The Pearcey integral in the highly oscillatory region
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Abstract

We consider the Pearcey integral $P(x, y)$ for large values of $|y|$ and bounded values of $|x|$. The integrand of the Pearcey integral oscillates wildly in this region and the asymptotic saddle point analysis is complicated. Then we consider here the modified saddle point method introduced in [Lopez, Pérez and Pagola, 2009]. With this method, the analysis is simpler and it is possible to derive a complete asymptotic expansion of $P(x, y)$ for large $|y|$. The asymptotic analysis requires the study of three different regions for $\arg y$ separately. In the three regions, the expansion is given in terms of inverse powers of $y^{2/3}$ and the coefficients are elementary functions of $x$. The accuracy of the approximation is illustrated with some numerical experiments.
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1 Introduction

The mathematical models of many short wavelength phenomena, specially wave propagation and optical diffraction, contain, as a basic ingredient, oscillatory integrals with several nearly coincident stationary phase or saddle points. The uniform approximation of those integrals can be expressed in terms of certain canonical integrals and their derivatives [2], [9]. The importance of these canonical diffraction integrals is stressed in [7] by means of a very appropriate sentence: The role played by these canonical diffraction integrals in the analysis
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of caustic wave fields is analogous to that played by complex exponentials in plane wave theory.

Apart from their mathematical importance in the uniform asymptotic approximation of oscillatory integrals [6], the canonical diffraction integrals have physical applications in the description of surface gravity waves [5], [10], bifurcation sets, optics, quantum mechanics and acoustics (see [1, Sec. 36.14] and references there in).

In [1, Chap. 36] we can find a large amount of information about these integrals. First of all, they are classified according to the number of free independent parameters that describe the type of singularities arising in catastrophe theory, that also corresponds to the number of saddle points of the integral. The simplest integral with only one free parameter, that corresponds to the fold catastrophe, involves two coalescing stationary points: the well-known integral representation of the Airy function. The second one, depending on two free parameters corresponds to the cusp catastrophe and involves three coalescing stationary points. The canonical form of the oscillatory integral describing the cusp diffraction catastrophe is given by the Cusp catastrophe or Pearcey integral [1, p.777, eq. 36.2.14]:

\[
\bar{P}(x,y) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(t^4+xt^2+yt)} dt. \tag{1}
\]

This integral was first evaluated numerically by using quadrature formulas in [8] in the context of the investigation of the electromagnetic field near a cusp. The third integral of the hierarchy is the Swallowtail integral that depends on three free parameters and involves four coalescing stationary points. Apart from the classification of this family of integrals, in [1, Chap. 36] we can find many properties such as symmetries, illustrative pictures, bifurcation sets, scaling relations, zeros, convergent series expansions, differential equations and leading-order asymptotic approximations among others. But we cannot find many details about asymptotic expansions.

The three first canonical integrals: Airy function, Pearcey integral and Swallowtail integral are the most important ones in applications. The first one is well-known and deeply investigated. In this paper we focus our attention in the second one and will consider the third one in a further research. The integral (1) exists only for \(0 \leq \arg x \leq \pi\) and real \(y\). As it is indicated in [7], after a rotation of the integration path through an angle of \(\pi/8\) that removes the rapidly oscillatory term \(e^{it^4}\), the Pearcey integral may be written in the form \(P(x, y) = 2e^{i\pi/8}P(xe^{-i\pi/4}, ye^{i\pi/8})\), with

\[
P(x, y) := \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^4-xt^2} \cos( yt) dt. \tag{2}
\]

This integral is absolutely convergent for all complex values of \(x\) and \(y\) and represents the analytic continuation of the Pearcey integral \(\bar{P}(x, y)\) to all complex values of \(x\) and \(y\) [7]. Therefore, it is more convenient to work with the representation (2) of the Pearcey integral.

