Effect of High-Intensity Interval Training on Body Composition, Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Blood Pressure, and Substrate Utilization During Exercise Among Prehypertensive and Hypertensive Patients With Excessive Adiposity
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Regular exercise training is a recognized lifestyle strategy to lower resting blood pressure (BP), but little is known about substrate metabolism in population with high BP. Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of 16-weeks of HIIT on body composition, BP, cardiorespiratory fitness by $\dot{V}O_2_{max}$, and substrate utilization during exercise among prehypertensive and hypertensive patients with excessive adiposity. We also aimed to test the potential association between changes in cardiorespiratory fitness, substrate utilization during exercise and BP. Forty-two physically inactive overweight/obese participants participated in 16-weeks of HIIT intervention. The HIIT frequency was three times a week (work ratio 1:2:10, for interval cycling: rest period: repeated times; 80–100% of the maximum heart rate). Groups were distributed based on their baseline BP: HIIT-hypertensive (H-HTN: age 47.7 ± 12.0 years; body mass index [BMI] 30.3 ± 5.5 kg/m²; systolic [SBP]/diastolic BP [DBP] 151.6 ± 10/81.9 ± 4.2 mmHg), HIIT-pre-hypertensive (H-PreHTN: age 37.6 ± 12.0 years; BMI 31.9 ± 5.3 kg/m²; SBP/DBP 134.4 ± 3.2/74.9 ± 7.0 mmHg), and a normotensive control group (H-CG: age 40.7 ± 11.0 years; BMI 29.5 ± 4.2 kg/m²; SBP/DBP 117.0 ± 6.2/72.4 ± 4.1 mmHg). Anthropometry/body composition, BP, and metabolic substrate utilization during exercise (fat [FATox], carbohydrate [CHOox] oxidation, respiratory exchange ratio [RER], and $\dot{V}O_2$max), were measured before and after the 16-week HIIT intervention. Adjusted linear models revealed a
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INTRODUCTION
Hypertension is the most common primary cardiometabolic disease in several Latin-American countries, with a prevalence of 27.7% in 2019 (Petermann et al., 2017). Not adhere to the international physical activity (PA) recommendations [i.e., 150 min/week of low/moderate PA, or 75 min/week of vigorous PA (O’Donovan et al., 2010)], is one of the most important factors for type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and other cardiometabolic disorders such as arterial hypertension (HTN), and has been associated with comorbidities including obesity and dyslipidaemia [i.e., higher low-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol or triglycerides (Russo et al., 2018)]. HTN is more prevalent in physically inactive populations (Diaz-Martinez et al., 2018), and it has long been recognized that untreated HTN might be linked to overweight/obesity, albuminuria and micro- and macrovascular changes including endothelial dysfunction and heart failure (Yannoutsos et al., 2014). Interestingly, chronic high blood pressure, such as HTN diagnosed, is related to several detrimental vascular effects (i.e., left ventricular hypertrophy, neurogenic dysfunction), which can affect patients systemically (Falqui et al., 2007; Diaz-Martinez et al., 2018). In these patients, and although not clear, the presence of some of these circulatory damage could also potentially have an impact on the normal metabolic and cardiorespiratory performance response during exercise (Neubauer, 2007). Additionally, defects in skeletal muscle lipid metabolism have been found in obese individuals during resting conditions and are associated with insulin resistance and T2DM (Goopaster et al., 2002). Thus, it is speculative that HTN patients could respond in minor capacity (i.e., after long-term exercise training) than normotensive peers at metabolic behavior during exercise, however, there is limited information.

Exercise training (with characteristics of being controlled and periodized by intensity, volume, frequency and density) is highly recommended as a non-pharmacological treatment for HTN (Pescatello et al., 2015). Exercise training promotes angiogenesis in the skeletal muscles [i.e., the extension of vasculature from pre-existing micro-vessels (Kissane and Egginton, 2019)] and micro-circulation is a relevant adaptive mechanism that can contribute to exercise substrate metabolism and oxygen availability during exercise (Hoppeler and Weibel, 1998). Thus, considering these exercise benefits at circulatory system, and given that HTN patients usually show a low micro-circulation (Feihl et al., 2006), there is a potential benefits from exercise training in patients with HTN that have been not at all elucidated.

