

1           **Use of oil mixture emulsion hydrogels as partial animal**  
2           **fat replacers in dry-fermented foal sausages**

3  
4           Aurora Cittadini <sup>a,b</sup>, Rubén Domínguez <sup>b</sup>, Paulo E. S. Munekata <sup>b</sup>, Mirian  
5           Pateiro <sup>b</sup>, María V. Sarriés <sup>a</sup>, José Manuel Lorenzo <sup>b,c\*</sup>

6  
7           <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Innovación y Sostenibilidad en la Cadena Agroalimentaria (IS-FOOD), Universidad  
8           Pública de Navarra (UPNA), Campus de Arrosadía, 31006 Pamplona, Spain

9           <sup>b</sup> Centro Tecnológico de la Carne de Galicia, Avd. Galicia No. 4, Parque Tecnológico de Galicia,  
10           32900 San Cibrao das Viñas, Spain

11           <sup>c</sup> Universidade de Vigo, Área de Tecnología de los Alimentos, Facultad de Ciencias de Ourense,  
12           Universidad de Vigo, 32004, Ourense, Spain

13  
14           **\*Corresponding author email:** [jmlorenzo@ceteca.net](mailto:jmlorenzo@ceteca.net)

15

16

17           **Abstract**

18           This study aimed to evaluate the influence of partial replacement of animal fat by oil mixture  
19 emulsion hydrogels on the quality properties of dry-fermented foal sausages. Three batches were  
20 elaborated: control (CON) – 100% of pork fat; treatments 1 and 2 (T1 and T2) – 50% of pork fat  
21 was replaced by oil mixture emulsions, tigernut (T1) or sesame oils (T2) blended with algal oil.  
22 Lipid reformulations reduced ( $P < 0.001$ ) fat (36.91% vs. about 30%, for CON and reformulated  
23 samples, respectively), and moisture contents (33.57% vs. about 28%, for CON and reformulated  
24 samples, respectively), while darker sausages were obtained. These changes in the both, fat and  
25 moisture contents, have an important influence on the texture parameters, since reformulated  
26 samples presented higher values of hardness (283-317 N) than control samples (152 N). Both oil  
27 emulsion hydrogels favored a decrease ( $P < 0.001$ ) of saturated fatty acids (34.16 vs. 30 g/100 g of  
28 fat), an increase ( $P < 0.001$ ) of mono- (T1) and polyunsaturated (T2) fatty acids (depending on the  
29 batch), and an improvement of all health indices as omega-6/omega-3 (n-3/n-6) and  
30 polyunsaturated fatty acids/ saturated fatty acid ratios (PUFA/SFA), atherogenic (AI) and  
31 thrombogenic (TI) indices and hypocholesterolaemic/hypercholesterolaemic ratio (h/H). T2  
32 seemed to reduce ( $P < 0.001$ ) the lipid oxidation in the samples, while T1 presented the highest  
33 values. On the other hand, the terpenes and terpenoids were the most abundant volatile compounds  
34 (VOCs) found in all sausages, mainly due to the use of pepper as flavoring spice. Several  
35 differences were observed on the content of different individual VOCs (hydrocarbons, acids,  
36 alcohols, aldehydes, etc.) and also in the total VOCs content, due of both, differences in lipid  
37 oxidation processes (in accordance with TBARS values) and also the moisture and fat content of  
38 the samples. Nevertheless, consumer acceptability resulted to be unaffected (T1) or improved (T2)  
39 by the fat reformulation. Thus, overall results pointed out that the use of T2 emulsion hydrogel as

40 a partial animal fat replacer could be a promising strategy to achieve healthier dry-cured foal  
41 sausages with high consumers' approval.

42 **Keywords:** Lipid reformulation; Foal meat product; Healthy dry-cured sausages; Nutritional  
43 value; Volatile compounds; Sensory analysis

44

## 45           **1.           Introduction**

46           Over the last decades, the development of healthier meat products has become one of the  
47 central issue for the scientific community and meat industry to satisfy the market requirements.  
48 Actually, modern consumers focus their attention on the quality of food and especially on its  
49 potential health effects (Teixeira & Rodrigues, 2021). In this context, meat products are generally  
50 indicated for their elevated fat contents, mostly saturated fatty acids (SFA), cholesterol and other  
51 components that could have a negative impact on human health, favoring the onset of obesity,  
52 cardiovascular problems and other chronic diseases (Nacak et al., 2021). Dry-fermented sausages  
53 are popular meat products worldwide and are particularly appreciated owing to their convenience  
54 and unique features and aromas developed during the ripening phase (Flores & Piornos, 2021;  
55 Franco et al., 2020). Nevertheless, in order to preserve these peculiarities, animal fat (generally  
56 pork back fat), rich in fat and especially in saturated fatty acids, is commonly employed in this type  
57 of products since it is essential to favor the correct dry-ripened process and as a consequence to  
58 obtain the typical technological and sensorial properties of these sausages (Utrilla et al., 2015).  
59 Moreover, the use of pork back fat reduces the production costs, considering its low economic  
60 value. Therefore, dry-fermented sausages are characterized by high fat contents, among a 40-50%,  
61 and as a result have acquired a negative connotation from the health standpoint, as the others meat  
62 products (Lorenzo & Franco, 2012).

63           In this sense, fat reduction and the improvement of lipid composition represents one of the  
64 leading strategy adopted in investigation with the aim of enhancing the quality of meat products  
65 (Teixeira & Rodrigues, 2021). In this regard, different options have been assayed to limit the use  
66 of animal fat and the use of healthier lipid sources (vegetable or marine oils) as its substitutes  
67 demonstrated to be a promising solution, favoring a significant reduction of both fat and SFA

68 contents in meat products (Domínguez, Bohrer, et al., 2021). The incorporation of oils can be  
69 carried following three main ways, which found application also in dry-fermented sausages.  
70 Concretely, distinct works explored fat reduction in these products using oleogels (Franco et al.,  
71 2020; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020), microencapsulated oils (Lorenzo et al., 2016b) and hydrogels  
72 (Alejandre et al., 2016; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Nevertheless,  
73 recent investigations highlighted that the use of emulsion hydrogels presents several leverages in  
74 comparison with the other two techniques (Domínguez, Bohrer, et al., 2021; Domínguez,  
75 Munekata, et al., 2021). Moreover, it was pointed out that the optimization of the nutritional profile  
76 of the final products can be achieved using oils combinations instead of pure oils, moderating its  
77 potential effects at technological or sensorial levels (Rubén Domínguez, Bohrer, et al., 2021). As  
78 a result, oil mixtures structured in emulsion hydrogels are suggested as potential partial animal fat  
79 replacers in dry-fermented foal sausages. The preparation of the hydrogels is inexpensive and  
80 simple, and requires only two steps: the formation of a stable oil-in-water emulsion (composed of  
81 the oil mixture and water) and its successive gelation by structuring agents (Domínguez, Munekata,  
82 et al., 2021). In detail, in this study, alginate-based emulsion hydrogels were elaborated using algal  
83 oil mixed with tigernut or sesame oils. Algal oil is recognized for its high contents in long-chain  
84 omega-3 fatty acids (LC  $n-3$ ), as eicosapentaenoic (EPA, C20:5 $n-3$ ) and docosahexaenoic (DHA,  
85 C22:6 $n-3$ ) acids (Gayoso et al., 2019). Tigernut and sesame oils represent underused dietary fat  
86 sources: tigernut is particularly rich in monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), like oleic acid (Sabah  
87 et al., 2019) while in sesame oil polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) (mostly linoleic acid) and  
88 MUFA (mainly oleic acid) represent the predominant fractions (Matthäus & Özcan, 2018).

89 Besides, the selection of meat of lean species and with a valuable nutritive profile could  
90 complement the “mission” of meat industry. In this sense, horse meat in fact represents a favorable

91 alternative for use as raw material in the preparation of healthy dry-fermented sausages considering  
92 its low fat content and “beneficial” fatty acid profile, among others attributes (Belaunzaran et al.,  
93 2015; *Jastrzębska et al., 2019*). In addition, equine meat is generally defined eco-friendly  
94 (Belaunzaran et al., 2015), satisfying the environmental concerns of modern consumers (Teixeira  
95 & Rodrigues, 2021).

96 Furthermore, to our knowledge, there is a scarce number of studies about the use of healthy  
97 oils as animal fat replacers in foal meat product (Cittadini, Munekata, et al., 2021), and completely  
98 absent in the case of foal dry-fermented sausages. Actually, the use of these healthy oil mixtures  
99 stabilized into alginate-wheat glucose-phosphate matrix as partial animal fat replacers for the  
100 development of healthy foal dry-fermented sausages has not been explored.

101 Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of partial pork back fat  
102 replacement by healthy oil emulsion hydrogels on the composition, physicochemical parameters,  
103 nutritional profile, volatile compounds, sensory characteristics and acceptability of foal sausages.  
104 In this manner, the obtained outcomes can help to better understand the possibility of the practical  
105 use of these emulsion hydrogels in the production of healthier foal dry-fermented sausages. In the  
106 same time, this work sought to give value to this valuable and still untapped type of meat and to  
107 encourage the consumption of its derived products.

## 108 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 109 *2.1. Elaboration of alginate-based emulsion hydrogels and fatty acid composition of fat* 110 *sources*

111 In the present study, two types of alginate-based hydrogels were processed with Prosella  
112 powder as gelling agent (Prosella VG NF4, Coli Ingredients, Mittelhausen, France) and elaborated  
113 a day before sausages manufacture following the procedure recently described by Cittadini,

114 Munekata, et al. (2021): treatment 1 (T1) and treatment 2 (T2) hydrogels. In particular, these  
115 emulsions contained algal oil (2.25 g/100 g emulsion) mixed with tigernut (T1) or sesame oil (T2)  
116 (35.05 g/100 g emulsion). Algal oil (418.3 mg DHA/g oil), was generously provided by Solutex  
117 Corporation (Madrid, Spain). Tigernut oil was directly purchased from the company Tigernuts  
118 Traders SL (Valencia, Spain), while sesame oil (Naturgreen, Librilla, Murcia, Spain) was bought  
119 at a local store. The final proportions of these emulsions were as follows: water (56 g/100 g), algal  
120 and tigernut or sesame oil (37.3 g/100 g) and the Prosella powder (6.7 g/100 g). This powder  
121 consisted of jellifying agents (calcium sulphate and sodium alginate), wheat glucose syrup (7.4%),  
122 a stabiliser (disodium diphosphate, added P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 9.58%) and an antioxidant (sodium ascorbate),  
123 which maintain oils in its structure. Table 1 shows the fatty acid composition of the fat sources  
124 used in this work.

## 125 2.2. *Manufacture of dry-fermented sausages*

126 Three different batches of dry-fermented sausages were manufactured (Figure 1): control  
127 (CON) – containing 100% of pork back fat as fat source (18.2 g/100 g) and other two experimental  
128 batches in which 50% of animal fat (9.1 g/100 g) was replaced by the alginate-based hydrogels (9.1  
129 g/100 g) consisting of algal oil mixed with tigernut oil (T1) or sesame oil (T2), depending on the  
130 batch. All batches were formulated with the same ingredients, except for fat source as above  
131 described. In particular, the foal sausages included lean meat from Burguete foals (74 g/100 g)  
132 provided by Cárnicas Mutiloa (Rocaforte, Navarre, Spain), pork back fat purchased in a local meat  
133 industry (Cárnicas M. Boo, S.L., San Cibrao das Viñas, Ourense, Spain), water (3.2 g/100 g) and  
134 the “542 Salchichón” supplement (Laboratorios Ceylamix, Valencia, Spain) (4.6 g/100 g),  
135 containing, in unknown proportions, sugar (lactose, sucrose), salt, dextrin, spices (black and white  
136 pepper and nutmeg), milk protein, monosodium glutamate (E621), phosphates (E450 and E451),

137 sodium erythorbate (E316), potassium nitrate (E252) and coloring (E120). No starter cultures were  
138 added. **The three treatments were elaborated following the same manufacturing process.** Briefly,  
139 the lean foal meat was ground using a 12 mm diameter mincing plate (Ak-Ramon Top-114,  
140 Vilassar de Dalt, Barcelona, Spain) while the fat sources were minced through a stainless-steel  
141 grinder plate of 8 mm diameter in a refrigerated mincer machine (La Minerva, A/E 22R, Bologna,  
142 Italy). Successively, all ingredients were vacuum mixed using a kneader-mixer machine (Mainca,  
143 RM-20, Granollers, Barcelona, Spain) for 2 min and maintained at 4 °C for 24 h. Then, the mix  
144 was stuffed (stuffer Sia Junior, Plegamans, Barcelona, Spain) into natural casing 35 cm long and  
145 50-55 mm in diameter (provided by Suinca, S.L., Villamarín, Ourense, Spain), so that the final  
146 weight of each sausage was around 350 g. At this point, the sausages were kept in a fermentation  
147 chamber for one day at 20-22 °C and 80-85% of relative humidity and then transferred into a  
148 drying-ripening chamber where they were maintained for 55 days at 8-12 °C and 65-80% of relative  
149 humidity. Eight replicates were elaborated for each batch and the same manufacturing process was  
150 repeated three times, on different months (8 samples per treatment × 3 experimental treatments ×  
151 3 manufacture runs). Analyses were realized on samples taken after 55 days of ripening.