We can find in the literature several asymptotic expansions of \(P(x, y)\) in different regions of \((x, y)\). In [3] we can find an asymptotic expansion of the Pearcey integral when \((x, y)\) are near the caustic \(8x^3 - 27y^2 = 0\) that remains valid as \(|x| \to \infty\). The expansion is given in
terms of Airy functions and its derivatives and the coefficients are computed recursively. We refer the reader to [3] for further details.

An exhaustive asymptotic analysis of this integral can be found in [7]. In particular, a complete asymptotic expansion for large $|x|$ is given in [7] by using asymptotic techniques for integrals applied to the integral (2). The integral $P(x, y)$ is also analyzed in [7] for large $|y|$. But the analysis derived from the standard saddle point method is cumbersome and only the first order term of the asymptotic expansion is given. Then, we are interested here in the derivation of a complete asymptotic expansion of $P(x, y)$ for large values of $|y|$.

In the following section we analyze the saddle point features of the Pearcey integral for large $|y|$. In Section 3 we derive a complete asymptotic expansion of $P(x, y)$ for large $|y|$. Section 4 contains some numerical experiments. Through the paper we use the principal argument $\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi]$ for any complex number $z$.

2 The saddle point analysis of the Pearcey integral

Because $P(x, y) = P(x, -y)$, without loss of generality, we may restrict ourselves to the half plane $\Re y \geq 0$. We write the Pearcey integral $P(x, y)$ in the form

$$P(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-u^4 - xu^2 + iuy} du.$$  

Define $\theta := \arg y$ (it is restricted to $|\theta| \leq \pi/2$). After the change of variable $u = ty^{1/3} = t|y|^{1/3} e^{i\theta/3}$ we find that

$$P(x, y) = \frac{y^{1/3}}{2} \int_{-\infty e^{-i\theta/3}}^{\infty e^{-i\theta/3}} e^{1/3 f(t) - xy^{2/3} t^2} dt,$$  

with phase function $f(t) := e^{4i\theta/3} (it - t^4)$. This phase function has three saddle points:

$$t_0 = -\frac{i}{4^{1/3}}, \quad t_1 = e^{i\pi/6} 4^{1/3}, \quad t_2 = e^{5i\pi/6} 4^{1/3}.$$  

From the steepest descent method [11, Chap. 2], [4], we know that the asymptotically relevant saddle points are those ones for which the integration path $C := \{re^{-i\theta/3}; -\infty < r < \infty\}$ in (3) can be deformed to a steepest descent path (or union of steepest descent paths) that contains a saddle point (or several saddle points). This is only possible for the saddle points $t_1$ and $t_2$. Then, following the idea introduced in [4], we rewrite the phase

\footnote{In principle, it is also geometrically possible to deform the original path to the steepest descent path through $t_0$. But then, the contribution of the paths that connect the original path with the steepest descent path through $t_0$ is not negligible; whereas the contribution of the paths that connect the original path with the steepest descent path through $t_1$ and $t_2$ is negligible, as we show below in formula (5).}
function $f(t)$ in the form of a Taylor polynomial at the saddle points $t_1$ and $t_2$:

$$f(t) = \frac{3e^{i(4\theta+2\pi)/3}}{4^{4/3}} - \frac{3e^{i(4\theta+\pi)/3}}{21^{1/3}}(t-t_1)^2 - 2^{4/3}e^{i(4\theta/3+\pi/6)}(t-t_1)^3 - e^{i4\theta/3}(t-t_1)^4, \quad (4)$$

$$f(t) = \frac{3e^{i(4\theta-2\pi)/3}}{4^{4/3}} - \frac{3e^{i(4\theta-\pi)/3}}{21^{1/3}}(t-t_2)^2 + 2^{4/3}e^{i(4\theta/3-\pi/6)}(t-t_2)^3 - e^{i4\theta/3}(t-t_2)^4.$$  

From [4], we know that it is not necessary to compute the steepest descent paths of $f(t)$ at $t_1$ and $t_2$, but only the steepest descent paths of the quadratic part of $f(t)$ at those points, that are simpler: they are nothing but straight lines. The steepest descent path of the quadratic part of $f(t)$ at $t_1$ is the straight $C_1 := \{t_1 + re^{-i(\pi+4\theta)/6} ; -\infty < r < \infty\}$. The steepest descent path of the quadratic part of $f(t)$ at $t_2$ is the straight $C_2 := \{t_2 + re^{i(\pi-4\theta)/6} ; -\infty < r < \infty\}$. 