There is growing evidence to suggest that high-intensity interval training [HIIT, defined as several, brief bouts of high-intensity efforts, usually via cycling/running, interspersed with recovery periods (Gibala et al., 2012)], promote similar adaptations, and in a time-efficient way than to continuous, moderate-intensity training for improving cardiorespiratory fitness (Costa et al., 2018), but with little advantage for improving vascular function (Ramos et al., 2015; Pedrali et al., 2020). Thus, HIIT might have protective effects against the development of HTN (Pescatello et al., 2015; Álvarez et al., 2018), and can lead to the reversal of a clinical diagnosis of HTN to prehypertension [PreHTN], or from PreHTN to normotension, in a relevant proportion of patients (Cano-Montoya et al., 2016; Álvarez et al., 2018). These beneficial cardioprotective effects have been more reported for long-term (i.e., >12 weeks) rather than short-term exercise programs (Jurio-Iriarte and Maldonado-Martín, 2019).

In the context of obesity, as a relevant HTN comorbidity and a target for exercise, fat oxidation rates have been found to be highest during low- to moderate-intensity exercise [i.e., MICT, moderate x watts of power output cycling (Achten et al., 2002)]. Intriguingly, the major mechanisms of adiposity loss associated with HIIT seem to be related to mitochondrial adaptations in skeletal muscle after HIIT, including an increase in mitochondrial biogenesis, and other molecular adaptations at Krebs’ cycle (into mitochondria) as increases in proteins citrate synthase, cytochrome oxidase, or at membranes proteins as fatty acid binding protein (FABP<sub>pm</sub>), or fatty acid CD36 (FAT/CD36) among others (Gibala et al., 2012; Astorino and Schubert, 2018). Also, the specific turn-on/turn-off periods in each interval bout in HIIT promote superior hormonal activity [i.e., adrenalin/noradrenaline catecholamines (Boutcher, 2010), and natriuretic peptide (Birjandi et al., 2016)] after exercise than traditional MICT modalities.

In this line, manipulation of exercise intensities (e.g., HIIT combined with resistance training or low- and MICT), however, does not seem to influence whole-body fat oxidation...
(Romijn et al., 1995). Also, a higher percentage of body fat does not necessarily translate into a greater FATox during exercise (Friedlander et al., 1999). Interestingly, an increased fat oxidation after circuit-type resistance training interventions has been observed in patients with impaired glucose tolerance, suggesting that other mechanisms may be involved (Eriksson et al., 1998).

Thus, there is limited information on the effects of HIIT on blood pressure and metabolic substrate use such as FATox and CHOox in patients with HTN. Obesity is also characterized by skeletal muscle with a reduced transport and/or phosphorylation of glucose, leading to lower rates of fatty acid oxidation at rest, compared with lean insulin-sensitive individuals (Goodpaster et al., 2002). In the same line, the rate of muscle glycogen oxidation during exercise is reduced in obese patients despite rates of plasma glucose uptake that are similar to lean controls. However, little is known regarding patterns of fuel use during exercise in hypertensive patients with obesity, and there are no studies that compare the effects of different exercise training methodologies in this population.

Therefore, designing and evaluating an individualized exercise training program on the basis of the pattern of energy substrate utilization for treating or preventing overweight and obesity in HTN patients remains a critical task for exercise scientists. Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of 16-weeks of HIIT on body composition, BP, cardiorespiratory fitness by \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \), and substrate utilization during exercise among prehypertensive and hypertensive patients with excessive adiposity. Furthermore, we aimed to test the association between potential changes in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) substrate utilization during exercise, and blood pressure. We hypothesized that BP improvements can be also associated with substrate utilization (i.e., fat and CHOox) during exercise in HTN patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Participants**

