2020**, *9*, 103–104. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Brooks, S.K.; Webster, R.K.; Smith, L.E.; Woodland, L.; Wessely, S.; Greenberg, N.; Rubin, G.J. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet* **2020**, *395*, 912–920. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Betschart, M.; Rezek, S.; Unger, I.; Beyer, S.; Gisi, D.; Shannon, H.; Sieber, C. Feasibility of an outpatient training program after COVID-19. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 3978. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Khoramipour, K.; Basereh, A.; Hekmatikar, A.A.; Castell, L.; Ruhee, R.T.; Suzuki, K. Physical activity and nutrition guidelines to help with the fight against COVID-19. *J. Sport. Sci.* **2021**, *39*, 101–107. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
74. Wackerhage, H.; Everett, R.; Krüger, K.; Murgia, M.; Simon, P.; Gehlert, S.; Neuberger, E.; Baumert, P.; Schönfelder, M. Sport, exercise and COVID-19, the disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus. *Dtsch Z Sport.* **2020**, *71*, E1–E12. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Martínez-González, M.B.; Benitez-Agudelo, J.C.; Navarro-Jiménez, E.; Beltran-Velasco, A.I.; Ruisoto, P.; Diaz Arroyo, E.; Laborde-Cárdenas, C.C.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental disorders. A critical review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 10041. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Curci, C.; Pisano, F.; Bonacci, E.; Camozzi, D.M.; Ceravolo, C.; Bergonzi, R.; De Franceschi, S.; Moro, P.; Guarnieri, R.; Ferrillo, M.; et al. Early rehabilitation in post-acute COVID-19 patients: Data from an Italian COVID-19 rehabilitation unit and proposal of a treatment protocol. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* **2020**, *56*, 633–641. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Clemente-Suárez, V.J.; Ramos-Campo, D.J.; Mielgo-Ayuso, J.; Dalamitros, A.A.; Nikolaidis, P.A.; Hormeño-Holgado, A.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F. Nutrition in the actual COVID-19 pandemic. A narrative review. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 1924. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Sañudo, B.; Seixas, A.; Gloeckl, R.; Rittweger, J.; Rawer, R.; Taiar, R.; Van Der Zee, E.A.; Van Heuvelen, M.J.; Lacerda, A.C.; Sartorio, A.; et al. Potential application of whole body vibration exercise for improving the clinical conditions of COVID-19 infected individuals: A narrative review from the world association of vibration exercise experts (WAVex) panel. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 3650. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
79. Kenyon, C. The Forrest Gump approach to preventing severe COVID-19—Reverse the predisposing pro-inflammatory state with exercise. *Microbes Infect.* **2020**, *22*, 151–153. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. da Silveira, M.P.; da Silva Fagundes, K.K.; Bizuti, M.R.; Starck, É.; Rossi, R.C.; de Resende E Silva, D.T. Physical exercise as a tool to help the immune system against COVID-19: An integrative review of the current literature. *Clin. Exp. Med.* **2021**, *21*, 15–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Eory, A.; Bekesi, D.; Eory, A.; Rozsa, S. Physical exercise as a resilience factor to mitigate COVID-related allostatic overload. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **2021**, *90*, 200–206. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Sallis, R.; Young, D.R.; Tartof, S.Y.; Sallis, J.F.; Sall, J.; Li, Q.; Smith, G.N.; A Cohen, D. Physical inactivity is associated with a higher risk for severe COVID-19 outcomes: A study in 48 440 adult patients. *Br. J. Sport. Med.* **2021**, *55*, 1099–1105. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Valenzuela, P.L.; Simpson, R.J.; Castillo-García, A.; Lucia, A. Physical activity: A coadjuvant treatment to COVID-19 vaccination? *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2021**, *94*, 1–3. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
84. Codella, R.; Chirico, A.; Lucidi, F.; Ferrulli, A.; La Torre, A.; Luzi, L. The immune-modulatory effects of exercise should be favorably harnessed against COVID-19. *J. Endocrinol. Investig.* **2021**, *44*, 1119–1122. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Jesus, I.; Vanhee, V.; Deramaudt, T.B.; Bonay, M. Promising effects of exercise on the cardiovascular, metabolic and immune system during COVID-19 period. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* **2021**, *35*, 1–3. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