From [4] we also know that it is not necessary to deform the path $C$ into one of these paths or the union of them. It is enough to deform $C$ into a new integration path $\Gamma$ that contains a portion of $C_1$ and/or $C_2$ that includes $t_1$ and/or $t_2$ respectively. The new integration path $\Gamma$ depends on the value of $\theta$. We distinguish the three cases that we specify in the following pictures:

In any of the three cases, the deformation of the original integration path $C$ to the new path specified in Figures 1-3 can be justified by using the Cauchy’s residue theorem. In case 3 it is obvious. In case 1 it follows from the fact that $\text{arg}(e^{i\theta/3t^4}) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $-\frac{\pi+4\theta}{6} \leq \text{arg} t \leq -\frac{\theta}{3}$ when $-\frac{\pi}{6} \leq \theta < -\frac{\pi}{8}$. In case 2 it follows from the fact that $\text{arg}(e^{i\theta/3t^4}) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $-\frac{\theta}{3} \leq \text{arg} t \leq \frac{\theta}{6}$ when $\frac{\pi}{6} < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

In case 3, to apply the method introduced in [4], we need to show that the contribution of the integral over the paths $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ is negligible. In fact, the maximum of the real part of $f(t)$ over the paths $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ is attained at the points $W = t_1 + 2^{-2/3}e^{-i\frac{\pi+4\theta}{6}}$ and $U = t_2 - 2^{-2/3}e^{i\frac{\pi+4\theta}{6}}$ respectively (see Fig. 3). We have that $\Re f(U), \Re f(W) \leq -1.38077...$, that is the value of $\Re f(U)$ for $\theta = \pi/8$ or the value of $\Re f(W)$ for $\theta = -\pi/8$. Therefore we have that,

$$\int_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2} e^{iy^{1/3}f(t)-xy^{2/3}}dt = O(e^{-1.38077|y|^{1/3}}), \quad |y| \to \infty. \quad (5)$$

### 3 A complete asymptotic expansion of the Pearcey integral

We will see that, in case 3, the integral (5) is negligible (exponentially small) compared with the integrals on $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$. Therefore, apart from the exponentially negligible term (5), the integral (3) over the path $C$ equals the integral over the path $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ in case 3, the integral over the path $C_1$ in case 1 or the integral over the path $C_2$ in case 2. From [4] we know that, in case 3, the integral over every path $\Gamma_k, k = 1, 2$, can be replaced by an integral over the respective path $C_k$ plus exponentially small terms. Then, in this section, we derive an
asymptotic expansion of the integrals on \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) in any of the three cases. We define

\[ P_k(x, y) := \frac{y^{1/3}}{2} \int_{C_k} e^{iy^{4/3}f(t) - xy^{2/3}t^2} dt; \quad k = 1, 2. \]

To compute \( P_1(x, y) \) in cases 1 and 3 we introduce the change of variable \( t = t_1 + uy^{-2/3}e^{-i\pi/6} \) and consider the form given in the first line of (4) for \( f(t) \):

\[ P_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2y^{1/3}} e^{3-4/3y^{2/3}} \int_{u_-}^{u_+} e^{-3/3uy^2 /2} e^{i\pi/3} e^{2i\pi/3} du, \quad (6) \]

with

\[ h_1(u, x, y) := \frac{e^{2i\pi/3}u^2(x + 2^{4/3}u)}{y^{2/3}} + \frac{e^{i\pi/3}u^4}{y^{4/3}}, \]

\((u_-, u_+) = (-\infty, \infty)\) in case 1 and \((u_-, u_+) = \left(-\left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{2/3}, \left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{2/3}\right)\) in case 3.