### 152 2.3. *Proximate composition, physicochemical and lipid oxidation analysis*

153 **The procedures described by Lorenzo et al. (2016b) were followed for the determination of**  
154 **the chemical composition and physicochemical (color, pH and texture) parameters.** As regards lipid  
155 oxidation, it was evaluated through thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) index using  
156 the method reported by Tarladgis et al. (1960), and values were expressed as mg MDA/kg sample.

### 157 2.4. *Fatty acids analysis*

158 For fatty acid analysis, fat extraction and its transesterification were carried out following the  
159 protocol previously described by Domínguez et al. (2022). Separation and quantification of fatty



160 acids methyl esters (FAMES) were performed through the use of gas chromatography-FID  
161 technique (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), whose chromatographic conditions were  
162 formerly described (Domínguez et al., 2022) and the outcomes were expressed as g/100 g of fat.  
163 Moreover, the health indices, n-6/n-3 and PUFA/SFA ratios, atherogenic (AI) and thrombogenic  
164 (TI) indices and hypocholesterolaemic/hypercholesterolaemic ratio (h/H), were calculated as  
165 described by Cittadini, Munekata, et al. (2021).

#### 166 2.5. *Volatile compounds analysis*

167 The extraction, separation, identification and determination of the volatile compounds of 1 g  
168 of sample were performed using solid-phase microextraction-gas chromatography-mass  
169 spectrometry (SPME/GC-MS) technique (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA),  
170 according to the procedure and conditions described by Domínguez, Purriños, et al. (2019). The  
171 volatile results were expressed as area units of the EIC  $\times 10^4$  per gram of sample (AU  $\times 10^4$ /g of  
172 sample).

#### 173 2.6. *Sensory analysis of foal sausages*

174 A quantitative-descriptive analysis (QDA) was carried out in order to define the sensorial  
175 profile of the three treatments studied (CON, T1 and T2) in line with the ISO 13299: 2017  
176 regulation (International Organisation for Standardisation, 2017a). The evaluation was conducted  
177 with a panel composed by 17 trained assessors selected from the Meat Technology Center of  
178 Galicia staff. Panelists were chosen for their sensory ability and experience in performing sensory  
179 evaluation on meat products. Moreover, before the analysis, tasters were trained following the  
180 methodology described by UNE-EN ISO 8586:2014 (International Organization for  
181 Standardization, 2014a) with the attributes and scale to employ during three sessions. Samples  
182 were individually labelled with a randomized 3-digit number and served together at room

183 temperature on white dishes. The tasting order was designed and indicated to the panelists in order  
184 to avoid first sample and carry-over effects (Macfie et al., 1989). A total of three sessions  
185 (corresponding to each manufacture replicate) were carried out and each panelist tasted the three  
186 samples (CON, T1 and T2) in each session. In particular, nine attributes were assessed, grouped  
187 according to appearance (meat color and fat color), odor, flavor (black pepper flavor, rancid flavor  
188 and global flavor), taste and texture (hardness and chewiness). Tasters evaluated these attributes  
189 using a structured scale from 0 (sensation not perceived) to 10 (the maximum sensation).

190 For sensory acceptability analysis, a total of 51 consumers (29-45 years and from both  
191 genders) from Ourense (Spain) participated in the test. The World state of emergency and the  
192 approved restrictions (November 2020) limited the participation of a major number of tasters,  
193 nevertheless it was obtained an appropriate number according to Mammasse & Schlich (2014).  
194 The aim of this test was to evaluate the overall acceptability of the different sausages elaborated.  
195 Each consumer tasted the three samples, one for each formulation, in a single session. They  
196 evaluated the foal sausages employing a 7-point hedonic scale, which ranged from “1-disliked  
197 much” to “7-liked much”. Moreover, it was asked to order the samples according to their preference  
198 (International Organisation for Standardisation, 2017b) using a 3-point scale (1=less favorite and  
199 3=most favorite). Furthermore, tasters informed also their purchase intentions of the different foal  
200 sausages treatments. Samples were three-digit coded and randomly served to the assessors (Macfie  
201 et al., 1989).

202 The sensory evaluations were performed in the sensorial analysis laboratory of the Meat  
203 Technology Center of Galicia (Ourense, Spain) equipped with individual cabinets under white light  
204 according to UNE-EN ISO 8589:2010/A1:2014 regulation (International Organization for  
205 Standardization, 2014b). The sausages were cut into slices (5 mm thick) using a commercial slicing

206 machine (Bizerba SE12-S, Bizerba GmbH & Co. KG, Balingen, Germany). Moreover, water and  
207 unsalted toasted bread were provided to the tasters to cleanse the palate and remove residual flavors  
208 at the beginning of session and between samples.

### 209 *2.7. Statistical Analysis*

210 The SPSS statistical software (SPSS 25.0, Chicago, IL, USA) was used to carry out all  
211 statistical analyses. Normal distribution and variance homogeneity were firstly verified applying  
212 Shapiro-Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. Data were submitted for analysis of variance  
213 (ANOVA), where the parameters were set as dependent variables, treatment (fat source) was  
214 included as fixed effect and replications (the experiment was repeated three times) were considered  
215 as random effects, meanwhile for sensory acceptance consumers were also comprised as random  
216 effect (each taster evaluate three samples, one for each treatment, in a single session). Duncan's  
217 method was employed to assess the pairwise differences between least-square means. In addition,  
218 correlations between variables ( $P < 0.05$ ) were determined by correlation analyses using Pearson's  
219 linear correlation coefficient. Differences were considered significant if  $P < 0.05$ . Moreover,  
220 Friedman test with Newell and MacFarlene tables ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) was used to perform the statistical  
221 evaluation of the preference data. When a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) was found, least significant  
222 differences (LSD) test was employed as a multiple comparison test.

## 223 **3. Results and Discussion**

### 224 *3.1. Physicochemical parameters of dry-fermented foal sausages*

225 Table 2 shows the proximate composition and physicochemical results of the dry-fermented  
226 foal sausages. The use of alginate emulsion hydrogels produced a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) decrease  
227 of moisture, where CON samples presented the highest percentages in comparison with the  
228 reformulated sausages. Our results for CON group are in agreement with the range of values (30-

229 34%) published in literature for foal dry-fermented sausages (Domínguez et al., 2016; Lorenzo et  
230 al., 2012; Lorenzo & Franco, 2012). Similarly, the values of T1 and T2 groups are consistent with  
231 those found by other authors (around 25-30%) (Alejandre et al., 2016; Lorenzo et al., 2016b;  
232 Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), who experimented potential animal fat replacers in dry-ripened  
233 sausages. Moreover, in line with our results, other studies recorded the lowest moisture percentages  
234 in reformulated dry-fermented sausages, where pork back fat was partially replaced by  
235 encapsulated fish oil-in-konjac matrix (Lorenzo et al., 2016b), vegetable oils (olive, canola and soy  
236 oils) structured in emulsion hydrogels (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020) or linseed oil sterols-based  
237 oleogel (Franco et al., 2020). On the contrary, some authors observed an opposite trend (Alejandre  
238 et al., 2016; Franco et al., 2020; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020). It is recognized that the drying-ripening  
239 process play a key role for the gradual and suitable dehydration of this type of product. Hence, the  
240 discrepancies detected between studies could be associated to different factors, as the  
241 characteristics of the product (type of meat, percentage of fat, casing size, etc.) as well as the  
242 distinct ripening conditions (temperature, time, air speed, relative humidity, etc.) or the behavior  
243 of the various fat substitutes (encapsulated oils, emulsions or oleogels, etc.) (Vargas-Ramella et  
244 al., 2020). Considering our outcomes, it could be assumed that sausages with animal fat  
245 replacement presented a faster drying process giving rise the moisture differences among CON and  
246 the experimental batches. Actually, it is well known that animal fat creates a barrier and diminishes  
247 water loss during the drying step. Conversely, the emulsion hydrogels employed for the elaboration  
248 of T1 and T2 samples contain a 56% of water, which promoted the drying process. Consequently,  
249 it is evident that emulsion hydrogels present a scarce barrier effect in comparison with animal fat.  
250 However, this apparent flaw could represent an important advantage for the producer. In fact,  
251 owing to a faster and intense drying process, the manufacturing time of the reformulated sausages

252 could be significantly shorter than a conventional production process and consequently it implies  
253 technological and economic benefits (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Furthermore, other parameters  
254 as texture and sensorial characteristics could be affected by the changes in the final moisture  
255 between CON and the reformulated batches.

256       Considering the fat content (on a dry matter basis), also in this case, the use of the oil  
257 emulsion hydrogels favored a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) reduction. Actually, treatments achieved a  
258 diminution of about 18% (T1) and 17% (T2) compared with control group. This diminution could  
259 be expected taking in consideration that pork back fat, containing about 80% of total fat (Vargas-  
260 Ramella et al., 2020), was replaced for oil-in-water emulsions which only consisted of 37.2% oil.  
261 These fat changes are supported, in fact, by other authors, who employed gelled oils to reformulate  
262 other analogous dry-ripening sausages, such as fuet (Pintado & Cofrades, 2020), salchichón  
263 (Franco et al., 2020; Lorenzo et al., 2016b) and other types of dry-fermented sausages (Alejandre  
264 et al., 2016; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Besides, our outcomes are close to those obtained in  
265 previous studies (Fonseca et al., 2015; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020).

266       On the other hand, protein values (on a dry matter basis) were not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ )  
267 affected by sausages reformulation, being similar among the three batches. Although the same  
268 quantity of meat was employed for the elaboration of all batches, this behavior could be related to  
269 the fact that pork backfat protein had a minimal impact on the reformulation of dry-fermented  
270 sausages (Lorenzo et al., 2016b). The same behavior in fact was also noted in studies about  
271 reformulated pork dry-cured sausages (Alejandre et al., 2016; Lorenzo et al., 2016b; Stajić et al.,  
272 2014).

273       In contrast, the pork fat partial substitution significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) enhanced the ash content.  
274 A similar trend was reported by other authors (Lorenzo et al., 2016b; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020),

275 who studied dry-fermented sausages formulated with healthier oils. These differences could be  
276 justified by the decrease in fat amount (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Moreover, according to recent  
277 studies (Barros et al., 2020; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), the variation in the ash content may be  
278 due to the amount of Prosella powder (6.7 g/100 g) used to prepare T1 and T2 emulsions.