Forty-two (female \( n = 21 \); male \( n = 21 \)) physically inactive adults (non-adherent to 150 min/week of low to moderate physical activity (PA)/week, or to 75 min/week of vigorous PA (O’Donovan et al., 2010), screened by the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) (Serón et al., 2010), with or without hypertension were recruited. Participants were invited to participate in an exercise intervention programme at the Universidad de La Frontera Exercise Laboratory, Chile through open information disseminated by the research center (i.e., social network and email). All participants signed a written informed consent form which complied with the requirements of the last revised Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Universidad de La Frontera, Chile (DI18-0043).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) to have diagnosed clinical stage 1 or 2 hypertension, elevated blood pressure, or to be normotensive (see criteria classification below); (ii) to be adult >18 and <60 years of age (65 years is the retirement age for women in Chile); (iii) participation in physical autonomous daily activities including walking; (iv) medical authorization by a physician to take part in the study, and; (v) body mass index (BMI) \( \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \leq 39.9 \text{ kg/m}^2 \).

**Exclusion criteria** were; (i) physical limitations (e.g., restricting injuries of the musculoskeletal system such as osteoarthritis, or to be dependent on a third person); (ii) exercise-related dyspnea or respiratory alterations; (iii) chronic heart disease; (iv) altered ECG, and; (v) an adherence rate <80%, in which case data were not included in the final statistical analysis.

A total of 42 patients were included in the final analysis. Participants were allocated to one of the three following groups according to their blood pressure (diagnosed by physician): HIIT-hypertensive (H-HTN: age 47.7 ± 12.0 years; body mass index [BMI] 30.3 ± 5.5 kg/m\(^2\); systolic [SBP]/diastolic BP [DBP] 151.6 ± 10/81.9 ± 4.2 mmHg), HIIT-pre-hypertensive (H-PreHTN: age 37.6 ± 12.0 years; BMI 31.9 ± 5.3 kg/m\(^2\); SBP/DBP 134.4 ± 3.2/74.9 ± 7.0 mmHg), and a normotensive control group (H-CG: age 40.7 ± 11.0 years; BMI 29.5 ± 4.2 kg/m\(^2\); SBP/DBP 117.0 ± 6.2/72.4 ± 4.1 mmHg). The groups were submitted to a 3 × weekly HIIT program for 16 weeks. The sample size was calculated using the G*Power 3 Software (Faul et al., 2007). We used both delta changes and SD from previous studies of the similar exercise intervention extension, and cohort (patients with obesity), where blood pressure was included (Delgado-Floody et al., 2019). Based on this, with 1 predictive outcome (systolic BP [SD: 6 mmHg]), a moderate effect size (0.60) and a critical \( t \) value of 1.73, a total sample size of \( n = 10 \) subjects per group would give a statistical power of 80%, under an alpha error \( p < 0.05 \). Flow-chart diagram is detailed in Figure 1.

**Blood Pressure and Anthropometric Measurements**

Blood pressure was measured in the sitting position after 5 min rest. Two recordings were made, and the mean of the measurements was used for statistical analysis with an OMRON® digital electronic BP monitor (model HEM 7114, Chicago, IL, United States). There was a 15-min rest interval between readings, as for previous exercise training studies on the cohort profile (Guimaraes et al., 2010). We used the currently published standard cut-off classification for BP of the American Heart Association by Whelton et al. (2018) considering the 4-categories; (i.e., *normal* [SBP < 120; DBP < 80 mmHg], *elevated* [SBP 120–129; DBP < 80 mmHg], *hypertension* stage 1 [SBP < 130–139; DBP 80–89 mmHg] and *hypertension* stage 2 [SBP ≥ 140; DBP ≥ 90 mmHg]), that is shown in (Figure 4B) in continuous and intermittent lines (left side). However, as these criteria have not been updated in the public health systems of some countries, and only for a major contrasting between this current, and the past criteria, we also included the ranges of the past traditional BP classification that included 3-categories; (i.e., normotension [NT], prehypertension [PreHTN], and hypertension [HTN]) from Chobanian et al. (2003), that we denoted in the same (Figure 4B) in color boxes, right side, and ranges limits to each category denoted by the same continuous/intermittent lines.
Body mass (kg) was measured using a digital bio-impedance scale (TANITA®; model Scale Plus UM-028, Tokyo, Japan) and height (m) was measured with a SECA® stadiometer (model 214, Hamburg, Germany), with subjects in light clothing and without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the body weight divided by the square of the height (kg/m²) and was used to estimate nutritional status.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Indirect Calorimetry and Substrate Utilization During Exercise Calculations
All participants were also asked to avoid excessive physical activity, especially weight training and high-intensity exercise, to abstain from alcohol, caffeine, adrenergic beverages, consume fat, or nicotine for 8–10 h prior to blood pressure and
HIIT intervention. Sessions of familiarization. A total 480 min of effective work and was correlated with the aforementioned modified Borg scale, 80ñ100% of the maximum heart rate in each participant that Thus, the subjective intensity of cycling also corresponded to modified Borg scale [8, 9, or 10 points (Gibala et al., 2012)].