To compute \( P_2(x, y) \) in cases 2 and 3 we introduce the change of variable \( t = t_2 + uy^{-2/3}e^{i\pi/6} \) and write \( f(t) \) in the form given in the second line of (4):

\[ P_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2y^{1/3}} e^{3-4/3y^{2/3}} \int_{u_-}^{u_+} e^{-3/3uy^2 /2} e^{i\pi/3} e^{2i\pi/3} du, \quad (7) \]

with

\[ h_2(u, x, y) := \frac{e^{-2i\pi/3}u^2(x - 2^{4/3}u)}{y^{2/3}} + \frac{e^{-i\pi/3}u^4}{y^{4/3}}, \]

\((u_-, u_+) = (-\infty, \infty)\) in case 2 and \((u_-, u_+) = \left(-\left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{2/3}, \left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{2/3}\right)\) in case 3.

In order to find an asymptotic expansion of \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \) for large \(|y|\), it is enough to expand the exponentials \( e^{h_1(u, x, y)} \) in (6) and \( e^{h_2(u, x, y)} \) in (7) in inverse powers of \( y^{2/3} \) [4].

To this end we write

\[ e^{h_1(u, x, y)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[h_1(u, x, y)]^n}{n!}, \]

\[ e^{h_2(u, x, y)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[h_2(u, x, y)]^n}{n!}. \]

Applying the binomial Newton formula to \([h_1]^n\) and \([h_2]^n\) and rearranging the summation indexes we find that

\[ e^{h_1(u, x, y)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{A}_n(x, u)}{y^{2n/3}}, \quad e^{h_2(u, x, y)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_n(x, u)}{y^{2n/3}}, \quad (8) \]

with

\[ \tilde{A}_n(x, u) := e^{-i\pi/3} \sum_{m=\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]}^n \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} a_{n,m,k}(x) u^{2m+n-k}, \]
\[ B_n(x, u) := e^{\frac{x\pi}{2}} \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} a_{n,m,k}(x)(-u)^{2m-n-k}, \]

\[ a_{n,m,k}(x) := \frac{x^k 2^{4(2m-n-k)/3} (-1)^m}{k!(2m-n-k)!(n-m)!}. \] (9)

Introducing the expansions (8) in (6) and (7) and interchanging sum and integral we find, for \( k = 1, 2 \) [4] we find the following proposition:

**Proposition 1** For any complex \( x \) and \( y \) with \( \Re y \geq 0 \) we have that, for \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \),

\[ P_k(x, y) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi/3}{2^{5/6}y^{1/3}}} \exp \left[ \frac{3}{4} y^{4/3} e^{-(-1)^{k+1/2} x} - y^{2/3} e^{-(-1)^{k+1/3} x} + x^2/6 \right] \times \]

\[ \times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} e^{(-1)^{k+1/2} (2n+1) \pi} A_n(x) \frac{y^{2n/3}}{y^{2n/3}} + \mathcal{O} (\frac{1}{y^{2m/3}}) \right\}, \] (10)

with

\[ A_n(x) := \sum_{m=\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2m-n} (-1)^{n+k} a_{n,m,k}(x) c_{2m-n-k}(x), \]

(11)

\[ a_{n,m,k}(x) \text{ given in (9)} \]

\[ c_n(x) := \frac{1}{2^{1/6}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} e^{-x^2/6} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-3^{-1/3} u^2 + 2^{1/3} x u} u^2 du = \frac{x^n}{3^{n/3}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[ \frac{3}{2} n \right]} \left( \frac{3}{2} x^2 \right)^k \frac{n!}{k!(n-2k)!}. \] (12)

