Interaction Between Closely Packed Array Antenna Elements Using Meta-Surface for Applications Such as MIMO Systems and Synthetic Aperture Radars
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Abstract The paper presents a technique to enhance the isolation between adjacent radiating elements that is common in densely packed antenna arrays. Such antennas provide frequency beam-scanning capability needed in multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems and synthetic aperture radars. The method proposed here uses a metamaterial decoupling slab (MTM-DS), which is located between radiating elements, to suppress mutual coupling between the elements that would otherwise degrade the antenna efficiency and performance in both the transmit and receive mode. The proposed MTM-DS consists of mirror imaged E-shaped slits engraved on a microstrip patch with inductive stub. Measured results confirm over 9–11 GHz with no MTM-DS the average isolation (S12) is ~27 dB; however, with MTM-DS the average isolation improves to ~38 dB. With this technique the separation between the radiating element can be reduced to 0.66λ0, where λ0 is free space wavelength at 10 GHz. In addition, with this technique there is 15% improvement in operating bandwidth. At frequencies of high impedance match of 9.95 and 10.63 GHz the gain is 4.52 and 5.40 dBi, respectively. Furthermore, the technique eliminates poor front-to-back ratio encountered in other decoupling methods. MTM-DS is also relatively simple to implement. Assuming adequate space is available between adjacent radiators the MTM-DS can be fixed retrospectively on existing antenna arrays, which makes the proposed method versatile.

1. Introduction

With the advent of 5G mobile communications multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems are expected to play a major role. This is because MIMO antennas provide advantages of increased data rate, reliability, quality, and channel capacity. Moreover, MIMO system can mitigate the effects of multipath fading. However, one of the main challenges in the design of MIMO antennas is isolation reduction between adjacent closely spaced antennas with a spacing of less than a wavelength at the operating frequency. Suppressing the coupling between radiating elements in MIMO reduces degradation in the corresponding impedance and radiation properties (Al-Hasan et al., 2015; Bernety & Yakovlev, 2015; Pan et al., 2016). Mutual coupling also encountered in antenna arrays is mainly attributed to three factors, that is, (i) signal leakage via surface waves along the substrate, (ii) coupling between the feedlines through conducting current on the metallic background, and (iii) coupling due to the spatial electromagnetic (EM) fields (Pan et al., 2016). Surface waves have a significant impact on the mutual coupling when microstrip substrate thickness h is greater than 0.3λ0/(2p/εr) (James & Henderson, 1979), where λ0 is the operating wavelength in free space, p is a positive integer and εr is the relative permittivity of the dielectric substrate. Surface wave coupling diminishes only by 3 dB when the distance between the antennas is doubled. Over recent years numerous techniques have been proposed to reduce the mutual coupling between antenna radiating elements in the design of antenna arrays. In Amendola et al. (2005) and Jackson et al. (1993), shorted patches have been used to negate excitation of the surface wave modes. In Yang et al. (2005), EM band gap (EBG) structures are employed to suppress mutual coupling. Defected ground structures (DGS) have also been investigated to suppress mutual coupling (Guha et al., 2008). In fact, DGS resonators have been used in various applications including microwave filters and matching circuits as well as suppressing harmonic and
cross-polarization in microstrip antennas (Guha et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2005; Ting et al., 2006). Compared with EBG structures, the advantage of DGS is that it can be used to realize bandgap effect with a more compact circuit size. In Shafiq et al. (2015) mutual coupling is suppressed by 14 dB in a densely packed antenna by using metamaterial structures etched in the ground plane and the top layer; however, the antenna’s front-to-back ratio is poor. In a recent work, side-lobe suppression of 4.3 dB has been achieved using complementary split-ring resonator loading in the ground plane of antenna array (Wahid et al., 2015). Use of slot combined complementary split-ring resonator structure etched in the ground plane and on the top layer of the antenna array is shown to provide coupling suppression of 19 dB (Qamar et al., 2014). With this technique, however, the front-to-back ratio is deteriorated. A meta-surface wall isolator has been introduced in Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018) to enhance the isolation between the array antennas. By this method, a maximum mutual coupling suppression of 13.5 dB has been achieved. Other coupling suppression techniques using metamaterial or EBG suffer from either complex fabrication process or large separation between radiating elements (Farsi et al., 2012; Hafezifard et al., 2016; Qamar et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2011).