There were significant decreases in all groups for body mass: H-CG 78.84 (95% CI, 73.35 to 84.41), H-PreHTN 90.70 (95% CI, 73.39 to 105.01) and H-HTN 84.64 (95% CI, 71.41 to 97.88) kg, p = 0.222), BMI: H-CG 29.50 (95% CI, 27.49 to 31.51), H-PreHTN 31.97 (95% CI, 28.19 to 35.75) and H-HTN 30.33 (95% CI, 28.82 to 31.84) kg/m², p = 0.439, or body fat: H-CG 34.25 (95% CI, 31.50 to 37.06), H-PreHTN 35.72 (95% CI, 31.20 to 40.23) and H-HTN 34.33 (95% CI, 30.73 to 37.93) percentage, p = 0.801, Table 1. There were significant differences in the number/proportion of subjects diagnosed with hypertension at pre-test (13 [100%]) versus those at post-test (3 [23.0%]) (p < 0.001) (Table 1).

There were significant decreases in all groups for body mass: H-CG −1.76 (95% CI, −3.15 to −0.37) kg, p = 0.032; H-PreHTN −1.99 (95% CI, −3.91 to −0.07) kg, p = 0.010; and H-HTN −2.79 (95% CI, −4.49 to −1.08) kg, p = 0.002), however, the Time × Group interaction was not significant (p = 0.661) (Table 1). There were significant decreases in Δ BMI only in the H-CG group −0.67 (95% CI, −1.27 to −0.06) kg/m², p = 0.041.
Body fat (%) decreased in the H-HTN group $-1.38$ (95% CI, $-2.50$ to $-0.26$), $p=0.015$ (Table 1).

Adjusted mixed linear models revealed a significant improved in $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ were $+3.34$ (95% CI, 1.91 to 4.76) mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in the H-CG, $+3.63$ (95% CI, 1.69 to 5.57) mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in the H-PreHTN, and $+5.92$ (95% CI, 4.16 to 7.68) mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ in the H-HTN group, however, the Time $\times$ Group interaction were not significant ($F_{(2,67)}$, $p=0.083$, $\eta^2=0.13$), Figures 3A,B.

At 16-week, RER and CHOox changes were not significantly different from baseline in the H-CG, H-PreHTN, or in the H-HTN group ($p>0.05$) Figures 3C,E. The FATox increases in H-CG +11.65 (95% CI, 0.02 to 23.33)%, but not in the H-PreHTN +7.33 (95% CI, $-8.04$ to 22.71)%, or in the H-HTN group +8.94 (95% CI, $-4.61$ to 22.49)%, Figure 3D. However, the Time $\times$ Group interaction were not significant ($F_{(0.49)}$, $p=0.910$, $\eta^2=0.01$) (Figure 3D).

SBP decreased in the H-HTN $-8.70$ (95% CI, $-16.52$ to $-0.88$) mmHg, H-CG $-7.14$ (95% CI, $-13.10$ to $-1.18$) mmHg, and H-PreHTN $-5.11$ (95% CI, $-9.78$ to $-0.43$) mmHg. No significant intergroup differences were observed ($F_{(0.57)}$, $p=0.566$, $\eta^2=0.03$), Figures 4A,B. DBP changes were $-5.43$ (95% CI, $-7.73$ to $-3.12$) mmHg in the H-CG group, and decreased significantly more in the H-HTN group ($p=0.032$), Figure 4D.