86. Im, J.H.; Je, Y.S.; Baek, J.; Chung, M.; Kwon, H.Y.; Lee, J. Nutritional status of patients with COVID-19. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2020**, *100*, 390–393. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Leandro, C.G.; Ferreira, E.; Silva, W.T.; Lima-Silva, A.E. COVID-19 and exercise-induced immunomodulation. *Neuroimmunomodulation* **2020**, *27*, 75–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Childs, C.E.; Calder, P.C.; Miles, E.A. Diet and immune function. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 1933. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Tosti, V.; Bertozzi, B.; Fontana, L. Health benefits of the Mediterranean diet: Metabolic and molecular mechanisms. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A* **2018**, *73*, 318–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Perez-Araluce, R.; Martínez-González, M.; Fernández-Lázaro, C.; Bes-Rastrollo, M.; Gea, A.; Carlos, S. Mediterranean diet and the risk of COVID-19 in the ‘Seguimiento universidad de Navarra’ cohort. *Clin. Nutr.* **2021**, *41*, 3061–3068. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Greene, M.W.; Roberts, A.P.; Frugé, A.D. Negative association between Mediterranean diet adherence and COVID-19 cases and related deaths in Spain and 25 OECD countries: An ecological study. *Front. Nutr.* **2021**, *8*, 74. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Gaforio, J.J.; Visioli, F.; Alarcón-de-la-Lastra, C.; Castañer, O.; Delgado-Rodríguez, M.; Fitó, M.; Hernández, A.F.; Huertas, J.R.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Menendez, J.A.; et al. Virgin olive oil and health: Summary of the III international conference on virgin olive oil and health consensus report, JAEN (Spain) 2018. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 2039. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Magrone, T. Effects of extra virgin olive oil on the immune-mediated inflammatory responses: Potential clinical applications. *Endocr. Metab. Immune Disord. -Drug Targets* **2018**, *18*, 3. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

94. Majumder, D.; Debnath, M.; Sharma, K.N.; Shekhawat, S.S.; Prasad, G.B.K.S.; Maiti, D.; Ramakrishna, S. Olive oil consumption can prevent non-communicable diseases and COVID-19: Review. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* **2022**, *23*, 261–275. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Martín-Rodríguez, A.; Bustamante-Sánchez, Á.; Martínez-Guardado, I.; Navarro-Jiménez, E.; Plata-SanJuan, E.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Infancy Dietary Patterns, Development, and Health: An Extensive Narrative Review. *Children* **2022**, *9*, 1072. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
96. Doaei, S.; Gholami, S.; Rastgoo, S.; Gholamalizadeh, M.; Bourbour, F.; Bagheri, S.E.; Samipoor, F.; Akbari, M.E.; Shadnoush, M.; Ghorat, F.; et al. The effect of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on clinical and biochemical parameters of critically ill patients with COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. *J. Transl. Med.* **2021**, *19*, 128. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Tan, A.; Sullenbarger, B.; Prakash, R.; McDaniel, J.C. Supplementation with eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid reduces high levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines in aging adults: A randomized, controlled study. *Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids* **2018**, *132*, 23–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Kaluza, J.; Harris, H.R.; Linden, A.; Wolk, A. Long-term consumption of fruits and vegetables and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A prospective cohort study of women. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2018**, *47*, 1897–1909. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Holt, E.M.; Steffen, L.M.; Moran, A.; Basu, S.; Steinberger, J.; Ross, J.A.; Hong, C.-P.; Sinaiko, A.R. Fruit and vegetable consumption and its relation to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in adolescents. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **2009**, *109*, 414–421. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Lapuente, M.; Estruch, R.; Shahbaz, M.; Casas, R. Relation of fruits and vegetables with major cardiometabolic risk factors, markers of oxidation, and inflammation. *Nutrients* **2019**, *11*, 2381. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Martín-Rodríguez, A.; Tornero-Aguilera, J.F.; López-Pérez, P.J.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Dietary patterns of adolescent students during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. *Physiol. Behav.* **2022**, *249*, 113764. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Shakoor, H.; Feehan, J.; Mikkelsen, K.; Al Dhaheri, A.S.; Ali, H.I.; Platat, C.; Ismail, L.C.; Stojanovska, L.; Apostolopoulos, V. Be well: A potential role for vitamin B in COVID-19. *Maturitas* **2021**, *144*, 108–111. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Ragan, I.; Hartson, L.; Pidcoke, H.; Bowen, R.; Goodrich, R. Pathogen reduction of SARS-CoV-2 virus in plasma and whole blood using riboflavin and UV light. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0233947. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