279 **In addition, the incorporation of oil emulsion hydrogels showed a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect**  
280 **on instrumental color data. In particular, the reformulated batches were darker ( $P < 0.001$ ) than**  
281 **CON group, following the same tendency observed in healthy pork and deer dry-cured sausages**  
282 **(Lorenzo et al., 2016b; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020).** Actually, the  
283 greatest  $L^*$  values were detected in CON samples, followed by T1 and T2. The reduction of animal  
284 fat could be considered responsible for the lightness diminution in the reformulated batches, since  
285 this fat is white and it supplies the brilliant aspect of sausages (Lorenzo et al., 2016b). In fact, it is  
286 widely known that the fat content highly affects  $L^*$  values, since as the fat amount in sausages  
287 increases, the  $L^*$  values also are higher (Fonseca et al., 2015). A positive and significant correlation  
288 was actually found between these two variables ( $r=0.655$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Moreover, Fonseca et al.  
289 (2015) affirmed that  $L^*$  values significantly diminished during ripening, probably owing to the  
290 water loss. Thus, another possible explanation of our outcomes is that the greater and faster  
291 dehydration process during ripening of the experimental sausages led to lower  $L^*$  values. Indeed,  
292 a significant positive correlation was detected between  $L^*$  and moisture values ( $r=0.767$ ,  $P <$   
293  $0.001$ ). As regards data obtained for  $a^*$  index, CON and T2 samples showed similar ( $P > 0.05$ )  
294 values, while T1 sausages presented the lowest ( $P < 0.001$ ) red hue. Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020),  
295 who investigated the use of healthy oil as potential pork back fat replacers in dry-cured deer  
296 sausages, detected a tendency similar to ours. Actually, they found that olive and canola oils did  
297 not affect the redness of the product, while sample containing soy oil emulsion hydrogels showed

298 a significant decrease of  $a^*$  values. Thus, it could be concluded that, depending on the type of oils  
299 employed, the substitution of animal fat for oil-in-water stabilized in Prosella gel represents a  
300 promising strategy, since it is able to give rise products that preserve the typical red color of  
301 sausages. In this sense, our data indicated that the combination of algal oil with sesame oil  
302 demonstrated to be a better solution than mixing with tigernut. Finally, as for lightness ( $L^*$ ), also  
303  $b^*$  values showed a significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) decrease in both reformulated batches. In particular, T1  
304 and T2 samples showed similar values, while CON group reported the highest values. These  
305 findings are in agreement with those reported by other investigators (Lorenzo et al., 2016b; Vargas-  
306 Ramella et al., 2020), who observed that the use of healthy oils as fat replacers in dry-fermented  
307 sausages produced a decrease in yellowness hue. Whereas, some studies reported that the  $b^*$  values  
308 of the final product increased (Franco et al., 2020; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020) or were not affected  
309 (Lorenzo et al., 2016b) by the replacement of animal fat for oil incorporated in emulsion, oleogel  
310 or encapsulated form. Nevertheless, with all results in mind, it is evident that factors such as the  
311 typical color of the emulsifiers or oleogelators as well as of the oils employed for the gel  
312 elaboration, the amount of oil used in the emulsion or the oleogel and the ingredients employed for  
313 the meat product elaboration can be at the base of the distinct results published in literature (Vargas-  
314 Ramella et al., 2020). For instance, Franco et al. (2020) observe higher  $b^*$  values in sausages  
315 formulated with beeswax linseed oleogel and justified this fact to the yellow color of both beeswax  
316 and oil. In the same manner, lower yellowness hue was observed in foal burgers reformulated with  
317 an emulsion hydrogel containing a mix of pumpkin seed and algal oils and this finding was related  
318 to the characteristic greenish color of the pumpkin seed oil (Cittadini, Munekata, et al., 2021).

319 Conversely, the pH values did not present significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) among batches  
320 and the outcomes obtained were comparable with those published in literature (Franco et al., 2020;

321 Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). In agreement with our results, also other studies (Alejandre et al.,  
322 2016; Fernández-Diez et al., 2016) reported that animal fat replacement in sausages did not affect  
323 pH values. Furthermore, it is worth noting that our values were less than 5.30 due to the  
324 fermentation process and the acidification caused by lactic acid bacteria (Muguerza et al., 2002;  
325 Ockerman & Basu, 2014), increasing and ensuring the microbiological stability of the final product.

326 Besides, statistical analysis showed that the fat replacement had a significant effect on the  
327 textural parameters. In particular, the reformulated batches recorded higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) values for  
328 hardness, gumminess and chewiness in comparison with CON samples, T2 reported the lowest ( $P$   
329  $< 0.05$ ) values for springiness, while cohesiveness resulted to be the unique parameter unaffected  
330 ( $P > 0.05$ ) by the reformulation. In agreement with our results, different works (Lorenzo et al.,  
331 2016b; Lorenzo & Franco, 2012), in fact, noted that greater was the fat reduction, harder structures  
332 were obtained. Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020) also observed a significant increment of hardness,  
333 gumminess and chewiness in reformulated deer dry-cured ~~deer~~sausages. Whereas, Pintado &  
334 Cofrades (2020) published that the use of the mixture of olive and chia oil in oleogel or emulsion  
335 forms diminished the hardness of fuet. A similar result was obtained by Jiménez-Colmenero et al.  
336 (2013) using a healthy oil combination stabilized in konjac matrix as animal fat replacer. In this  
337 latter case, this discrepancy could be related to the different criteria employed to define the end of  
338 the ripening process, which was established on the base of the level of weight loss (and not of the  
339 ripening time). Additionally, the observed differences could be related to the moisture content  
340 (Fonseca et al., 2015). In fact, it is widely known that the dehydration process during ripening and  
341 as a consequence the final moisture content have a crucial role in the textural features of this type  
342 of product (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Actually, moisture content resulted to be negatively



343 correlated with hardness ( $r=-0.747$ ,  $P = < 0.001$ ), gumminess ( $r=-0.702$ ,  $P = < 0.001$ ) and  
344 chewiness ( $r=-0.662$ ,  $P= < 0.001$ ) values, in line with our findings.

345 Furthermore, data indicated that the type of fat source had a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) influence  
346 on lipid stability of the dry-fermented foal sausages (Figure 2). In particular, T2 samples (1.42 mg  
347 MDA/kg sample) recorded the lowest values for lipid oxidation, followed by CON (3.12 mg  
348 MDA/kg sample) and T1 (5.06 mg MDA/kg sample) sausages. Among them, the sausages  
349 belonging to the group reformulated with T2 emulsion hydrogel were the unique showing values  
350 below sensory threshold limit of 2.0 MDA/kg sample (Campo et al., 2006; Lorenzo et al., 2016b).

351 **Contrasting results are present in literature, in fact, TBARs values resulted unaltered when linseed**  
352 **oil gelled emulsion was employed as pork fat replacer (Alejandre et al., 2016) in dry-fermented**  
353 **sausages. While, lipid oxidation increased using encapsulated fish oil in konjac matrix (Lorenzo et**  
354 **al., 2016b).** In this context, the increase of TBARs values in reformulated meat products is  
355 generally justified by the presence of the high contents of unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) which are  
356 more susceptible to the oxidative degradation (which can lead to rancidity) than SFA. This fact  
357 could explicate the results for T1 batch. Although, Barros et al. (2020) observed a significant  
358 reduction of TBARs values in burger reformulated with tigernut emulsion hydrogels. However, in  
359 this study, the elevated TBARs values observed in T1 sausages could be related to the fact that this  
360 oil and its combination with algal oil is not suitable to be incorporated in dry-fermented sausages,  
361 since it could be possible that the presence of the marine oil reduces the oxidative stability of  
362 tigernut oil or that the dry-curing process could alter the oils properties. Thus, considering the  
363 tigernut oil, it could be preferred its application in fresh meat products, where were detected better  
364 results (Barros et al., 2020). On the other hand, T2 group, despite of the high concentration of  
365 UFAs, reported an opposite trend. Our outcomes could be related to different factors, as the

366 presence of high amounts of natural antioxidants in the sesame oil, the protective action of the  
367 emulsion as well as the food matrix studied, among others (Alejandre et al., 2017; Moghtadaei et  
368 al., 2018). Indeed, some authors (Andargie et al., 2021; Matthäus & Özcan, 2018) affirmed that  
369 sesame oil is characterized by an elevated oxidative stability thanks to the presence of huge amounts  
370 of lignans, including sesamin and sesamolin, among others antioxidant compounds.

### 371 *3.2. Fatty acids composition of dry-fermented foal sausages*

372 The effect of the partial replacement of pork backfat by T1 and T2 emulsion hydrogels on  
373 the fatty acids content (g/100 g of fat) of dry-fermented foal sausages is displayed in Table 3.  
374 Unsurprisingly, the use of the alginate emulsion hydrogels as animal fat replacers significantly  
375 affected the lipid profile of sausages ( $P < 0.05$ ). As shown, in all formulations MUFA represented  
376 the predominant group, followed by SFA and finally PUFA (MUFA > SFA > PUFA). Whilst,  
377 considering the individual fatty acid amounts, the majority was represented by the oleic (C18:1n-  
378 9), followed by palmitic (C16:0), linoleic (C18:2n-6) and stearic (C18:0) acids (C18:1n-9 > C16:0  
379 > C18:2n-6 > C18:0). Our results seem to corroborate those published in recent studies about  
380 reformulated pork (Franco et al., 2020; Lorenzo et al., 2016b) and deer (Vargas-Ramella et al.,  
381 2020) fermented sausages.

382 As regards SFA, it was observed a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) reduction of their contents in  
383 reformulated samples, about an 11-12% less in comparison with CON group. Our outcomes could  
384 be justified by the significant lower contents of C16:0 and C18:0 obtained in the reformulated  
385 batches (due to significant lower amounts of these fatty acids in the tigernut and sesame oils; Table  
386 1). Therefore, the SFA diminution was accompanied also by a decrease of the atherogenic,  
387 hypercholesterolaemic and thrombogenic (C16:0 and C18:0) effects (Fernández et al., 2007;  
388 Montesano et al., 2018).

389 MUFA content also resulted to be influenced by the type of fat source employed ( $P < 0.001$ )  
390 and the concentrations changed among the batches. In particular, samples belonging to the T1  
391 group recorded the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) values in comparison with the CON and T2 groups, which  
392 conversely presented similar values. In fact, as shown in Table 3, T1 samples reported also the  
393 major ( $P < 0.001$ ) values for C18:1 $n$ -9 (the most abundant fatty acid in tigernut oil). This trend was  
394 also found in previous studies, where olive and canola (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020) or peanut and  
395 linseed (mixed) (Nacak et al., 2021) oil emulsion hydrogels were experimented as fat replacers in  
396 sausages.

397 Furthermore, statistical analysis showed significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) differences in PUFA contents  
398 among treatments. In comparison with CON sausages, T2 group showed the highest values while  
399 T1 one the lowest amounts. Actually, although the linoleic acid (C18:2 $n$ -6) represented to be the  
400 most abundant PUFA in the three formulations, it is predominant ( $P < 0.001$ ) in T2 samples. This  
401 fatty acid showed in fact high concentrations in sesame oil, as shown in Table 1, which can also  
402 explicate the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) amounts of omega-6 ( $n$ -6) in T2 sausages. Similarly, considering  
403 omega-3 ( $n$ -3) fatty acids, T2 group showed also the greatest ( $P < 0.001$ ) concentrations of  $\alpha$ -  
404 linolenic acid (C18:3 $n$ -3). Additionally, the reformulated dry-fermented sausages reported, in  
405 general, a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) increase of the total amounts of  $n$ -3, favored by the use of algal  
406 oil in the emulsion hydrogels. Indeed, as above commented, it is recognized that marine oils are  
407 valuable sources of LC  $n$ -3, as EPA and DHA (Gayoso et al., 2019). This is also confirmed by our  
408 data, where T1 and T2 samples showed a remarkable ( $P < 0.001$ ) increment of LC  $n$ -3 contents in  
409 comparison with CON group. In particular, the modified sausages contained 130.49 mg  
410 EPA+DHA/100 g of product (T1 samples) and 155.15 mg EPA+DHA/100 g of product (T2  
411 samples) (data not shown). Hence, our reformulated batches could be claimed as “source of omega-

412 3 fatty acids” and “high omega-3 content” in line with the European Parliament regulation (EC,  
413 2006), which states that products with a minimum of 40 and 80 mg of the sum of EPA+DHA per  
414 100 g of product can be included in these categories, respectively.

415 On the whole, our results are consistent with those published by some authors (Franco et al.,  
416 2020; Pintado & Cofrades, 2020; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), who also found a significant  
417 reduction of SFA concentrations and an increment of MUFA and/or PUFA values investigating the  
418 use of oil-in-water emulsions as pork backfat replacers in dry-fermented sausages. Furthermore,  
419 according to our outcomes, they observed an increase in *n*-6 and/or *n*-3 fractions on the base of the  
420 oils employed. Nevertheless, multiple factors can affect the intensity of this effect, such as the  
421 amount of animal fat substitution (partial or total), the percentage of oil employed in the emulsion  
422 and the type of oil selected for the sausage elaboration (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Henceforth,  
423 as a general conclusion, it seems that the fatty acid composition of the fat source used in our  
424 formulations are reflected in the lipid profile of the dry-cured sausages. Thus, the differences in  
425 fatty acids discussed above are related to the oil composition.