There were no significant correlations between the delta changes of blood pressure outcomes ($\Delta$SBP/$\Delta$DBP), the delta changes from the cardiorespiratory fitness ($\Delta$VO$_{2\text{max}}$, and $\Delta$RER) and the delta changes for utilization during exercise outcomes ($\Delta$FATox and $\Delta$CHOox), Figure 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings were that 16-weeks of HIIT protocol improved the $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ decreases the body mass and systolic BP especially in the pre-hypertensive and hypertensive patients, independent of changes in exercise substrate metabolism outcomes. Despite slightly greater improvements in some body composition variables or cardiorespiratory fitness, the changes observed in the exercise substrate metabolism were not related to the other BP changes.

The importance of exercise training for prevention and treatment of hypertension is well known (Cade et al., 1984; Guimaraes et al., 2010; Pescatello et al., 2015; Olea et al., 2017). Thus, part of the mechanisms by exercise training decrease blood pressure are explained and mediated by acute transitory mechanisms such as: (a) a reduction in vascular peripheral resistance (Ramírez-Vélez et al., 2019), (b) an increase in nitric oxide (Augeri et al., 2009), (c) a decrease in vasoconstrictors (Low et al., 2007), (d) an increase in shear stress (Birk et al., 2012), (e) a decrease in sympathetic nervous activity (Halliwill, 2001), and potentially other from structural chronic adaptations at vascular (Pedralli et al., 2020). For example, as the HTN patients decreased both SBP and DBP from the (Pedralli et al., 2020), where they also improved vascular structural parameters, from here it is possible to speculate that our results could be related with potential structural modifications. However, as we did not included vascular direct measurements, further research is needed to determine more clear these mechanisms.
TABLE 1 | Characteristics of normotensive, prehypertensive, and hypertensive participants of 16-weeks of high intensity interval training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>H-CG</th>
<th>H-PreHTN</th>
<th>H-HTN</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.74 (35.34 to 46.13)</td>
<td>37.60 (29.10 to 46.10)</td>
<td>47.77 (38.40 to 45.94)</td>
<td>p = 0.105^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric/Body composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>163.74 (160.59 to 166.88)</td>
<td>167.70 (162.20 to 173.20)</td>
<td>165.08 (159.92 to 170.24)</td>
<td>p = 0.406^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 78.84 (73.35 to 84.41)</td>
<td>90.70 (76.29 to 105.01)</td>
<td>84.61 (71.41 to 97.88)</td>
<td>p = 0.222^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δkg</td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.032</td>
<td>p = 0.010</td>
<td>p = 0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 29.50 (27.49 to 31.51)</td>
<td>31.97 (28.19 to 35.75)</td>
<td>30.33 (28.82 to 31.84)</td>
<td>p = 0.439^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δkg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 0.041</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 34.25 (31.50 to 37.06)</td>
<td>35.72 (31.20 to 40.23)</td>
<td>34.33 (30.73 to 37.93)</td>
<td>p = 0.801^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ%</td>
<td></td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>p = 0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>171.01 (113.82 to 120.77)</td>
<td>134.40 (132.28 to 136.51)</td>
<td>151.69 (145.49 to 157.89)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.42 (70.05 to 74.78)</td>
<td>74.90 (69.82 to 79.97)</td>
<td>81.76 (78.01 to 85.53)</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory exchange ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat oxidation (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 12.31 (4.81 to 19.81)</td>
<td>31.70 (17.35 to 46.04)</td>
<td>26.69 (15.52 to 37.01)</td>
<td>p = 0.011^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHO oxidation (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 87.68 (80.18 to 95.18)</td>
<td>86.10 (53.93 to 82.70)</td>
<td>78.58 (62.93 to 83.52)</td>
<td>p = 0.001^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Pressure Diagnosed by Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atenolol, n (% 50 mg/1 uts. day)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre 19 (100%)</td>
<td>10 (100%)</td>
<td>13 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losartan, n (% 50 mg/1–2 uts. day)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochlorothiazide, n (% 12.5–25 mg/1 uts. day)</td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>6/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin, n (% 10–40 mg/1 uts. day)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>1/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown in mean and 95% CIs to continuous outcomes, and as n/percent to categorical outcomes. Groups are described as: (H-CG) HIIT-normotensive control group [Reference group], (H-PreHTN) HIIT-prehypertensive group, and (H-HTN) HIIT-hypertensive group. Bold values denotes significant differences within group, or inter-group at baseline at p < 0.05 or less. ^a Pharmacotherapy is shown according with the proportion of participants per group taking it [e.g., 2 out of 13 (2/13)]. (uts.) Units. ^b Analysis of variance in baseline. ^c Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with adjustment for the baseline values, age, gender as covariates (p-value for Time × Group interaction). A Sidak’s post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons. ^d Analysis by Chi-square test (X^2) test.