104. Mikkelsen, K.; Stojanovska, L.; Prakash, M.; Apostolopoulos, V. The effects of vitamin B on the immune/cytokine network and their involvement in depression. *Maturitas* **2017**, *96*, 58–71. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
105. Nagai, A.; Matsumiya, H.; Hayashi, M.; Yasui, S.; Okamoto, H.; Konno, K. Effects of nicotinamide and niacin on bleomycin-induced acute injury and subsequent fibrosis in hamster lungs. *Exp. Lung Res.* **1994**, *20*, 263–281. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Sheybani, Z.; Dokooohaki, M.H.; Negahdaripour, M.; Dehdashti, M.; Zolghadr, H.; Moghadami, M.; Masoompour, S.M.; Zolghadr, A.R. The role of folic acid in the management of respiratory disease caused by COVID-19. *ChemRxiv* **2020**. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Tan, C.W.; Ho, L.P.; Kalimuddin, S.; Chheng, B.P.Z.; Teh, Y.E.; Thien, S.Y.; Wong, H.M.; Tern, P.J.W.; Chandran, M.; Chay, J.W.M. A cohort study to evaluate the effect of combination vitamin D, magnesium and vitamin B12 (DMB) on progression to severe outcome in older COVID-19 patients. *Medrxiv* **2020**. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Rodriguez-Guerra, M.; Jadhav, P.; Vittorio, T.J. Current treatment in COVID-19 disease: A rapid review. *Drugs Context* **2021**, *10*. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Patterson, T.; Isales, C.M.; Fulzele, S. Low level of vitamin C and dysregulation of vitamin C transporter might be involved in the severity of COVID-19 infection. *Aging Dis.* **2021**, *12*, 14. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Gao, D.; Xu, M.; Wang, G.; Lv, J.; Ma, X.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, D.; Yang, H.; Jiang, W.; Deng, F.; et al. The efficiency and safety of high-dose vitamin C in patients with COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. *Aging* **2021**, *13*, 7020–7034. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Hiedra, R.; Lo, K.B.; Elbashabsheh, M.; Gul, F.; Wright, R.M.; Albano, J.; Azmaiparashvili, Z.; Aponte, G.P. The use of IV vitamin C for patients with COVID-19: A case series. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* **2020**, *18*, 1259–1261. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Coquette, A.; Vray, B.; Vanderpas, J. Role of vitamin E in the protection of the resident macrophage membrane against oxidative damage. *Arch. Int. De Physiol. Et De Biochim.* **1986**, *94*, S29–S34.
113. Detopoulou, P.; Demopoulos, C.A.; Antonopoulou, S. Micronutrients, phytochemicals and mediterranean diet: A potential protective role against COVID-19 through modulation of PAF actions and metabolism. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 462. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Saboori, S.; Shab-Bidar, S.; Speakman, J.; Rad, E.Y.; Djafarian, K. Effect of vitamin E supplementation on serum C-reactive protein level: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2015**, *69*, 867–873. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
115. Wang, J.Z.; Zhang, R.Y.; Bai, J. An anti-oxidative therapy for ameliorating cardiac injuries of critically ill COVID-19-infected patients. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **2020**, *312*, 137–138. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
116. Khan, A.H.; Nasir, N.; Nasir, N.; Maha, Q.; Rehman, R. Vitamin D and COVID-19: Is there a role? *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* **2021**, *20*, 931–938. [[CrossRef](#)]
117. Murai, I.H.; Fernandes, A.L.; Sales, L.P.; Pinto, A.J.; Goessler, K.F.; Duran, C.S.; Silva, C.B.; Franco, A.S.; Macedo, M.B.; Dalmolin, H.H.; et al. Effect of a single high dose of vitamin D3 on hospital length of stay in patients with moderate to severe COVID-19: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* **2021**, *325*, 1053–1060. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Lakkireddy, M.; Gadiga, S.G.; Malathi, R.; Karra, M.L.; Raju, I.P.M.; Chinapaka, S.; Baba, K.S.; Kandakatla, M. Impact of daily high dose oral vitamin D therapy on the inflammatory markers in patients with COVID 19 disease. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 10641. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