The coefficients \( c_n(x) \) may be also computed recursively in the form:

\[ c_0(x) = 1; \quad c_1(x) = \frac{x}{3 \cdot 2^{1/3}}, \]

\[ c_{n+2}(x) = \frac{x}{3 \cdot 2^{1/3}} c_{n+1}(x) + \frac{n+1}{3 \cdot 2^{1/3}} c_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

The first few coefficients \( A_n(x) \) are

\[ A_0(x) = 1, \quad A_1(x) = -\frac{x (x^2 - 9)}{27 \sqrt{2}}, \quad A_2(x) = \frac{x^6 - 36x^4 - 81x^2 + 1134}{2916 \sqrt{2}}, \]

\[ A_3(x) = -\frac{x (x^8 - 81x^6 + 243x^4 + 30375x^2 - 65610)}{472392}. \]
In order to analyze the asymptotic contribution of the integrals \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \) to the Pearcey integral, we must consider the difference between the real parts of the dominant terms in the exponential factors of \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \). It is just the difference between the real parts of \( \exp\{e^{2\pi i/3}y^{4/3}\} \) and of \( \exp\{e^{-2\pi i/3}y^{4/3}\} \), that is \(|y|^{4/3}\varphi(\alpha)\), with

\[
\varphi(\alpha) := \cos\left(\frac{4}{3}(\alpha + \frac{\pi}{2})\right) - \cos\left(\frac{4}{3}(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2})\right) - 2\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)\sin\left(\frac{4\alpha}{3}\right),
\]

with \( \alpha := \arg y \). As \( \Re y \geq 0 \), the angle \( \alpha \) is restricted to the interval \([-\pi/2, \pi/2]\). When \( 0 < \alpha \leq \pi/2 \) we have that \( \varphi(\alpha) < 0 \) and then only \( P_2(x, y) \) contributes to the asymptotic behavior of the Pearcey integral: \( P(x, y) \sim P_2(x, y) \) for \( \Im y > 0 \). When \( -\pi/2 \leq \alpha < 0 \) we have that \( \varphi(\alpha) > 0 \) and the situation is just the opposite one, only \( P_1(x, y) \) contributes to the asymptotic behavior of the Pearcey integral: \( P(x, y) \sim P_1(x, y) \) for \( \Im y < 0 \). When \( \alpha = 0 \) we have that \( \varphi(\alpha) = 0 \) and then the real parts of the dominant terms in the exponential factors of \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \) are equal, both terms contribute with equal intensity to the asymptotic behavior of the Pearcey integral: \( P(x, y) \sim P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) \) for \( y > 0 \).

The absolute maximum of \( \varphi(\alpha) \) in the interval \([-\pi/2, \pi/2]\) is located at \( \alpha = -3\pi/8 \) and the absolute minimum at \( \alpha = 3\pi/8 \). Then, the difference between the the real part of the dominant terms in the exponential factors of \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \) is maximal at \( \arg y = -3\pi/8 \) and minimal at \( \arg y = 3\pi/8 \).

On the other hand, it is clear that the integral (5) is exponentially small compared with \( P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) \) in case 3. From this and the discussion of the above two paragraphs we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** For any complex \( x \) and \( y \) with \( \Re y \geq 0 \) we have that,

\[
P(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
P_1(x, y) + P_2(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(e^{-1.38077|y|^{4/3}}) & \text{when } |\arg y| \leq \frac{\pi}{8}, \\
P_1(x, y) & \text{when } -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \arg y < -\frac{\pi}{8}, \\
P_2(x, y) & \text{when } \frac{\pi}{8} < \arg y \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.
\end{cases}
\]

where \( P_k(x, y); k = 1, 2, \) have the asymptotic expansion (10), with coefficients given in (11), (12) and (9). Moreover, in the complex \( y \) plane, the line \( \arg y = 0 \) is the Stokes line, where both terms \( P_1(x, y) \) and \( P_2(x, y) \) are equally significant. The anti-Stokes lines are the ray \( \arg y = -3\pi/8 \), where \( P_1(x, y) \) maximally dominates \( P_2(x, y) \) and the ray \( \arg y = 3\pi/8 \), where \( P_2(x, y) \) maximally dominates \( P_1(x, y) \). This discussion is summarized in Figure 4.