In an exercise study on patients with hypertension (Molmen-Hansen et al., 2012) showed that 12-weeks of HIIT (4 x 4 min at 85–90% VO_2max, walking/running, 38 min total session) or MICT (60% VO_2max, walking/running, 47 min total session) reduced 24-h SBP by Δ −12mmHg (HIIT protocol) or Δ −4.5 mmHg (MICT protocol). Additionally, the authors showed that both exercise modes increased ΔVO_2max (HIIT Δ + 5.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, MICT Δ + 1.0 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), which is in accordance with our HIIT protocol in hypertensive patients (H-HTN Δ + 5.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Unfortunately, they did not report on exercise metabolism outcomes or exercise substrate changes (Molmen-Hansen et al., 2012). We found that our low exercise-time/session HIIT protocol not only decreased SBP, but also led to relevant clinical changes in the proportion of patients classified as hypertensive in pre-test (13 [31.0%]) compared with post-test (3 [7.1%]) measurements.

A recent study by Soltani et al. (2019), conducted in hypertensive patients, showed that a regimen of only 8-weeks of two HIIT protocols, including a very short HIIT (30s/30s at 80% of VO_2peak, 30 s recovery, ∼40 min total sessions) and a long-duration HIIT (4 min/4 min at 75% of VO_2peak, 4 min recovery, ∼42 min total sessions) protocol reduced SBP by ∼8.1 and ∼7.6 mmHg, respectively. Although the authors also reported a reduction in blood and plasma viscosity, and in fibrinogen concentration, no exercise substrates or metabolism outcomes were evaluated (Soltani et al., 2019). The present study, albeit with a longer exercise program (16-weeks), but with ≤ 30 min duration of total exercise per session, showed a superior reduction in SBP of Δ −8.70 mmHg in the H-HTN group and Δ −5.11 mmHg in the H-PreHTN group, but also led to relevant clinical changes in the proportion of patients classified as hypertensive in the pre-test (13 [100%]) as compared with post-test (3 [23.0%]) measurements. This suggests that HIIT is a powerful, non-pharmacological therapy against hypertension progression. Along these lines, an interesting parallel effect has been reported by traditional MICT (∼3 days, ∼40 min session, ∼65% heart rate), where a meta-analysis including 30 studies in HTN patients reported SBP/DBP decreases of −6.9 and −4.9, respectively (Fagard and Cornelissen, 2007). However, in comparison to the low-volume implicated by our HIIT protocol (10 min for training, 20 min rest periods), our exercise modality appears more time-efficient as compared to MICT.
FIGURE 3 | Maximum oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), FATox and CHOox utilization during exercise measured by indirect calorimetry measured in healthy normotensive, prehypertensive, and hypertensive subjects. Panel (A) show absolute values of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$, and Panel (B) show $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ in delta values pre-post 16-weeks HIIT intervention. Panel (C) show RER peak during exercise in delta values pre-post 16-weeks HIIT intervention. Panel (D) shows fat utilization during exercise in delta values pre-post 16-week high-intensity interval training (HIIT) intervention. Panel (E) shows CHO utilization during exercise in delta values pre-post 16-week HIIT intervention Groups are described as (H-CG) HIIT-normotensive control group, (H-PreHTN) HIIT-prehypertensive group, and (H-HTN) HIIT-hypertensive group. Within the mixed model, we calculated 95% CIs and $P$ values for 3 prespecified intergroup contrasts and for change for all continuous variables within each group over time with adjustment for the baseline values, age, gender and BMI as covariates. A Sidack’s post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons. All results are presented as least-squares means with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
More recently, (Olea et al., 2017) reported that after 24 cycling sessions (8 weeks), using a similar HIIT protocol to ours, all 22 hypertensive patients showed a reduction in systolic/diastolic BP (SBP Δ -27 mmHg and DBP Δ -2 mmHg), which changed their diagnosed clinical baseline from hypertensive to normotensive. The authors similarly reported an increase in \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{max}} \) of \( \Delta + 3.5 \text{ mL·kg}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1} \) in hypertensive patients and \( \Delta + 3.4 \text{ mL·kg}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1} \) in normotensive subjects at the end of the HIIT program, although no exercise substrate metabolic outcomes were reported. In an classic study (Cade et al., 1984), reported that, in 101/105 hypertensive patients, a 12-week regimen of traditional endurance continuous exercise (walking 2 miles/day with moderate-to-vigorous intensity) led to a significant decrease in SBP which was similar to our research; nevertheless, it is important to mention that in our study more robust effects were observed in SBP and not in DBP, which could be related to improvements in heart physiology rather than a local effect on blood vessels. However, of the 47 patients from the Cade et al. (1984) study who were receiving hypotensive pharmacological therapy during the pre-exercise period, 24 were able to discontinue all medication. Again, no exercise substrate metabolism or cardiorespiratory fitness outcomes were reported by these authors.