119. Avery, J.C.; Hoffmann, P.R. Selenium, selenoproteins, and immunity. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 1203. [[CrossRef](#)]

120. Notz, Q.; Herrmann, J.; Schlesinger, T.; Helmer, P.; Sudowe, S.; Sun, Q.; Hackler, J.; Roeder, D.; Lotz, C.; Meybohm, P.; et al. Clinical significance of micronutrient supplementation in critically ill COVID-19 patients with severe ARDS. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 2113. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Fernández-Quintela, A.; Milton-Laskibar, I.; Trepiana, J.; Gómez-Zorita, S.; Kajarabille, N.; Léniz, A.; González, M.; Portillo, M.P. Key aspects in nutritional management of COVID-19 patients. *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 2589. [[CrossRef](#)]
122. Hackler, J.; Heller, R.; Sun, Q.; Schwarzer, M.; Diegmann, J.; Bachmann, M.; Moghaddam, A.; Schomburg, L. Relation of serum copper status to survival in COVID-19. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 1898. [[CrossRef](#)]
123. Te Velthuis, A.J.; van den Worm, S.H.; Sims, A.C.; Baric, R.S.; Snijder, E.J.; van Hemert, M.J. Zn² inhibits coronavirus and arterivirus RNA polymerase activity in vitro and zinc ionophores block the replication of these viruses in cell culture. *PLoS Pathog.* **2010**, *6*, e1001176. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
124. Hasanzadeh Kiabi, F.; Alipour, A.; Darvishi-Khezri, H.; Aliasgharian, A.; Emami Zeydi, A. Zinc supplementation in adult mechanically ventilated trauma patients is associated with decreased occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia: A secondary analysis of a prospective, observational study. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med. Peer-Rev. Off. Publ. Indian Soc. Crit. Care Med.* **2017**, *21*, 34–39. [[CrossRef](#)]
125. Kalirathinam, D.; Guruchandran, R.; Subramani, P. Comprehensive physiotherapy management in COVID-19—A narrative review. *Sci. Med.* **2020**, *30*, e38030. [[CrossRef](#)]
126. Yang, J.; Li, J.; Lai, S.; Ruktanonchai, C.W.; Xing, W.; Carioli, A.; Wang, P.; Ruktanonchai, N.W.; Li, R.; Floyd, J.R.; et al. Uncovering two phases of early intercontinental COVID-19 transmission dynamics. *J. Travel Med.* **2020**, *27*, taaa200. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Righetti, R.F.; Onoue, M.A.; Politi, F.V.A.; Teixeira, D.T.; Souza, P.N.D.; Kondo, C.S.; Moderno, E.V.; Moraes, I.G.; Maida, A.L.V.; Pastore Junior, L.; et al. Physiotherapy care of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)—a Brazilian experience. *Clinics* **2020**, *75*. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Abdullahi, A. Safety and efficacy of chest physiotherapy in patients with COVID-19: A critical review. *Front. Med.* **2020**, *7*, 454. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Liu, K.; Chen, Y.; Wu, D.; Lin, R.; Wang, Z.; Pan, L. Effects of progressive muscle relaxation on anxiety and sleep quality in patients with COVID-19. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* **2020**, *39*, 101132. [[CrossRef](#)]
130. Bettger, J.P.; Thoumi, A.; Marquovich, V.; De Groote, W.; Battistella, L.R.; Imamura, M.; Ramos, V.D.; Wang, N.; E Dreinhofer, K.E.; Mangar, A.; et al. COVID-19: Maintaining essential rehabilitation services across the care continuum. *BMJ Glob. Health* **2020**, *5*, e002670. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Kola, L. Global mental health and COVID-19. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 655–657. [[CrossRef](#)]
132. Siddaway, A.P. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, e43. [[CrossRef](#)]
133. Chen, Q.; Liang, M.; Li, Y.; Guo, J.; Fei, D.; Wang, L.; He, L.L.; Sheng, C.; Cai, Y.; Li, X.; et al. Mental health care for medical staff in china during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, e15–e16. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
134. Xiang, Y.T.; Yang, Y.; Li, W.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Q.; Cheung, T.; Ng, C.H. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 228–229. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
135. Batista, P.; Duque, V.; Luzio-Vaz, A.; Pereira, A. Anxiety impact during COVID-19: A systematic review. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* **2021**, *15*, 320–325. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
136. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. *Impact of COVID-19 on Patterns of Drug Use and Drug-Related Harms in Europe*; EMCDDA Trendspotter Briefing; Lisbon, Portugal, 2020.