426 Finally, in relation to the nutritional values of foal sausages, taking in consideration the  
427 variation of *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids, the inclusion of the emulsion hydrogels in sausages  
428 significantly decreased ( $P < 0.001$ ) the *n*-6/*n*-3 ratio, which is considered an important health  
429 parameter. It is well-known that unbalanced diets with high values of this ratio, are normally  
430 associated with an increased incidence of developing severe pathogenesis as cancer and  
431 inflammatory diseases, depressive disorders and cardiovascular illness (Lorenzo et al., 2016b;  
432 Marventano et al., 2015), whereas the consumption of products rich in *n*-3 PUFA demonstrates to  
433 play a protective role against these diseases (Pourashouri et al., 2014; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020).  
434 In particular, in line with the nutritional recommendations, this parameter should be minor than 4

435 (Simopoulos, 2004), being the ideal value 1 (Marventano et al., 2015). In our study, T1 batch was  
436 the unique formulation with values below 4, while CON and T2 samples presented higher ( $P <$   
437 0.001) values than those recommended. Other investigations showed a significant decrease of this  
438 ratio in dry-fermented sausages reformulated with linseed (Franco et al., 2020) or with olive oil  
439 mixed with chia (Pintado & Cofrades, 2020) or with linseed and fish (Jiménez-Colmenero et al.,  
440 2013) oils, presenting values lower than 4. On the other hand, Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020), who  
441 studied the inclusion of gel emulsions containing olive, canola or soy oils in our same product, also  
442 noted a diminution of the  $n-6/n-3$  values in reformulated samples but not enough to be within the  
443 range recommended. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that the results obtained from this  
444 ratio should not be considered alone. **The use of T1 and T2 emulsion hydrogel enhanced the**  
445 **PUFA/SFA ratio. In fact, in comparison with the conventional sausages, both reformulated samples**  
446 **recorded a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) increase of this ratio, reaching values above 0.45 (0.52 in T1 and**  
447 **0.73 in T2), as recommended (Wołoszyn et al., 2020). Therefore, these findings indicated that the**  
448 **reformulation of foal dry-cured sausages improved the nutritional characteristics of the fatty acid**  
449 **composition.** Besides, the partial substitution of pork fat by the emulsion hydrogels provided a  
450 significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) diminution of atherogenic (AI) and thrombogenic (TI) indices and a  
451 significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) increase in h/H index in comparison with CON samples, evidencing also in  
452 this case the enhancement of the lipid profile of T1 and T2 groups. Thus, our results agree with the  
453 recommendations, which affirmed that the healthy products should have AI and TI values as low  
454 as possible (Ulbricht & Southgate, 1991), whereas h/H should be high. **Hence, our samples showed**  
455 **encouraging outcomes. In fact, this same trend was also found by other authors (Barros et al., 2020;**  
456 **Cittadini, Munekata, et al., 2021; Nacak et al., 2021), who applied healthy oil emulsions as fat**  
457 **replacers in meat products. Consequently, with all the results in mind, it is evident that T1 and T2**

458 reformulations conferred healthier features to the final products. Moreover, algal oil, despite of its  
459 high SFA and low MUFA contents, is a valuable source of PUFA and especially of *n*-3 fatty acids,  
460 as above commented (Table 1). Hence, its combination with other healthy oils and its inclusion in  
461 the formulation of the emulsion hydrogel could be considered a successful strategy since this  
462 marine oil favored and participated to the improvement of the fatty acid profile and nutritional  
463 characteristics of our reformulated sausages.

### 464 3.3. Volatile compounds of dry-fermented foal sausages

465 Table 4 and Table 5 shows the effect of pork back fat partial replacement on the volatile  
466 organic compounds (VOCs) in the headspace of dry-cured foal sausages. In particular, a total of  
467 96 compounds were identified and were grouped into eleven chemical families: hydrocarbons (7),  
468 terpenes and terpenoids (25), acids (2), alcohols (16), aldehydes (14), ketones (7), esters (13),  
469 furans (2), nitrogen compounds (5), sulphur compounds (3) and others (3).

470 Considering hydrocarbons, the seven substances belonging to this VOC family were detected  
471 in all treatments and distributed as follows: five lineal hydrocarbons, one branched and one cyclic  
472 hydrocarbons. As shown in Table 4, reformulation significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) affected the total  
473 hydrocarbons contents. A significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) reduction was observed in T1 group, while the  
474 other two treatments showed similar values. This result is mainly due to the elevated ( $P < 0.001$ )  
475 amounts of total lineal hydrocarbons found in CON and T2 samples and especially to the highest  
476 ( $P < 0.001$ ) values of pentane, representing the most abundant compound in these treatments. This  
477 compound in fact recorded values about 17-fold than those obtained by T1 group. On the other  
478 hand, T1 generated the greatest ( $P < 0.001$ ) amounts of octane, the second most plentiful  
479 hydrocarbon identified. According to the literature, lipid oxidation reactions are the main cause of  
480 the generation of short-chain hydrocarbons (<10 carbons). Indeed, the results obtained for pentane

481 could be explained by the fact that this VOC compound is normally associated to linoleic acid  
482 oxidation (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019). As can be seen in Table 3, in fact, CON and T2  
483 sausages recorded also the greatest amounts of this fatty acid in comparison with T1 ones.  
484 Similarly, octane is considered a product of oxidizing oleic acid (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019).  
485 The same origin is attributed to heptane, showing the greatest amounts in T1 group (Domínguez,  
486 Pateiro, et al., 2019). Actually, this was confirmed by our data, where this fatty acid reported the  
487 highest concentrations in the sausages belonging to T1 batch (Table 3). These compounds were  
488 previously detected by other authors studying dry-fermented sausages (Alarcón et al., 2021;  
489 Domínguez et al., 2016). Moreover, in relation to the total volatile compounds content,  
490 hydrocarbons represented the fifth most abundant group for CON and T2 batches and the eighth  
491 for T1 samples. However, this VOC family is characterized by a high olfactory threshold (Flores,  
492 2018; Zhou et al., 2020), so it could be supposed that these substances had a low impact of the  
493 aroma of our sausages.

494 On the other hand, terpenes and terpenoids represented the most abundant family of VOC in  
495 all formulations, recording a total percentage of 48.04% in CON samples, 57.57% in T1 sausages  
496 and 63.19% in T2 ones. This trend is in line with recent studies about Spanish sausages  
497 (salchichón), which reported that this VOC family was the predominant in this type of product  
498 (Álvarez et al., 2020; Domínguez et al., 2016; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). In particular, o-  
499 cymene showed to be the most plentiful compound in the three treatments (Table 4). This finding  
500 agree with Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020), who found the same tendency in dry-fermented deer  
501 sausages reformulated with healthy oils. Furthermore, 3-carene, D-limonene, sabinene,  $\alpha$ -  
502 phellandrene,  $\beta$ -pinene, cyclophencene, safrole,  $\beta$ -myrcene and  $\gamma$ -terpinene represented, in  
503 decreasing order, ones of the most abundant terpenes detected in our samples. Several studies

504 confirmed the presence of these compounds in pepper (Marušić, Vidaček, Janči, Petrak, & Medić,  
505 2014; Montanari et al., 2018). Concretely, black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) is a popular flavoring  
506 spice and is normally added to dry-fermented sausages as additive owing to the pungency of its  
507 extracts and the aroma of its essential oils (Milenković & Stanojević, 2021). These singular  
508 compounds were in fact previously identified in other dry-fermented sausages (Alarcón et al., 2021;  
509 Álvarez et al., 2020; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019) elaborated with pepper, including also low  
510 fat sausages (Fernández-Diez et al., 2016; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Nevertheless, other authors  
511 (Marušić et al., 2014; Petričević et al., 2018) found some of these substances, as 3-carene, D-  
512 limonene or  $\beta$ -pinene in dry-ripened products elaborated without spices, concluding that these  
513 VOCs could be also related to the alimentation of the animals. Therefore, considering our results,  
514 it is evident that the spices-derived compounds dominated the aromatic profile of the sausages,  
515 whereas the substances generated from the lipid oxidation, microbial metabolism or other  
516 physicochemical modifications affected to a lesser degree on the total VOCs of this type of meat  
517 product. In particular, this family of VOCs provides lemon (citrus), fresh, menthol and herbal  
518 (herbaceous), pungent notes (Domínguez et al., 2016; Milenković & Stanojević, 2021). Moreover,  
519 as shown in Table 4, statistical analysis showed that reformulated sausages generated higher ( $P <$   
520 0.001) individual and total amounts of terpenes and terpenoids than CON samples. The same  
521 tendency was observed by other investigators, who studied the use of cellulose gel (Campagnol et  
522 al., 2012) or healthy oils emulsions (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020) as animal fat replacers in dry-  
523 ripened sausages. This behavior could be justified by the potential effects of fat on flavor. Indeed,  
524 fat not only may act as flavor precursor but also as solvent of these aromatic substances, delaying  
525 their liberation (Fonseca et al., 2015). Besides, the reduced moisture percentages detected in the  
526 T1 and T2 samples could be also considered the reason of their higher contents in terpenes and



527 terpenoids (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Actually, in this study, it was found that these compounds  
528 were negatively correlated with the moisture content ( $r = -0.685$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ).

529       As regards acids, only two compounds were identified in our samples, butanoic and hexanoic  
530 acids (Table 4), which are generally present in foal dry-cured sausages (Domínguez et al., 2016;  
531 Lorenzo et al., 2016a). On the other hand, in our samples were not detected acetic acid, which was  
532 indicated as the most abundant organic acid normally found in this type of product (Domínguez,  
533 Purriños, et al., 2019; Fernández-Diez et al., 2016). However, it is probably that this compound  
534 was subjected to a chemical transformation, as esterification. Moreover, also in this case, fat  
535 reformulation had a significant effect on the total contents of this class of compounds, where T1  
536 samples generated the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) amounts in comparison to the other two batches, which  
537 showed similar values ( $P > 0.05$ ). This result is also confirmed by the tendency of the singular  
538 volatile compounds. In fact, the use of T1 emulsion hydrogel favored the production of greater  
539 areas of both butanoic ( $P < 0.01$ ) and hexanoic ( $P < 0.001$ ) acids than CON and T2 sausages. In  
540 particular, in our study, butanoic acid showed to be the most plentiful in all formulations.  
541 According to the literature (Andrade et al., 2010; Lorenzo et al., 2016a), the origin of this  
542 compound is linked to the carbohydrate fermentation induced by microorganisms as lactic acid  
543 bacteria (LAB), probably occurring during the fermented stage of sausages elaboration. This  
544 organic acid, which generally gives off unpleasant fermented and cheese-like notes (Domínguez et  
545 al., 2016), is described as a potent odorant with a relevant role in the characteristic aroma of  
546 fermented sausages (Montanari et al., 2018). In fact, Domínguez, Purriños, et al. (2019) stated that  
547 low-chain acids (containing less than 6 carbon atoms/  $< 6$  carbons) have a significant impact on  
548 meat products aroma due to their low odor threshold values. However, considering the contribution

549 of acids on the total volatile profile, these compounds occupied only the fifth (T1) and the sixth  
550 (CON and T2) position of the eleven families detected.

551 Alcohols occupies a leading role in the aroma development of dry-fermented meat products.  
552 Moreover, the pork back fat partial substitution had a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) impact on total  
553 alcohols, where reformulated sausages reported higher values than CON samples, especially T1  
554 group, followed by T2 and CON ones (Table 4). Actually, the most plentiful alcohols detected in  
555 our samples, 2,3-butanediol, followed by benzyl alcohol, showed a similar trend. Our findings  
556 agree with those obtained by other authors (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), who described 2,3-  
557 butanediol as a predominant alcohol in fat-reduced sausages. This compound, providing fruity,  
558 creamy and buttery aromas, normally derives from carbohydrate fermentation (Mansur et al.,  
559 2018). Moreover, 1-penten-3-ol, glycidol, 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol and 1-octanol represented other  
560 major alcohols identified in our sausages. These compounds, together with 1-butanol or 1-  
561 propanol, are generally found in dry-fermented sausages (Alarcón et al., 2021; Vargas-Ramella et  
562 al., 2020). Previous studies reported that in fermented sausages, alcohols, particularly linear  
563 alcohols, derived mostly from lipid oxidation, being related to the reduction of their homologous  
564 aldehydes (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Concretely, in this  
565 study, it was observed that the lipid-derived alcohols recorded the lowest ( $P < 0.001$ ) values in T2  
566 sausages in comparison to the other two batches. In fact, this tendency was found in compounds as  
567 1-penten-3-ol, 1-pentanol and 1-butanol, commonly described as products of oxidizing linoleic  
568 acid (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019), although T2 samples  
569 resulted to be the most abundant in this fatty acid (Table 3). Nevertheless, the presence of natural  
570 antioxidants in the oils included in T2 emulsion hydrogel (especially sesame oil) could be  
571 considered the reason of our outcomes, since they could limit the lipid oxidation in the sausages

572 (Andargie et al., 2021; Matthäus & Özcan, 2018). Furthermore, 1-hexanol and 1-octanol, whose  
573 origin is generally correlated to oleic acid degradation (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019) also  
574 reported the smallest ( $P < 0.001$ ) areas in T2 samples and the highest in T1 ones. In this case, our  
575 findings are in line with the lipid profile of samples, where T1 resulted to be the group with the  
576 major amounts of this fatty acid, while T2 group showed the lowest concentrations (Table 3).  
577 Finally, though singular alcohols did not present a unique and clear trend, it was noted that T1  
578 generated the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) amounts of this group of VOCs, followed by T2 and CON  
579 treatments. In addition, in this case, it was found a significant and negative correlation among  
580 moisture and total alcohols ( $r = -0.413$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). Finally, it is worth highlighting that this family  
581 of compounds occupied a relevant percentage in relation to the total volatile compounds, being the  
582 fourth most plentiful group for CON and T1 samples, and the third for T2 ones. These substances  
583 actually are considered of great importance for the aroma of dry-cured meat products (Domínguez,  
584 Purriños, et al., 2019), due to their low odor threshold detection values. Concretely, some authors  
585 affirmed that these VOCs conferred woody, herbaceous, pungent, balsamic and fatty notes and  
586 also sweet, fruity or mushroom and onion like odors (Bosse (née Danz) et al., 2017; Domínguez,  
587 Purriños, et al., 2019).