In addition to the results presented here, we have previously reported significant decreases in blood pressure and changes in the initial diagnoses of baseline hypertension or prehypertension patients (Cano-Montoya et al., 2016; Álvarez et al., 2018), but our present study is the first to report both blood pressure changes (as a change in clinical diagnosis), exercise substrate metabolism and cardiorespiratory fitness improvements in a hypertensive cohort. These finding demonstrate that HIIT could be considered an effective therapy against hypertension. In this regard, when HTN patients are under hypotensive pharmacotherapy and are also participating of exercise training, there is of great relevance to evaluate regularly the blood pressure in these patients (i.e., the register of before and after the exercise session) and to do the appropriate delivery to the physicians of this inform. This, due to the beneficial of the exercise adaptations can promote fast regulations (i.e., reductions) in their daily hypotensive dose, where physicians adjust these, according with the blood pressure values of each patient. On the other hand, no relationships were observed when testing the association between potential improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness/exercise substrate metabolism with blood pressure improvements (Figure 5). Thus, it is likely that rates of oxidation during exercise conditions or markers of
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oxidative capacity may not entirely reflect the capacity of obese persons for fatty-acid or CHO metabolism during exercise (Cao et al., 2019). However, there are numerous indications that obesity is associated with a diminished capacity to oxidize fat (van Baak, 1999). In this line, impairments in the ability to mobilize fatty acids from adipose tissue and to oxidize fatty acids in skeletal muscles have been reported in obese subjects during catecholamine stimulation (through β-adrenoceptors; van Baak, 1999). In other hand, FATox or CHOox rate in sedentary, obese/hypertensive subjects after chronic exercise training interventions and utilization during exercise is not well
documented. Also, in obese individuals the findings are controversial. Kempen et al. (1995) showed changes in body composition, energy expenditure, and substrate utilization in obese women after an 8-week combined diet and exercise training programme compared to diet alone. Furthermore, van Aggel-Leijssen et al. (2001) showed that, in obese men, low-intensity training (40% of VO₂max) resulted in an increased total FATox during MICT, which could be attributed to an increase in non-plasma fatty acid oxidation, whereas HIIT (70% of VO₂max) did not affect total FAT. In contrast, Kanaley et al. (1993) found that a 16-weeks aerobic exercise training programme (45% of VO₂max) did not increase exercise FATox in upper- and lower-body obese women, but rather did increase exercise CHOox. Buemann et al. (1992), in a training study (3 × week, 45 ± 60 min of outdoor running and cycling for 3 ± 4 months) in post-obese women no effect on 24 h RER was shown. In agreement with our findings we speculated that a low-intensity exercise programme may be more effective in increasing FAT/CHOox during peak exercise. This is especially relevant because it has been proposed that low-intensity exercise may be more beneficial in improving FATox during exercise, but that HIIT may be more effective in increasing post-exercise FATox (van Aggel-Leijssen et al., 2001).