137. Shevlin, M.; Butter, S.; McBride, O.; Murphy, J.; Gibson-Miller, J.; Hartman, T.K.; Levita, L.; Mason, L.; Martinez, A.P.; McKay, R.; et al. Refuting the myth of a ‘tsunami’ of mental ill-health in populations affected by COVID-19: Evidence that response to the pandemic is heterogeneous, not homogeneous. *Psychol. Med.* **2021**, *20*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
138. Duan, L.; Zhu, G. Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 300–302. [[CrossRef](#)]
139. Champion, J.; Javed, A.; Sartorius, N.; Marmot, M. Addressing the public mental health challenge of COVID-19. *Lancet Psychiatry* **2020**, *7*, 657–659. [[CrossRef](#)]
140. Soper, G.A. The lessons of the pandemic. *Science* **1919**, *49*, 501–506. [[CrossRef](#)]
141. Horton, R. Offline: COVID-19 is not a pandemic. *Lancet* **2020**, *396*, 874. [[CrossRef](#)]
142. Ahmed, N.; Maqsood, A.; Abduljabbar, T.; Vohra, F. Tobacco smoking a potential risk factor in transmission of COVID-19 infection. *Pak. J. Med. Sci.* **2020**, *36*, S104–S107. [[CrossRef](#)]
143. Rehm, J.; Kilian, C.; Ferreira-Borges, C.; Jernigan, D.; Monteiro, M.; Parry, C.D.H.; Sanchez, Z.M.; Manthey, J. Alcohol use in times of the COVID 19: Implications for monitoring and policy. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* **2020**, *39*, 301–304. [[CrossRef](#)]
144. Ornell, F.; Moura, H.F.; Scherer, J.N.; Pechansky, F.; Kessler, F.H.P.; von Diemen, L. The COVID-19 pandemic and its impact on substance use: Implications for prevention and treatment. *Psychiatry Res.* **2020**, *289*, 113096. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
145. Sher, L. COVID-19, anxiety, sleep disturbances and suicide. *Sleep Med.* **2020**, *70*, 124. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
146. Gao, F.; Zheng, K.I.; Wang, X.-B.; Sun, Q.-F.; Pan, K.-H.; Wang, T.-Y.; Chen, Y.-P.; Targher, G.; Byrne, C.D.; George, J.; et al. Obesity is a risk factor for greater COVID-19 severity. *Diabetes Care* **2020**, *43*, e72–e74. [[CrossRef](#)]
147. Cole, M.; Ozgen, C.; Strobl, E. Air pollution exposure and COVID-19 in Dutch municipalities. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **2020**, *76*, 581–610. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

-
148. Travaglio, M.; Yu, Y.; Popovic, R.; Selley, L.; Leal, N.S.; Martins, L.M. Links between air pollution and COVID-19 in England. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *268*, 115859. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
 149. Contini, D.; Costabile, F. Does Air Pollution Influence COVID-19 Outbreaks? *Atmosphere* **2020**, *11*, 377. [[CrossRef](#)]
 150. Sharot, T. The optimism bias. *Curr. Biol.* **2011**, *21*, R941–R945. [[CrossRef](#)]
 151. Van Bavel, J.J.; Baicker, K.; Boggio, P.S.; Capraro, V.; Cichocka, A.; Cikara, M.; Crockett, M.J.; Crum, A.J.; Douglas, K.M.; Druckman, J.N.; et al. Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **2020**, *4*, 460–471. [[CrossRef](#)]
 152. Carter, H.; Drury, J.; Rubin, G.J.; Williams, R.; Amlôt, R. Applying crowd psychology to develop recommendations for the management of mass decontamination. *Health Secur.* **2015**, *13*, 45–53. [[CrossRef](#)]