588         The aldehydes represented the third most abundant family in relation to the total content of  
589 VOCs for CON (11.54%) and T1 (10.89%) group, while occupied the fourth position in T2 (5.01%)  
590 samples. As can be seen in Table 5, considering the singular compounds, the most abundant was  
591 hexanal for CON and T1 batches, while benzeneacetaldehyde represented the major areas for T2  
592 one. Our findings are consistent with the results recently obtained in dry fermented sausages, where  
593 some researchers (Lorenzo et al., 2016b; Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), found that hexanal was the  
594 main aldehyde in dry-fermented sausages, while others reported that benzenacetaldehyde was the

595 predominant (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Moreover, other relevant aldehydes were  
596 detected in this study, as pentanal, propanal, benzaldehyde and heptanal. According to the  
597 literature, the origin of these compounds could be related to three main routes. Lineal aldehydes  
598 are mainly considered product of the fatty acid oxidation (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019;  
599 Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019), branched aldehydes are derive from the amino acid degradation  
600 and proteolysis (Bosse (née Danz) et al., 2017; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019), while  
601 cycloaldehydes are related to Strecker degradation of amino acids, such as phenylalanine or leucine  
602 (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020). Among the lineal compounds, Domínguez, Pateiro, et al. (2019)  
603 stated that hexanal is a leading indicator of quality and lipid stability in meat and meat products  
604 and it could derive from multiple pathways as the oxidation of oleic, linoleic or arachidonic fatty  
605 acid. Similarly, pentanal could derive from linoleic oxidizing, while heptanal from the oleic acid  
606 deterioration processes (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019). On the other hand, propanal is  
607 associated to linolenic acid degradation (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Considering our data,  
608 as shown in Table 5, the partial replacement of animal fat significantly affected total ( $P < 0.001$ )  
609 aldehydes contents, where T1 favored a significant increase of these compounds, while T2 showed  
610 an opposite tendency recording the lowest values. These results could be justified principally by  
611 the behavior of the total lineal aldehydes, representing the most abundant fraction within this family  
612 of VOCs and reporting the same trend. In fact, as regards the singular compounds, some of the  
613 most abundant aldehydes identified as hexanal, showed the predominance ( $P < 0.001$ ) of T1  
614 samples and the low amounts of T2 group. Similarly, other lineal and lipid-derived compounds  
615 showed the same trend, except for heptanal. Moreover, some of these outcomes are in line with the  
616 fatty acid composition of our treatments (Table 3). Concretely, T1 sausages showed also the highest  
617 contents of oleic acid, justifying the elevated generation of hexanal, heptanal and nonanal. On the

618 contrary, although samples belonging to T2 group showed to be rich in  $\alpha$ -linolenic and linoleic  
619 fatty acids, it was not found a relation with the related lipid-derived volatile compounds, propanal,  
620 butanal and pentanal, respectively. As previously commented, the presence of natural antioxidants  
621 and the stability of the oils employed for the emulsion hydrogel, in this case, sesame oil, could  
622 inhibit the oxidation processes and as a consequence decrease the generation of this group of  
623 compounds (Andargie et al., 2021; Matthäus & Özcan, 2018). Besides, these outcomes confirmed  
624 the results previously commented for TBARS (Figure 2), where T2 samples showed the lowest  
625 levels of lipid oxidation in comparison to the other two treatments. **These findings stood out in**  
626 **comparison with recent studies, where various researchers (Pintado & Cofrades, 2020; Vargas-**  
627 **Ramella et al., 2020) conversely observed a significant increase of these compounds in sausages**  
628 **reformulated with oil emulsion gel as fat replacers.** Thus, T2 could be considered a promising  
629 solution able to limit meat lipid oxidation and, as a result, the generation of aldehydes. As regards  
630 branched and cycloaldehydes, they increased ( $P < 0.001$ ) in the reformulated sausages, though  
631 without a relevant impact on total contents. In relation to aroma notes, considering the low odor  
632 threshold of aldehydes, they could have a relevant impact on the aromatic perception of our samples  
633 (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Indeed, they could be considered one of the main compounds  
634 derived from lipid oxidation and are able to produce a great variety of aromas (Campagnol et al.,  
635 2012). Lineal aldehydes provide floral, sweet, grassy and fruity aromas. Among them, hexanal, on  
636 the base of its high or low contents, could confer rancid or pleasant grassy aromas, respectively  
637 (Benet et al., 2015; Petričević et al., 2018). Moreover, lineal aldehydes derived from oleic acid  
638 oxidation could provide agreeable meaty notes (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Branched  
639 compounds as butanal, 3-methyl- was associated to salty, cheesy, acorn-like, fruity aroma and its  
640 presence is normally related to the typical “ripened flavor” (Andrade et al., 2010). Besides, among

641 cycloaldehydes, benzeneacetaldehyde contributed with acorn, rancid, and pungent odor, while  
642 benzaldehyde with floral, bitter almonds and acorn notes (Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019;  
643 Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Hence, taking in consideration the percentage occupied by  
644 these compounds in relation to the total VOCs contents, it is evident that aldehydes had a significant  
645 impact on the aroma of our sausages.

646 The fat reformulation presented a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) influence also on total ketones  
647 (Table 5). **In particular, the partial replacement of the pork fat by healthy oil emulsion hydrogels  
648 favored an increase of the total amounts of these compounds, where T1 ( $P < 0.001$ ) group showed  
649 the greatest contents, followed by T2 and CON samples. Considering the ketones singularly, also  
650 butyrolactone, which occupied the greatest percentages in all treatments, recorded the major ( $P <$   
651  $0.001$ ) amounts in T1 and T2 samples.** In addition, Domínguez, Purriños, et al. (2019) confirmed  
652 that this compound corresponded to the main lactone present in salchichón and provides to overall  
653 aroma of the final product creamy, pleasant butter, fatty, fruity and coconut-like nuances. As  
654 commented by other authors (Benet et al., 2015), lactones arise from fatty acid oxidation.  
655 Furthermore, 2,3-pentanedione and acetoin represented other two abundant compounds in this  
656 study. Previous studies stated that linear ketones derived mainly from lipid degradation  
657 (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Actually, Stojković et al. (2015) affirmed that 2,3-  
658 pentanedione is a product of lipid degradation and is associated to the decarboxylation of  $\beta$ -  
659 ketoacids or to the  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids. Considering acetoin, other studies observed that this  
660 compound represent one of the most plentiful substance in dry-fermented sausages as salchichón  
661 (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Moreover, in this study, its values incremented significantly  
662 ( $P < 0.001$ ) in both reformulated sausages, where T2 showed the greatest amounts, followed by T1  
663 and CON samples. In this case, literature highlighted that this compound could have two potential

664 origins, microbial carbohydrate metabolism (Petričević et al., 2018) or Maillard reactions  
665 (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Additionally, it is characterized by a buttery, cream-like and  
666 sweet notes and has a relevant effect on the typical flavor of dry-cured meat products, owing to its  
667 really low detection odor threshold (Sidira et al., 2016). Nevertheless, it worth noting that this  
668 family of compounds did not have a relevant role in the aromatic profile of our samples, in fact, it  
669 represented only the sixth, the seventh and the eighth most abundant percentages for T1, T2 and  
670 CON samples, respectively.

671       Regarding the esters family, the sausages reformulated with oil emulsion hydrogels provided  
672 a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) decrease of the total content of these substances in comparison with the  
673 CON samples (Table 5). Considering these VOCs singularly, 11 out of the 13 esters identified also  
674 resulted to be affected ( $P < 0.001$ ) by the type of fat source employed, although without a clear and  
675 common trend. Among them, ethyl acetate resulted to be the most plentiful ester in all treatments,  
676 in line with the results formerly obtained in the same type of product (Campagnol et al., 2012;  
677 Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). In this case, the reformulated samples showed opposite  
678 tendency since T1 and T2 samples showed the lowest and the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) amounts in  
679 comparison with CON samples, respectively. Whereas, propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-, ethyl ester,  
680 butanoic acid, ethyl ester and hexanoic, ethyl ester, representing other abundant compounds in our  
681 samples and recording a significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) diminution of their amounts in the modified  
682 batches, a part from the butanoic acid, ethyl ester. However, generally speaking, most of the  
683 compounds found in our samples agree with those identified in other studies about dry-fermented  
684 sausages (Alarcón et al., 2021; Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Several studies affirmed that  
685 this class of compounds in meat products are produced from the high esterase activity of some  
686 microorganisms (as LAB or *Micrococcaceae*), promoting the enzymatic esterification of

687 carboxylic acids and alcohols (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). In addition, some authors  
688 proposed (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019) that esters with a low molecular weight can also  
689 derived from the carbohydrate metabolism. It is important to highlight that these substances are  
690 defined as very fragrant compounds (Domínguez et al., 2014), which are able to modulate and  
691 influence the global flavor of dry-ripened meat products due to their low odor threshold  
692 (Domínguez et al., 2014). In detail, fruity notes are provided by esters formed from short-chain  
693 acids while a fatty aroma is generated by those from long-chain acids (Pugliese et al., 2015).  
694 Moreover, it is recognized that ethyl esters have lower odor threshold values than methyl esters, as  
695 a consequence they have a relevant role on the product aroma, conferring the characteristic  
696 fermented sausage aroma and masking rancid notes (Andrade et al., 2010). In this study, these  
697 compounds had certainly a pivotal role for samples aroma, since 9 out of 13 esters identified, are  
698 ethyl esters. Furthermore some authors (Alarcón et al., 2021) stated that the development of the  
699 “ripened flavor” in cured meat products is related to the presence of esters and aldehydes, two of  
700 the family groups more abundant in our sausages. Indeed, total esters occupied a high percentage  
701 of the total VOCs detected in all treatments, occupying the second position of the eleven families.  
702 Hence, it is evident that this class of substances had a crucial role on the aromatic profile of our  
703 samples.

704 A total of two furans were detected in our dry-fermented sausages (Table 5). **According to**  
705 **the statistical analysis, singular and total furan contents were significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) affected by**  
706 **the fat reformulation, where T1 samples showed the highest rates and T2 ones the lowest values.**  
707 **In particular, it was furan, 2-pentyl- to record the highest areas in all batches. While, furan, 2-ethyl-**  
708 **represented a minority compound.** The generation of these compounds is generally associated to  
709 lipid oxidation, especially to C18:2*n*-6 and other *n*-6 fatty acids degradation for furan 2-pentyl-



710 (Akköse et al., 2017; Domínguez, Pateiro, et al., 2019), and, *n*-3 groups deterioration for furan, 2-  
711 ethyl- (Vidal et al., 2016). On the contrary, this trend was not found in our data, probably due to  
712 the oxidative stability of sesame oil, as previously discussed (Andargie et al., 2021; Matthäus &  
713 Özcan, 2018). However, furanic substances are generally responsible of a pleasant aroma,  
714 imparting green bean, butter, sweet, fruity, vegetable flavors (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019)  
715 and play an important role in meat products aroma owing to their low odor threshold values. In this  
716 study, conversely, furans did not present high portions in relation to the total volatile compounds.  
717 Actually, this family showed the third (CON and T1 samples) and the lowest in contribution (T2  
718 samples) to the volatility pattern. Hence, they cannot be considered remarkable for the aroma of  
719 our sausages.