In this paper, mutual coupling between radiating elements is reduced significantly using metamaterial decoupling slab (MTM-DS) in closely packed antenna arrays that are used in MIMO and synthetic aperture radar systems. In the proposed technique, the MTM-DS is deployed between the radiating antennas. MTM-DS can be applied retrospectively subject to sufficient spacing between the radiating elements, which makes the technique versatile. With this technique the edge-to-edge separation between the radiators can be reduced to $0.66\lambda_0$, where the free space wavelength is at 10 GHz. Measured results confirm the mutual coupling between the antennas is suppressed on average by 38 dB from 9 to 11 GHz. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the antenna array without MTM-DS is first characterized and its simplified equivalent circuit model is presented. Next, the MTM-DS is characterized and applied in the antenna array. This structure’s equivalent circuit model is compared with the full-wave EM model. Decoupling effect by the proposed MTM-DS is next confirmed using surface current plots over the antenna array. In section 3, parametric study on the MTM-DS is performed to gain an insight of how the physical parameters of the structure affect its performance. Measured and simulated results of the antenna array without and with MTM-DS are presented in section 4. The radiation patterns of the antenna array are given in section 5 along with comparison with other techniques reported to date. The work is concluded in section 6.

2. Antenna Design

2.1. Antenna Array With No MTM-DS

Structure of 2 × 1 element microstrip patch, which constitutes the unit cell of an antenna array is shown in Figure 1 where the waveguide ports are applied to the feedlines for simulation purpose. The ground plane is truncated to enhance the impedance bandwidth of the two-element antenna array. Individual patch antennas are modeled as parallel RLC resonant circuits whose radiation impedance is function of feedline position. The two identical patch antennas have dimensions $L = 18.77$ mm and $W = 16.43$ mm.

Input impedance of each microstrip patch antenna, shown in Figure 2, was computed using 3-D full-wave EM simulation tool, that is, CST Microwave Studio. In the simulation, all the boundary conditions were specified as “open (added space),” which assumes that no external conditions are applied to the antenna. Under this condition the simulator effectively places perfectly matched microwave absorber material at the boundary, which guarantees the antenna array is in open space. The equivalent circuit model parameters given in
Table 1 were extracted using optimization method in CST Microwave Studio over a specified frequency range. This is the reason why the mapping is coherent.

The simulated reflection and transmission coefficient response of the antenna array as a function of gap (d) between the antennas is shown in Figure 3. Coupling behavior between the two identical patches in Figure 1 was also analyzed. In the analysis the ground plane was represented as a perfect electric conductor boundary. Coupling coefficient was extracted from the transmission coefficient response of the structure. Frequency of the resonance peaks corresponding to the two patches, that is, \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), were used to determine the coupling coefficient \( k_{12} \) using the following relation (Chang & Hsieh, 2004):

\[
k_{12} = \frac{f_2^2}{f_2^2 + f_1^2}
\]  

Coupling coefficient is plotted in Figure 3c as a function of the gap (d) between the patches. It shows the coupling coefficient reduces linearly with increasing the gap between the patches.

The simplified equivalent circuit model of the two-element patch antenna array is shown in Figure 4. EM coupling between the two patches is represented by coupling coefficient \( k_{12} \). Microstrip patches are represented by parallel \( RLC \) resonant circuit whose values are given in Table 2. As the two antennas are identical the magnitude of their characterizing parameters is the same. Figure 5 shows the circuit model response matches exactly with the full-wave EM simulation response. This figure shows that the proposed array operates over 9.56–10.63 GHz, with bandwidth of 1.07 GHz and fractional bandwidth of 10.6%. In addition, impedance matching is particularly good at two resonance frequencies of \( f_1 = 9.76 \) GHz and \( f_2 = 10.24 \) GHz. At these frequencies the isolation between elements is \( S_{12} = -31 \) and \( -24 \) dB, respectively.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_P )</td>
<td>55 ( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_P )</td>
<td>15.9 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_P )</td>
<td>0.2 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_f )</td>
<td>2 nH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Lumped elements are annotated in Figure 1.
2.2. Metamaterial Decoupling Slab (MTM-DS)

Metamaterial property of negative permeability and permittivity exhibited by slotted patch antenna is well established and described in detail in Paul et al. (2017), Yu et al. (2017), and Pyo et al. (2009). Structure of the MTM-DS proposed here, which is shown in Figure 6, was determined from simulation.

Figure 3. (a–b) Reflection and transmission coefficient response and (c) coupling coefficient as a function of gap between the two patches.