Several of the aforementioned studies also reported significant changes in body mass in hypertensive patients after HIIT programs. For example, the study by Olea et al. (2017) reported a change (Δ) of −3.5 kg. However, the other above-mentioned studies did not find changes (Cano-Montoya et al., 2018). In the present study, we found significant body mass changes (Δ ∼−2.79 kg), as well as percentage body fat decreases (Δ ∼−1.38%) in the H-HTN group, together with the aforementioned SBP decreases (Δ −8.70 mmHg) in this group. At 16-week, changes in SBP were negatively correlated to changes in VO₂max (R² = −0.444; p = 0.045) only in the H-HTN group. There were no significant changes in by ΔVO₂max and the ΔDBP levels (Supplementary Figure S1). It is worth noting that a minimum of ∼2 mmHg systolic BP reduction in hypertensive patients is related to a 10% decrease in brain vascular accidents and a 7% decrease in cardiovascular disease (Lewington et al., 2002), suggesting that these results have clinical implications. These changes observed in our study is a significant and clinically relevant finding. In this line, that Kodama et al. (2009) reported that a 1-unit of metabolic equivalents higher level of VO₂max was associated with a decrement of 13 and 15% in risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease events, respectively, in healthy men and women.

Limitations and Strengths
This study has six limitations: (i) this was not a randomized control study, but rather an interventional study with pragmatic applications to evaluate the effectiveness of HIIT in real-life routine practice conditions on blood pressure and cardiorespiratory fitness/exercise substrate metabolism in hypertensive patients; (ii) there was a lack of a true control group, but this was not an aim of the study; (iii) the nutritional habits of participants were not evaluated, but we reminded all participants on a weekly basis to maintain their baseline dietary habits; (iv) the IPAQ score was not incorporated, as this was not an aim of the study, and (v) we did not included vascular function test and (vi) the use of indirect calorimetry method for estimating fat and CHOox, since there are other more accurate methods (i.e., isotope tracers or doubly-labeled water). However, the main strength of our study is that we reported an integral approach of blood pressure changes after a time-efficient exercise training as HIIT, reporting results in mean absolute (mmHg), delta (mmHg), as well as at individual changes. We also included clinical frequently used cut-off points for blood pressure classification to each participant for a better understanding.

CONCLUSION
A 16-week HIIT-intervention improved the VO₂max, decreases the body mass and systolic BP especially in the pre-hypertensive and hypertensive patients, independent of changes in exercise substrate metabolism outcomes. Despite slightly greater improvements in some body composition variables or cardiorespiratory fitness, the changes observed in the exercise substrate metabolism were not related to the other BP changes. Because a disturbed muscle FATox or CHOox may be a primary event in the etiology of obesity and/or high blood pressure it is of the utmost importance to know whether, and how, exercise training may compensate for these impairments.
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**FIGURE S1 | General correlations (panel A and B), and by group correlations (panel C and D) among cardiometabolic fitness (i.e., by O2max) with blood pressure levels (∆SBP/∆DBP), following groups training from baseline to 16-weeks post-exercise. Panel (A) denotes the general correlation (i.e., all data) of O2max with ∆Systolic BP, panel (B) denotes general correlation between O2max with ∆Diastolic BP, panel (C) denotes by group correlations between O2max with ∆Systolic BP, and panel (D) denotes by group correlations between O2max with ∆Diastolic BP. Groups are described as (H-CQ) HIIT-normotensive control group, (H-PreHTN) HIIT-prehypertensive group, and (H-HTN) HIIT-hypertensive group.

β = Standardized coefficients beta and R² = R square, coefficient of determination with adjustment for the age, gender and BMI as covariates.


Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Delgado-Floody, Izquierdo, Ramírez-Vélez, Caamaño-Navarrete, Moris, Jerez-Mayorga, Andrade and Álvarez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, reproduction or permission is which does not comply with these terms.