720 Similarly, nitrogen compounds represented a minority family in our investigation,  
721 representing only the seventh (CON and T1 sausages) and the eighth (T2 group) most abundant  
722 group. As regards the effect of fat partial replacement, also in this case, significant differences (*P*  
723 < 0.001) were recorded among the batches, where T2 sausages showed the lowest total nitrogen  
724 compounds content, while CON and T1 samples recorded similar values. This group of compounds  
725 could play a key role in the formation of sausage aroma due to its low odor threshold values and  
726 unique olfactive notes (Corral et al., 2016). Some authors hypnotized that these compounds could  
727 take origin from the addition of potassium nitrate and sodium nitrite during the sausages  
728 manufacturing (Corral et al., 2016). On the other hand, other researchers commented that the use  
729 of nitrite is not directly correlated to aromatic compounds, but its lack could favor lipid oxidation  
730 processes, covering the odor of sulfur compounds accountable for the characteristic aroma of  
731 nitrite-ripened meat products (Thomas et al., 2014). Furthermore, some authors affirmed that the

732 origin of these substances could derive from Strecker degradation processes from a nitrogen source,  
733 as amino acids (Corral et al., 2016).

734 Furthermore, also the formation of sulphur compounds is related to the amino acid  
735 breakdown (Benet et al., 2015), another important family of VOCs involved in the aroma of dry-  
736 fermented sausages. As shown in Table 5, reformulated sausages generated higher ( $P < 0.001$ )  
737 amounts of these compounds. In particular, dimethyl sulfone represent the most abundant sulphur  
738 compound in our samples, followed by methional and dimethyl trisulfide. These compounds, as  
739 nitrogen compounds, are considered important odorants in dry-cured meat products due to their  
740 low odor threshold values and peculiar aromatic notes (Corral et al., 2016). In particular, Strecker  
741 degradation of S-containing amino acids (as methionine and cysteine) is at the base of the  
742 generation of these aromatic compounds, defined with a pungent and potent aroma (Corral et al.,  
743 2016; Zhou et al., 2013). However, this family of substances showed a minimal contribution to the  
744 total volatile, representing the third (T2 group) and the second (CON and T1) lowest family.

745 Finally, a benzene-derived compound, ethylbenzene, and an ether, allyl ethyl ether, were  
746 detected and categorized as others compounds, where T1 and T2 samples showed the highest ( $P <$   
747  $0.001$ ) contents in comparison with CON group. Nevertheless, these volatile substances  
748 corresponded to a very low fraction of the total VOCs, being the group of compounds with the  
749 second (T2) and the lowest (CON and T1) involvement to the volatile profile of our sausages.

750 Hence, our outcomes confirmed that fat occupies a central role in the aromatic profile of meat  
751 products (Domínguez, Purriños, et al., 2019). Considering the total volatile contents, reformulated  
752 sausages reported higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) values than CON samples, where T1 batch recorded the  
753 highest levels. In addition, total VOC and moisture resulted to be negatively correlated ( $r = -0.631$ ;  
754  $P < 0.001$ ). Thus, according to some authors (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), the increment on the

755 total VOC content in the batches T1 and T2 could be related to the low moisture percentages found  
756 in these samples (Table 2). On the contrary, lipid-derived compounds did not present exactly the  
757 same tendency. Concretely, it was T1 group to show the highest amounts of these compounds,  
758 followed by CON and T2 group. This trend could be explained by the presence of powerful  
759 antioxidant compounds in the vegetable oils employed (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020), in particular  
760 in sesame oil. Actually, the employment of the T2 emulsion hydrogel as fat replacer demonstrated  
761 to be a promising solution, since delayed lipid oxidation processes and could enhance to the  
762 aromatic perception of dry-fermented sausages.

#### 763 *3.4. Sensory parameters of foal sausages*

764 The sensorial characteristics of the foal sausages play a central role in this study since  
765 consumers' opinion and demand are strictly conditioned by these parameters. However, it is well  
766 know that animal fat replacement and the consequent alteration of the lipid profile represent  
767 demanding processes in meat products owing to the possible modification of their sensory quality  
768 (Nacak et al., 2021).

769 Figure 3 shows the outcomes obtained from the descriptive sensorial analysis carried out in  
770 foal sausages. Statistical analysis showed that, among the evaluated attributes, only hardness,  
771 chewiness and rancid flavor were affected ( $P < 0.001$ ) by the fat reformulation. To this regard,  
772 sausages belonging to CON group reported the lowest ( $P < 0.001$ ) scores for hardness and the  
773 highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) for chewiness in line with the instrumental data obtained from the texture  
774 analysis (Table 2), as previously discussed. Concretely, reformulated samples, which showed the  
775 highest values for hardness in TPA test, obtained also the highest scores for this attribute in the  
776 sensory analysis. Moreover, T1 and T2 sausages presented the greatest values in chewiness  
777 measured instrumentally, which indicates the force (N) necessary to chew the sample. These

778 outcomes are reflected in the panel evaluation, where tasters rated T1 and T2 samples with the  
779 lowest punctuations in comparison with CON group, confirming the difficulty to masticate them.  
780 Hence, our results are closely related to the differences observed in the texture analysis and, as  
781 above mentioned, to the lowest moisture percentages of the reformulated samples (Table 2).  
782 Similarly, other investigations on low-fat dry-fermented sausages (Fonseca et al., 2015; Lorenzo  
783 & Franco, 2012) reported that the fat reduction favored an increase of hardness scores. Also  
784 Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020) showed higher values for hardness in deer cured sausages  
785 reformulated with vegetable oil emulsions, although without significant differences. Whereas, the  
786 same authors reported that deer sausage elaborated with olive or canola oils as fat replacers were  
787 evaluated with low scores for chewiness.

788 Considering rancid flavor, it was T1 sausages to report the highest ( $P < 0.001$ ) values in  
789 comparison to the other two batches. This result agrees with TBARS values, and they could be  
790 explicated by the greatest amounts of lipid-derived compounds, as aldehydes and alcohols,  
791 generated by this group of samples. As formerly discussed, these VOCs are characterized by a low  
792 odor threshold and as a results have a relevant impact on the aroma, flavor intensity and rancid  
793 flavor (Domínguez et al., 2016). In contrast, other authors (Vargas-Ramella et al., 2020) observed  
794 the greatest scores for this parameter in CON group and the lowest in the reformulated samples.  
795 These dissimilarities could be attributed to different factors, as the type of oil and the elaboration  
796 process, among others. Furthermore, odor and global flavor showed higher values in reformulated  
797 samples, although not significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ). Moreover, according to the panelist evaluation,  
798 appearance was not altered ( $P > 0.05$ ) by fat reformulation, although instrumental color analysis  
799 reported significant differences among samples. Thus, the panelists reported that fat reformulation

800 did not alter ( $P > 0.05$ ) the appearance, the taste, odor and flavor of our sausages, showing similar  
801 scores among batches, while texture and rancid flavor had to be improved.

802 As regards the acceptance test, all batches recorded a score higher than four, thus can be  
803 considered acceptable treatments (acceptability limit; Figure 4). In particular, T2 samples (4.89)  
804 reported significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher values than CON (4.30) and T1 (4.19) samples. Whereas,  
805 between CON and T1 batches were not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) differences.

806 Hence, it could be deduced that the reformulation of foal sausages using these emulsion  
807 hydrogels did not influence or increase the consumer acceptance of the final product. In this  
808 context, our results are in line with those obtained by Vargas-Ramella et al. (2020), who found that  
809 samples elaborated with healthy oil emulsions increased, as in the case of soy oil, or unaffected  
810 (olive and canola oils) the acceptance of deer dry-fermented sausages).

811 Preference test confirmed what observed in the acceptance test (Table 6). Concretely,  
812 Friedman test showed that T2 samples resulted to be the most preferred by consumers with  
813 significant differences in comparison with CON and T1 samples, which reported similar values.  
814 Finally, our results from consumers test were also reflected on the purchase intention of foal  
815 sausages (Figure 5), where the 53% of consumers indicated that they would purchase T2 sausages,  
816 the 41% of them the CON ones while only a 27% of them would buy T1 group.

817 Hence, our findings denoted that the use of these innovative emulsion hydrogels could  
818 improve or not change consumer acceptability in comparison with CON samples. In particular, T2  
819 formulation demonstrated to be an appreciated and viable alternative of animal fat in the  
820 reformulation of foal fermented sausages, although texture had to be improved.

821 **4. Conclusion**

822 The outcomes obtained showed how the partial replacement of pork back fat by T1 and T2  
823 emulsion hydrogels favored a significant decrease of fat content and the improvement of the  
824 nutritional profile of dry-fermented foal sausages, which obtained the claims of “source of omega-  
825 3 fatty acids” and “high omega-3 content” and showed enhanced health indices. Furthermore, T2  
826 showed to reduce the lipid oxidation of samples, diminishing the TBARs values and the generation  
827 of most of the lipid-derived volatile compounds, while T1 reported an opposite trend. However,  
828 consumer acceptability resulted to be unaffected (T1) or improved (T2) by the lipid reformulation  
829 and, in particular, T2 samples resulted to be the most preferred. On the other hand, further studies  
830 are necessary to enhance some technological aspects of the reformulated sausages (such as texture).  
831 Besides, this study could be considered an important contribution for meat product industry, since  
832 open new possibilities towards the obtention of dry-cured meat products which can satisfy  
833 exhaustively the consumer demand, both from a nutritional as well as an environmental standpoint.  
834 Therefore, it is evident that the employ of T2 emulsion hydrogel as animal fat replacer in this  
835 product represents a viable and excellent strategy to obtain healthier and highly appreciated dry-  
836 cured foal sausages, since it demonstrated to improve the composition, nutritional quality, the  
837 oxidation stability and the sensory characteristics of the final product.

838 **Acknowledgments**

839 This research was funded by Interreg V SUDOE, through OPEN2PRESERVE project, grant  
840 number SOE2/P5/E0804. Acknowledgements to Universidad Pública de Navarra for granting  
841 Aurora Cittadini with a predoctoral scholarship (Resolution 787/2019). Thanks to GAIN (Axencia  
842 Galega de Innovación) for supporting this study (grant number IN607A2019/01). Rubén  
843 Domínguez, Paulo E.S. Munekata, Mirian Pateiro and José M. Lorenzo are members of the

844 HealthyMeat network, funded by CYTED (ref. 119RT0568). The authors wish to thank to the  
845 technical staff of the Institute for Agri-food and Technology and Infrastructures of Navarre  
846 (INTIASA) for the management of the animals and for the foal samples supplied for this research.  
847 Paulo E. S. Munekata acknowledges postdoctoral fellowship support from the Ministry of Science  
848 and Innovation (MCIN, Spain) “Juan de la Cierva” program (IJC2020-043358-I).