**Figure 4.** Equivalent circuit model of two-element basic antenna array of Figure 1.
analysis. It consists of two E-shaped slits that are etched in a rectangular microstrip patch with a high impedance open-circuited stub at the bottom. The E-shaped slits are arranged as a mirror image. The E-shaped slits are essentially capacitive in nature, and the high impedance stub of quarter wavelength length acts like grounded inductance. The equivalent electrical circuit of the decoupling slab corresponds to that of a metamaterial structure (Caloz & Itoh, 2005). As the proposed MTM-DS configuration is free of metal via its fabrication is considerably economic. MTM-DS was fabricated on the same substrate as the patch elements, that is, FR-4 lossy substrate of 1.6-mm thickness and dielectric constant of 4.3. Optimized dimensions of the MTM-DS structure are given in Table 3.

Constitutive parameters, that is, permittivity and permeability, of MTM-DS were calculated from the scattering parameters of the structure using the technique proposed by Smith et al. (2005). Scattering parameters, permittivity and permeability of the MTM-DS structure are plotted in Figure 7 as a function of slot length (Ls). The resonator exhibits negative permittivity (ε < 0) and negative permeability (μ < 0) in regions of the frequency spectrum confined between 8 to 12 GHz, which is characteristic of metamaterials. The E-shaped slits enable fine tuning of the structures resonant frequency without varying other parameters.

2.3. Planar Antenna Array with MTM-DS

MTM-DS was incorporated in the patch antenna array, as shown in Figure 8, and fabricated on the same substrate that was specified earlier. Microstrip stub attached to the MTM-DS is an open circuit. Dimensions of the radiation patches and MTM-DS are 18.77 × 16.43 mm² and 8.96 × 16.43 mm², respectively. The edge-to-edge separation between the radiating patch elements is 0.66λ₀, where λ₀ is free space wavelength at 10 GHz. Each patch is individually fed by a microstrip feedline. The dimensions of the structure in Figure 8 are given in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rp</td>
<td>55 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>16.2 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp</td>
<td>0.2 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lf</td>
<td>2.2 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K12</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Reflection and transmission coefficient response (S11 and S12) of the proposed two-element antenna array.

Figure 6. The structure of the proposed metamaterial decoupling slab, where surface waves propagate along the x-axis, H||y, E||z.
2.3.1. Equivalent Circuit Model

In Figure 8 the E-fields are polarized along z-axis and the coupling between the patches is along the x-axis. The simplified equivalent circuit model of the two-element radiating patch antenna with MTM-DS is shown in Figure 9, where the patches and MTM-DS are represented as parallel RLC circuit. Coupling between patch#1 and decoupling slab is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
<th>#4</th>
<th>#5</th>
<th>#6</th>
<th>#7</th>
<th>#8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>57.58</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>18.77</td>
<td>16.43</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>67.41</td>
<td>16.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Dimensions (in mm) of 1 × 2 Antenna Array With MTM-DS

Figure 7. Metamaterial decoupling slab response: (a) $S_{11}$, (b) permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$), (c) permeability ($\mu_r$), and (d) $S_{11}$ as a function of slit length ($L_s$).
represented by \( K_{DS1} \); and the coupling between patch#2 and decoupling slab is represented by \( K_{DS2} \). The extracted equivalent circuit parameters of Figure 9 are given in Table 4. Comparison of the equivalent circuit and 3-D full-wave EM simulation model responses are shown in Figure 10. S-parameters of the 2 × 1 array antenna without and with MTM-DS is shown in Figure 11.

Figure 11 reveals that when MTM-DS is inserted in the middle of the two radiating elements it introduces transmission zeros at 9.81 and 10.65 GHz, resulting in significant mutual coupling suppression of \( -55 \) and \(-67.50 \) dB, respectively. MTM-DS has effectively improved the mutual coupling suppression at the two notch frequencies by 24 and 43.57 dB, respectively. The performance of antenna array without and with MTM-DS is summarized in Table 5.

Figure 8. Geometry of 2 × 1 antenna array with MTM-DS, (a) isometric view, and (b) numerical labels define the geometry of the antenna array and MTM-DS are given in Table 3. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.

Figure 9. Simplified equivalent circuit of two-element radiating patch with MTM-DS. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.
Decoupling effects can also be observed by visualizing the surface current density plots over the 2 × 1 antenna array. With MTM-DS strong currents are induced on the patch antenna, as shown in Figure 12, which clearly verifies the effectiveness of the MTM-DS in suppressing surface current wave interaction between the two patches.

### 3. Parametric Study on MTM-DS

In this part a parametric study is presented on the proposed MTM-DS to understand the effects of the E-shaped slits on the array’s performance. The following sections describe the influence of E-shaped slit width and the gap between the slits.

#### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_F$</td>
<td>2.2 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_P$</td>
<td>55 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_P$</td>
<td>16.2 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_P$</td>
<td>0.2 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{DS}$</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{DS}$</td>
<td>2200 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{DS}$</td>
<td>2.25 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{DS}$</td>
<td>1.5 nH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Parameters of the two radiating patches are identical including $K_{DS1}$ and $K_{DS2}$.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

**Figure 10.** Comparison of S-parameter response of the circuit and EM models for the 2 × 1 antenna array with metamaterial decoupling slab.

![Figure 11](image2.png)

**Figure 11.** S-parameter response of 2 × 1 antenna without and with MTM-DS antenna array. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.
3.1. Effect of Width of Slits

The influence of width of E-shaped slits ($W_1$) on reflection and transmission coefficients ($S_{11}$ and $S_{12}$) is shown in Figure 13. It is evident that when $W_1$ is increased from 0.5 to 1.25 mm the antenna's reflection coefficient or impedance match improves from −27 to −44 dB at around 9.8 GHz. In Figure 13c when $W_1$ is increased from 0.5 to 1.25 mm the isolation between the array's elements improves from −34 to −55 dB at around 9.8 GHz, and from −53 dB to notch −66.5 dB at around 10.65 GHz. The optimum value of $W_1$ is 1.25 mm.

3.2. Effect of Slit Gap

Figures 14a and 14b show the E-shape slit gap ($W_2$) has negligible effect on the reflection coefficient (magnitude and phase) response. Figure 14c shows the isolation significantly improves at around 9.8 and 10.6 GHz when $W_2$ is increased from 1 to 3 mm by 10 and 37 dB, respectively. When $W_2$ is increased to 4 mm the improvement diminishes due to overlapping between slot and edge of slab. The optimum gap is 3 mm.

![Figure 12. Surface current density plots to validate the effect of MTM-DS at 10.65 GHz. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.](image-url)
4. Measured Results

Photograph of the patch antenna array without and with MTM-DS are shown in Figure 15. The measured response of the reflection and transmission coefficients is shown in Figure 16. It shows the mutual coupling between radiating elements is reduced over a large frequency span from 9 to 11 GHz using the
proposed MM-DS. In fact, the measured isolation with MTM-DS at 9.95 GHz is 34 dB, at 10.25 GHz is 37 dB, and at 10.85 GHz is 57 dB. However, without MTM-DS, the measured isolation at 9.95 GHz is 27 dB, at 10.25 GHz is 26 dB, and at 10.85 GHz is 37 dB. The results show improvement in isolation from 9.4 to 11 GHz. In addition, impedance matching is particularly good at \( f_1 = 9.95 \) GHz and \( f_2 = 10.63 \) GHz. At these frequencies, the isolation between elements is 34 and 34.8 dB, respectively. The measured S-parameter results are summarized in Table 6. Without MTM-DS the average isolation over 9 to 11 GHz is 27 dB, and with MTM-DS it is 38 dB. On average the isolation is improved by 11 dB.

**Figure 15.** Photographs of the antenna array with no MTM-DS and with MTM-DS. The antenna array is constructed on FR-4 lossy substrate with thickness of \( h = 1.6 \) mm, dielectric constant of \( \varepsilon_r = 4.3 \) and \( \tan \delta = 0.025 \). MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.

**Figure 16.** Measured reflection-coefficient (\( S_{11} \)) and transmission-coefficient (\( S_{12} \)) response without and with MTM-DS. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bandwidth (BW) defined for ( S_{11} &lt; -10 ) dB</th>
<th>Without MTM-DS</th>
<th>With MTM-DS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha f_1 = 9.90 ) GHz impedance match = -16 dB</td>
<td>( \alpha f_2 = 10.55 ) GHz impedance match = -15 dB</td>
<td>( \alpha f_2 = 10.63 ) GHz impedance match = -18 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_1 = 9.95 ) GHz, Fractional bandwidth = 12.68%</td>
<td>( f_2 = 10.55 ) GHz, Fractional bandwidth = 15.68%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutual coupling suppression between adjacent antennas (( S_{12} ))</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without MTM-DS</td>
<td>-25 dB</td>
<td>-27 dB</td>
<td>-37 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With MTM-DS</td>
<td>-29 dB</td>
<td>-38 dB</td>
<td>-57 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Radiation Patterns of the Proposed Array Antenna

The measured 2-D radiation plots for the antenna array without and with MTM-DS at various frequencies are shown in Figure 17. Also plotted is the simulated radiation pattern at 9.85 GHz, which shows good correlation with the measured plot at the same frequency. These plots show the effect of MTM-DS in the magnetic-plane is minimal. Although there is some effect in the electric-plane however this is not considerable. In fact, the gain is improved with MTM-DS at 9.85 GHz at an angle of 90°. A standard anechoic chamber was used to measure the antenna’s gain where a transmitting horn antenna was located at the focal point of the reflector to convert the spherical waves to plane waves directed towards the antenna under test. The antenna gain was measured using the standard comparative method with the antennas fed in-phase. Connector losses were considered in the measurements.