## 4 Numerical experiments

We illustrate the accuracy of the expansions (10)-(13). In the following tables we show the relative error (first column) and the theoretical order of the relative error (second column) for several values of \( (x, y) \) and different orders \( m \) of the approximation. (In the expansion (10) of \( P_k(x, y) \), we identify the theoretical order of the relative error with the first neglected
term in the expansion of the second line of (10) multiplied by the factor given in the first line.) As we do not have at our disposal the exact value of the Pearcey integral, we have taken the numerical integration of (2) obtained with the program Mathematica with double precision as the exact value of \( P(x, y) \).
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Figure 1: Case 1. When $\frac{-\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{-\pi}{8}$, the new integration path $\Gamma$ is just the steepest descent path $C_1$ of the quadratic part of $f(t)$ at $t = t_1$.

Figure 2: Case 2. When $\frac{\pi}{8} < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, the new integration path $\Gamma$ is just the steepest descent path $C_2$ of the quadratic part of $f(t)$ at $t = t_2$.

Figure 3: Case 3. When $\frac{-\pi}{8} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{8}$, the new integration path $\Gamma$ is the union of the two finite segments $\Gamma_1 \subset C_1$ and $\Gamma_2 \subset C_2$ and the two infinite segments $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \subset \bar{C}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_2 \subset \bar{C}$.
Table 1: Relative error and theoretical order of the relative error for \( x = 1 \) and several values of \( y \) and the number of terms \( m \) of the approximation given by (10) and (13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>( m = 2 )</th>
<th>( m = 4 )</th>
<th>( m = 6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.101075 0.101166</td>
<td>0.003721 0.004598</td>
<td>0.003023 0.000814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.002619 0.001496</td>
<td>0.000403 0.000324</td>
<td>0.000064 0.000041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ( e^{i\pi/4} )</td>
<td>0.004981 0.017977</td>
<td>0.000058 0.000331</td>
<td>2.539-e-6 6.099-e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ( e^{-3i\pi/8} )</td>
<td>0.004959 0.017899</td>
<td>0.000058 0.000329</td>
<td>2.528-e-6 6.073-e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.002248 0.002158</td>
<td>8.361-e-6 5.519-e-6</td>
<td>5.123-e-7 1.231-e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.001877 0.001943</td>
<td>0.000021 0.000022</td>
<td>3.584-e-7 1.558-e-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.001524 0.001631</td>
<td>0.000011 0.000011</td>
<td>1.231-e-7 1.621-e-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Relative error and theoretical order of the relative error for \( x = -2 \) and several values of \( y \) and the number of terms \( m \) of the approximation given by (10) and (13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>( m = 2 )</th>
<th>( m = 4 )</th>
<th>( m = 6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.041041 0.29458</td>
<td>0.003574 0.19475</td>
<td>0.007498 0.0.29458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.010237 0.007688</td>
<td>0.000331 0.001485</td>
<td>0.000371 0.000303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 ( e^{i\pi/4} )</td>
<td>0.001927 0.001756</td>
<td>0.000157 0.002387</td>
<td>0.000016 0.000013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 ( e^{-3i\pi/8} )</td>
<td>0.001083 0.000888</td>
<td>0.000059 0.000074</td>
<td>3.368-e-6 3.998-e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.000759 0.000102</td>
<td>0.000038 0.000041</td>
<td>1.025-e-6 1.268-e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.000599 0.000507</td>
<td>5.497-e-6 2.895-e-6</td>
<td>5.091-e-7 5.065-e-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Stokes and anti-Stokes lines of \( P(x,y) \) for \( Re y \geq 0 \) and the three different regions for the approximation, as it indicated in (13).