## 849 **References**

- 850 Akköse, A., Ünal, N., Yalınkılıç, B., Kaban, G., & Kaya, M. (2017). Volatile compounds and some  
851 physico-chemical properties of pastırma produced with different nitrate levels. *Asian-*  
852 *Australas J Anim Sci*, 30(8), 1168–1174. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0512>
- 853 Alarcón, M., Pérez-Coello, M. S., Díaz-Maroto, M. C., Alañón, M. E., García-Ruiz, A., & Soriano,  
854 A. (2021). Inactive dry yeast to improve the oxidative stability of Spanish dry-fermented  
855 sausage “salchichón.” *Lwt*, 146, 111385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111385>
- 856 Alexandre, M., Passarini, D., Astiasarán, I., & Ansorena, D. (2017). The effect of low-fat beef  
857 patties formulated with a low-energy fat analogue enriched in long-chain polyunsaturated  
858 fatty acids on lipid oxidation and sensory attributes. *Meat Science*, 134, 7–13.  
859 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2017.07.009>
- 860 Alexandre, M., Poyato, C., Ansorena, D., & Astiasarán, I. (2016). Linseed oil gelled emulsion: A  
861 successful fat replacer in dry fermented sausages. *Meat Science*, 121, 107–113.  
862 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.05.010>
- 863 Álvarez, M., Andrade, M. J., García, C., Rondán, J. J., & Núñez, F. (2020). Effects of preservative  
864 agents on quality attributes of dry-cured fermented sausages. *Foods*, 9(10), 1–14.  
865 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101505>
- 866 Andargie, M., Vinas, M., Rathgeb, A., Möller, E., & Karlovsky, P. (2021). Lignans of sesame  
867 (*Sesamum indicum* L.): A comprehensive review. *Molecules*, 26(4).  
868 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26040883>
- 869 Andrade, M. J., Córdoba, J. J., Casado, E. M., Córdoba, M. G., & Rodríguez, M. (2010). Effect of  
870 selected strains of *Debaryomyces hansenii* on the volatile compound production of dry  
871 fermented sausage “salchichón.” *Meat Science*, 85(2), 256–264.  
872 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2010.01.009>
- 873 Barros, J. C., Munekata, P. E. S., De Carvalho, F. A. L., Pateiro, M., Barba, F. J., Domínguez, R.,  
874 Trindade, M. A., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2020). Use of tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) oil  
875 emulsion as animal fat replacement in beef burgers. *Foods*, 9(1), 1–15.  
876 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9010044>
- 877 Belaunzaran, X., Bessa, R. J. B., Lavín, P., Mantecón, A. R., Kramer, J. K. G., & Aldai, N. (2015).  
878 Horse-meat for human consumption - Current research and future opportunities. *Meat*  
879 *Science*, 108, 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2015.05.006>
- 880 Benet, I., Guàrdia, M. D., Ibañez, C., Solà, J., Arnau, J., & Roura, E. (2015). Analysis of SPME or  
881 SBSE extracted volatile compounds from cooked cured pork ham differing in intramuscular  
882 fat profiles. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 60(1), 393–399.

883 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LWT.2014.08.016>

884 Bosse (née Danz), R., Wirth, M., Konstanz, A., Becker, T., Weiss, J., & Gibis, M. (2017).  
885 Determination of volatile marker compounds in raw ham using headspace-trap gas  
886 chromatography. *Food Chemistry*, 219, 249–259.  
887 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.094>

888 Campagnol, P. C. B., dos Santos, B. A., Wagner, R., Terra, N. N., & Rodrigues Pollonio, M. A.  
889 (2012). Amorphous cellulose gel as a fat substitute in fermented sausages. *Meat Science*,  
890 90(1), 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2011.05.026>

891 Campo, M. M., Nute, G. R., Hughes, S. I., Enser, M., Wood, J. D., & Richardson, R. I. (2006).  
892 Flavour perception of oxidation in beef. *Meat Science*, 72(2), 303–311.  
893 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2005.07.015>

894 Cittadini, Aurora, Munekata, P. E. S., Pateiro, M., Sarriés, M. V., Domínguez, R., & Lorenzo, J.  
895 M. (2021). Physicochemical composition and nutritional properties of foal burgers enhanced  
896 with healthy oil emulsion hydrogels. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*,  
897 ijfs.15087. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.15087>

898 Corral, S., Leitner, E., Siegmund, B., & Flores, M. (2016). Determination of sulfur and nitrogen  
899 compounds during the processing of dry fermented sausages and their relation to amino acid  
900 generation. *Food Chemistry*, 190, 657–664. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.06.009>

901 Domínguez, R., Agregán, R., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2016). Role of commercial starter cultures on  
902 microbiological, physicochemical characteristics, volatile compounds and sensory properties  
903 of dry-cured foal sausage. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, 6(5), 396–403.  
904 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808\(15\)61055-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808(15)61055-6)

905 Domínguez, R., Pateiro, M., Campagnol, P. C. B. P. C. B., Reyes, J. F., Munekata, P. E. S., &  
906 Lorenzo, J. M. (2022). Fatty acid profile. In P. E. S. Lorenzo, J.M., Domínguez, R., Pateiro,  
907 M., Munekata (Ed.), *Methods to Assess the Quality of Meat Products* (pp. XII, 171). Humana.  
908 Domínguez, R., Gómez, M., Fonseca, S., & Lorenzo, J. . (2014). Effect of different cooking  
909 methods on lipid oxidation and formation of volatile compounds in foal meat. *Meat Science*,  
910 97(2), 223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.01.023>

911 Domínguez, Rubén, Bohrer, B., Munekata, P. E. S., Pateiro, M., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2021). Recent  
912 discoveries in the field of lipid bio-based ingredients for meat processing. *Molecules*, 26(1),  
913 190. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26010190>

914 Domínguez, Rubén, Munekata, P. E., Pateiro, M., López-Fernández, O., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2021).  
915 Immobilization of oils using hydrogels as strategy to replace animal fats and improve the  
916 healthiness of meat products. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 37, 135–144.  
917 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2020.10.005>

918 Domínguez, Rubén, Pateiro, M., Gagaoua, M., Barba, F. J., Zhang, W., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2019).  
919 A comprehensive review on lipid oxidation in meat and meat products. In *Antioxidants* (Vol.  
920 8, Issue 10, p. 429). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8100429>

921 Domínguez, Rubén, Purriños, L., Pérez-Santaescolástica, C., Pateiro, M., Barba, F. J., Tomasevic,  
922 I., Campagnol, P. C. B., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2019). Characterization of volatile compounds of  
923 dry-cured meat products using HS-SPME-GC/MS technique. *Food Analytical Methods*,  
924 12(6), 1263–1284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-019-01491-x>

925 EC. (2006). Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20  
926 December 2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods. *Official Journal of the*  
927 *European Union*, L404, 9–25.



- 928 Fernández-Diez, A., Caro, I., Castro, A., Salvá, B. K., Ramos, D. D., & Mateo, J. (2016). Partial  
929 fat replacement by boiled quinoa on the quality characteristics of a dry-cured sausage. *Journal*  
930 *of Food Science*, 81(8), C1891–C1898. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13393>
- 931 Fernández, M., Ordóñez, J. A., Cambero, I., Santos, C., Pin, C., & Hoz, L. de la. (2007). Fatty acid  
932 compositions of selected varieties of Spanish dry ham related to their nutritional implications.  
933 *Food Chemistry*, 101(1), 107–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.01.006>
- 934 Flores, M. (2018). Understanding the implications of current health trends on the aroma of wet and  
935 dry cured meat products. *Meat Science*, 144, 53–61.  
936 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.04.016>
- 937 Flores, M., & Piornos, J. A. (2021). Fermented meat sausages and the challenge of their plant-  
938 based alternatives: A comparative review on aroma-related aspects. *Meat Science*, 182.  
939 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEATSCI.2021.108636>
- 940 Fonseca, S., Gómez, M., Domínguez, R., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2015). Physicochemical and sensory  
941 properties of Celta dry-ripened “salchichón” as affected by fat content. *Grasas y Aceites*,  
942 66(1). <https://doi.org/10.3989/gya.0709142>
- 943 Franco, D., Martins, A. J., López-Pedrouso, M., Cerqueira, M. A., Purriños, L., Pastrana, L. M.,  
944 Vicente, A. A., Zapata, C., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2020). Evaluation of linseed oil oleogels to  
945 partially replace pork backfat in fermented sausages. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
946 *Agriculture*, 100(1), 218–224. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10025>
- 947 Gayoso, L., Ansorena, D., & Astiasarán, I. (2019). DHA rich algae oil delivered by O/W or gelled  
948 emulsions: strategies to increase its bioaccessibility. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
949 *Agriculture*, 99(5), 2251–2258. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9420>
- 950 International Organisation for Standardisation. (2017a). *ISO 13299: 2017. Sensory analysis-*  
951 *Methodology-General guidance for establishing a sensory profile* (International Organisation  
952 for Standardisation (ed.)).
- 953 International Organisation for Standardisation. (2017b). *UNE-EN ISO 8589:2010/Amd 1:2017.*  
954 *Sensory Analysis. Methodology. Ranking.* AENOR.
- 955 International Organization for Standardization. (2014a). *UNE-EN ISO 8586:2014 Sensory analysis*  
956 *- General guidelines for the selection, training and monitoring of selected assessors and*  
957 *expert sensory assessors.* <https://www.une.org/encuentra-tu-norma/busca-tu-norma/norma?c=N0053072>
- 959 International Organization for Standardization. (2014b). *UNE-EN ISO 8589:2010/A1:2014*  
960 *Sensory analysis - General guidance for the design of test rooms.*  
961 <https://www.en.une.org/encuentra-tu-norma/busca-tu-norma/norma/?c=N0053610>
- 962 Jastrzębska, E., Daszkiewicz, T., Górecka-Bruzda, A., & Feliś, D. (2019). Current situation and  
963 prospects for the horse meat market in Poland and the world. *Medycyna Weterynaryjna*, 75(4),  
964 196–202. <https://doi.org/10.21521/mw.6203>
- 965 Jiménez-Colmenero, F., Triki, M., Herrero, A. M., Rodríguez-Salas, L., & Ruiz-Capillas, C.  
966 (2013). Healthy oil combination stabilized in a konjac matrix as pork fat replacement in low-  
967 fat, PUFA-enriched, dry fermented sausages. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 51(1),  
968 158–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.10.016>
- 969 Lorenzo, José M., & Franco, D. (2012). Fat effect on physico-chemical, microbial and textural  
970 changes through the manufactured of dry-cured foal sausage Lipolysis, proteolysis and  
971 sensory properties. *Meat Science*, 92(4), 704–714.  
972 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.06.026>

- 973 Lorenzo, José M., Gómez, M., Purriños, L., & Fonseca, S. (2016a). Effect of commercial starter  
974 cultures on volatile compound profile and sensory characteristics of dry-cured foal sausage.  
975 *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96(4), 1194–1201.  
976 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7203>
- 977 Lorenzo, José M., Munekata, P. E. S., Pateiro, M., Campagnol, P. C. B., & Domínguez, R. (2016b).  
978 Healthy Spanish salchichón enriched with encapsulated n – 3 long chain fatty acids in konjac  
979 glucomannan matrix. *Food Research International*, 89, 289–295.  
980 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.08.012>
- 981 Lorenzo, José M., Temperán, S., Bermúdez, R., Cobas, N., & Purriños, L. (2012). Changes in  
982 physico-chemical, microbiological, textural and sensory attributes during ripening of dry-  
983 cured foal salchichón. *Meat Science*, 90(1), 194–198.  
984 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.06.025>
- 985 Macfie, H. J., Bratchell, N., Greenhoff, K., & Vallis, L. V. (1989). Designs to balance the effect of  
986 order of presentation and first-order carry-over effects in hall tests. *Journal of Sensory Studies*,  
987 4(2), 129–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-459X.1989.tb00463.x>
- 988 Mammasse, N., & Schlich, P. (2014). Adequate number of consumers in a liking test. Insights from  
989 resampling in seven studies. *Food Quality and Preference*, 31(1), 124–128.  
990 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.01.009>
- 991 **Mansur, A. R., Jeong, H. R., Lee, B. H., Koo, M., Seo, D. H., Hwang, S. H., Park, J. S., Kim, D.**  
992 **O., & Nam, T. G. (2018). Comparative evaluation of triacylglycerols, fatty acids, and volatile**  
993 **organic compounds as markers for authenticating sesame oil. *International Journal of Food***  
994 ***Properties*, 21(1), 2509–2516. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2018.1534123>**
- 995 Marušić, N., Vidaček, S., Janči, T., Petrak, T., & Medić, H. (2014). Determination of volatile  
996 compounds and quality parameters of traditional Istrian dry-cured ham. *Meat Science*, 96(4),  
997 1409–1416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2013.12.003>
- 998 Marventano, S., Kolacz, P., Castellano, S., Galvano, F., Buscemi, S., Mistretta, A., & Grosso, G.  
999 (2015). A review of recent evidence in human studies of n-3 and n-6 PUFA intake on  
1000 cardiovascular disease, cancer, and depressive disorders: Does the ratio really matter?  
1001 *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 66(6), 611–622.  
1002 <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2015.1077790>
- 1003 Matthäus, B., & Özcan, M. M. (2018). Fatty acid composition and tocopherol contents of some  
1004 sesame seed oils. *Iranian Journal of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering*, 37(5), 151–155.
- 1005 **Milenković, A., & Stanojević, L. (2021). Black pepper: Chemical composition and biological**  
1006 **activities. *Advanced Technologies*, 10(2), 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.5937/savteh2102040m>**
- 1007 Moghtadaei, M., Soltanizadeh, N., & Goli, S. A. H. (2018). Production of sesame oil oleogels based  
1008 on beeswax and application as partial substitutes of animal fat in beef burger. *Food Research*  
1009 *International*, 108(March), 368–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.03.051>
- 1010 Montanari, C., Gatto, V., Torriani, S., Barbieri, F., Bargossi, E., Lanciotti, R., Grazia, L., Magnani,  
1011 R., Tabanelli, G., & Gardini, F. (2018). Effects of the diameter on physico-chemical,  
1012 microbiological and volatile profile in dry fermented sausages produced with two different  
1013 starter cultures. *Food Bioscience*, 22, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FBIO.2017.12.013>
- 1014 Montesano, D., Blasi, F., Simonetti, M. S., Santini, A., & Cossignani, L. (2018). Chemical and  
1015 nutritional characterization of seed oil from Cucurbita maxima L. (Var. Berrettina) pumpkin.  
1016 *Foods*, 7(3), 30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7030030>
- 1017 Muguerza, E., Fista, G., Ansorena, D., Astiasaran, I., & Bloukas, J. G. (2002). Effect of fat level