The simulated 3-D far-field radiation patterns at frequencies of high impedance match in Figure 18 show there is good correlation without and with application of MTM-DS. These results confirm there is little impact with MTM-DS on the pattern specifications. It is also observed in Figure 18 that the radiation patterns are more directive with the proposed MTM-DS. Gain at 9.95 and 10.63 GHz are 4.31 and 4.85 dBi, respectively, without MTM-DS; and 4.52 and 5.40 dBi, respectively, after applying the MTM-DS.

Comparison of the proposed technique with other methods reported to date in Table 7. It is clear the proposed technique offers significantly higher mutual coupling suppression with closely spaced radiators and is relatively easy to construct and integrate in densely packed array antenna. It removes the drawback of poor front-to-back-ratio reported in other decoupling techniques. In addition to high-coupling suppression, the MTM-DS can be retrofitted subject to sufficient space between the antennas which makes this technique versatile for various applications having stringent performance requirements. One drawback of the proposed technique compared to (Qamar et al., 2016) is that the radiation patterns is affected over its wider operational bandwidth.
As advantages of the proposed work than Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018) we can mention that the maximum mutual coupling suppression here by the proposed technique is 57 dB that shows more than 43 dB improvement than Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018). As well as, the minimum and average mutual coupling suppressions presented by this work show more than 22 and 28 dB improvements compared with Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018). Here edge-by-edge distance between the radiation elements is 0.66\(\lambda_0\), but in Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018) this parameter is 1.16\(\lambda_0\). One more point, regarding the different operating frequency bands the antenna arrays have different applications than each other.

![Figure 18. Three-dimensional radiation patterns without and with MTM-DS at high impedance matching frequencies. MTM-DS = metamaterial decoupling slab.](image)

As advantages of the proposed work than Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018) we can mention that the maximum mutual coupling suppression here by the proposed technique is 57 dB that shows more than 43 dB improvement than Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018). As well as, the minimum and average mutual coupling suppressions presented by this work show more than 22 and 28 dB improvements compared with Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018). Here edge-by-edge distance between the radiation elements is 0.66\(\lambda_0\), but in Alibakhshikenari et al. (2018) this parameter is 1.16\(\lambda_0\). One more point, regarding the different operating frequency bands the antenna arrays have different applications than each other.

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Mutual coupling suppression technique</th>
<th>Maximum mutual coupling suppression (dB)</th>
<th>Patch separation ((\lambda_0))</th>
<th>Operating bandwidth reduction (%)</th>
<th>Design complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amendola et al., 2005</td>
<td>Shorted annular elliptical patch (SAEP)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al., 1993</td>
<td>Ring of magnetic current</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shafique et al., 2015</td>
<td>Complementary split-ring resonators (CSRR)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wahid et al., 2015</td>
<td>Complementary split-ring resonators (CSRR)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alibakhshikenari et al., 2018</td>
<td>meta-surface wall isolator</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qamar et al., 2016</td>
<td>Complementary split-ring resonator (CSRR)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farsi et al., 2012</td>
<td>U-shaped microstrip line</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al., 2011</td>
<td>Periodically grounded edge-coupled split-ring resonators (PGE-SRRs)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>This work</strong></td>
<td>MTM-DS</td>
<td><strong>57</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>Low</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Conclusion

An effective technique is presented for suppressing mutual coupling encountered in antenna arrays. This involves inserting MTM-DS between the radiating elements. With the proposed technique the edge-to-edge separation between the antennas in the antenna array can be reduced to 0.66\(\lambda_0\), where the free-space wavelength is at 10 GHz. MTM-DS comprises two E-shaped slits arranged in a mirror image that are engraved on a rectangular patch. MTM-DS is shown to effectively minimize mutual coupling between adjacent radiators by suppressing surface wave propagation. With the proposed MTM-DS the mutual coupling suppression on average is \(-38\) dB over 9 to 11 GHz.
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