- 1018 and partial replacement of pork backfat with olive oil on processing and quality characteristics  
1019 of fermented sausages. *Meat Science*, 61(4), 397–404. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740\(01\)00210-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(01)00210-8)
- 1020
- 1021 Nacak, B., Öztürk-Kerimoğlu, B., Yıldız, D., Çağındı, Ö., & Serdaroğlu, M. (2021). Peanut and  
1022 linseed oil emulsion gels as potential fat replacer in emulsified sausages. *Meat Science*, 176,  
1023 108464. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2021.108464>
- 1024 Ockerman, H. W., & Basu, L. (2014). Production and Consumption of Fermented Meat Products.  
1025 In *Handbook of Fermented Meat and Poultry: Second Edition* (pp. 7–11). Wiley Blackwell.  
1026 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118522653.ch2>
- 1027 Petričević, S., Marušić Radovčić, N., Lukić, K., Listeš, E., & Medić, H. (2018). Differentiation of  
1028 dry-cured hams from different processing methods by means of volatile compounds, physico-  
1029 chemical and sensory analysis. *Meat Science*, 137, 217–227.  
1030 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2017.12.001>
- 1031 Pintado, T., & Cofrades, S. (2020). Quality characteristics of healthy dry fermented sausages  
1032 formulated with a mixture of olive and chia oil structured in oleogel or emulsion gel as animal  
1033 fat replacer. *Foods*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9060830>
- 1034 Pourashouri, P., Shabanpour, B., Razavi, S. H., Jafari, S. M., Shabani, A., & Aubourg, S. P. (2014).  
1035 Impact of wall materials on physicochemical properties of microencapsulated fish oil by spray  
1036 drying. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 7(8), 2354–2365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-013-1241-2>
- 1037
- 1038 Pugliese, C., Sirtori, F., Škrlep, M., Piasentier, E., Calamai, L., Franci, O., & Čandek-Potokar, M.  
1039 (2015). The effect of ripening time on the chemical, textural, volatile and sensorial traits of  
1040 Biceps femoris and Semimembranosus muscles of the Slovenian dry-cured ham Kraški pršut.  
1041 *Meat Science*, 100, 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.09.012>
- 1042 Sabah, M. S., Shaker, M. A., Abbas, M. S., & Moursy, F. I. (2019). Nutritional Value of Tiger Nut  
1043 (Cyperus esculentus L.) Tubers and Its Products. *Journal of Biological, Chemical and*  
1044 *Environmental Sciences*, 14(1), 301–318.  
1045 [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mohamed\\_Abbas25/publication/333732355\\_Nutritional\\_Value\\_of\\_Tiger\\_Nut\\_Cyperus\\_esculentus\\_L\\_Tubers\\_and\\_Its\\_Products/links/5d1b7b8c458515c11c0c4822/Nutritional-Value-of-Tiger-Nut-Cyperus-esculentus-L-Tubers-and-Its-Product](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mohamed_Abbas25/publication/333732355_Nutritional_Value_of_Tiger_Nut_Cyperus_esculentus_L_Tubers_and_Its_Products/links/5d1b7b8c458515c11c0c4822/Nutritional-Value-of-Tiger-Nut-Cyperus-esculentus-L-Tubers-and-Its-Product)
- 1046
- 1047
- 1048
- 1049 Sidira, M., Kandylis, P., Kanellaki, M., & Kourkoutas, Y. (2016). Effect of curing salts and  
1050 probiotic cultures on the evolution of flavor compounds in dry-fermented sausages during  
1051 ripening. *Food Chemistry*, 201, 334–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.084>
- 1052 Simopoulos, A. P. (2004). Omega-6/omega-3 essential fatty acid ratio and chronic diseases. *Food*  
1053 *Reviews International*, 20(1), 77–90. <https://doi.org/10.1081/FRI-120028831>
- 1054 Stajić, S., Živković, D., Tomović, V., Nedović, V., Perunović, M., Kovjanić, N., Lević, S., &  
1055 Stanišić, N. (2014). The utilisation of grapeseed oil in improving the quality of dry fermented  
1056 sausages. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 49(11), 2356–2363.  
1057 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12555>
- 1058 Stojković, S., Grabež, V., Bjelanović, M., Mandić, S., Vučić, G., Martinović, A., Håseth, T. T.,  
1059 Velemir, A., & Egelanddal, B. (2015). Production process and quality of two different  
1060 dry-cured sheep hams from Western Balkan countries. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*,  
1061 64(2), 1217–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.07.022>
- 1062 Tarladgis, B. G., Watts, B. M., Younathan, M. T., & Dugan, L. (1960). A distillation method for

1063 the quantitative determination of malonaldehyde in rancid foods. *Journal of the American Oil*  
1064 *Chemists Society*, 37(1), 44–48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02630824>

1065 Teixeira, A., & Rodrigues, S. (2021). Consumer perceptions towards healthier meat products.  
1066 *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 38, 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2020.12.004>

1067 Thomas, C., Mercier, F., Tournayre, P., Martin, J. L., & Berdagué, J. L. (2014). Identification and  
1068 origin of odorous sulfur compounds in cooked ham. *Food Chemistry*, 155, 207–213.  
1069 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.01.029>

1070 Ulbricht, T. L. V. ., & Southgate, D. A. T. (1991). Coronary heart disease: Seven dietary factors.  
1071 *Lancet*, 338, 985–992.

1072 Utrilla, M. C., Soriano, A., & García Ruiz, A. (2015). Determination of the optimal fat amount in  
1073 dry-ripened venison sausage. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, 27(4), 409–415.  
1074 <https://www.itjfs.com/index.php/ijfs/article/view/371/57>

1075 Vargas-Ramella, M., Munekata, P. E. S., Gagaoua, M., Franco, D., Campagnol, P. C. B., Pateiro,  
1076 M., Barretto, A. C. da S., Domínguez, R., & Lorenzo, J. M. (2020). Inclusion of healthy oils  
1077 for improving the nutritional characteristics of dry-fermented deer sausage. *Foods*, 9(10).  
1078 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101487>

1079 Vidal, N. P., Manzanos, M. J., Goicoechea, E., & Guillén, M. D. (2016). Farmed and wild sea bass  
1080 (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) volatile metabolites: A comparative study by SPME-GC/MS. *Journal*  
1081 *of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96(4), 1181–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JSFA.7201>

1082 Wołoszyn, J., Haraf, G., Okruszek, A., Wereńska, M., Goluch, Z., & Teleszko, M. (2020). Fatty  
1083 acid profiles and health lipid indices in the breast muscles of local Polish goose varieties.  
1084 *Poultry Science*, 99(2), 1216–1224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2019.10.026>

1085 Zhou, C. Y., Le, Y., Zheng, Y. Y., Wang, J. J., Li, G., Bai, Y., Li, C. B., Xu, X. L., Zhou, G. H., &  
1086 Cao, J. X. (2020). Characterizing the effect of free amino acids and volatile compounds on  
1087 excessive bitterness and sourness in defective dry-cured ham. *LWT*, 123, 109071.  
1088 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109071>

1089 Zhou, Q., Yang, M., Huang, F., Zheng, C., & Deng, Q. (2013). Effect of pretreatment with  
1090 dehulling and microwaving on the flavor characteristics of cold-pressed rapeseed oil by GC-  
1091 MS-PCA and electronic nose discrimination. *Journal of Food Science*, 78(7).  
1092 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12161>

1093

1094

1095 **Caption to figures**

1096 **Figure 1.** Appearance of foal dry-fermented sausages. CON - sausages prepared with 100%  
1097 pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil  
1098 mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal oil  
1099 mixture hydrogel.

1100 **Figure 2.** TBARS values of the different formulations of foal dry-fermented sausage. <sup>a-c</sup>  
1101 Mean values with different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ; Duncan test). Treatments: CON -  
1102 sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat  
1103 replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat  
1104 replaced by sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel.

1105 **Figure 3.** Means values for the sensory characteristics of dry-fermented foal sausages. \*\*\*  
1106 ( $P < 0.001$ ). Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared  
1107 with 50% of pork backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages  
1108 prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel.

1109 **Figure 4.** Global acceptance of dry-fermented foal sausages. <sup>a-b</sup> Mean values with different  
1110 letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ; Duncan test). Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100%  
1111 pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil  
1112 mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal oil  
1113 mixture hydrogel.

1114 **Figure 5.** Purchase intentions of dry-fermented foal sausages. Treatments: CON - sausages  
1115 prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat replaced by  
1116 tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by  
1117 sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel.

**Table 1.** Fatty acid composition (expressed as g/100 g of fat) of fat sources

Fatty acids	Fat source				SEM	Sig.
	Pork backfat	Tigernut oil	Sesame oil	Seaweed oil		
C12:0	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.61 <sup>c</sup>	0.077	***
C14:0	1.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.74 <sup>c</sup>	0.973	***
C14:1 <sup>n</sup> -5	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>c</sup>	0.014	***
C15:0	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.064	***
C16:0	19.72 <sup>d</sup>	12.56 <sup>b</sup>	8.05 <sup>a</sup>	15.25 <sup>c</sup>	1.284	***
C16:1 <sup>n</sup> -7	1.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.95 <sup>c</sup>	0.715	***
C17:0	0.38 <sup>c</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.040	***
C18:0	8.87 <sup>c</sup>	5.05 <sup>b</sup>	5.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.896	***
9 <sup>t</sup> -C18:1	0.15 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.018	***
C18:1 <sup>n</sup> -9	31.85 <sup>b</sup>	59.50 <sup>d</sup>	35.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	6.382	***
C18:1 <sup>n</sup> -7	2.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 <sup>a</sup>	5.50 <sup>c</sup>	0.589	***
C18:2 <sup>n</sup> -6	13.47 <sup>c</sup>	8.57 <sup>b</sup>	37.44 <sup>d</sup>	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	4.191	***
C18:3 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>c</sup>	0.012	***
C18:3 <sup>n</sup> -3	0.69 <sup>d</sup>	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.077	***
C20:0	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.70 <sup>d</sup>	0.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.082	***
C20:1 <sup>n</sup> -9	0.78 <sup>d</sup>	0.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.090	***
C20:2 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.58 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.075	***
C20:3 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.11 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.014	***
C20:4 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.23 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.032	***
C20:3 <sup>n</sup> -3	0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.014	***
C22:0	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.017	***
C20:5 <sup>n</sup> -3 (EPA)	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.175	***
C24:0	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.23 <sup>c</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.028	***
C22:5 <sup>n</sup> -3 (DPA)	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.16 <sup>c</sup>	0.020	***
C22:6 <sup>n</sup> -3 (DHA)	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	41.83 <sup>b</sup>	5.472	***
SFA	30.32 <sup>d</sup>	18.85 <sup>b</sup>	13.84 <sup>a</sup>	24.88 <sup>c</sup>	1.885	***
MUFA	36.78 <sup>b</sup>	60.61 <sup>c</sup>	36.92 <sup>b</sup>	11.71 <sup>a</sup>	5.223	***
PUFA	15.26 <sup>b</sup>	8.71 <sup>a</sup>	37.70 <sup>c</sup>	43.75 <sup>d</sup>	4.457	***
<i>n</i> -3	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	43.33 <sup>b</sup>	5.616	***
<i>n</i> -6	14.42 <sup>c</sup>	8.57 <sup>b</sup>	37.45 <sup>d</sup>	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	4.158	***
LC <i>n</i> -3	0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>a</sup>	43.33 <sup>b</sup>	5.666	***

<sup>a-d</sup> Mean values in the same row (corresponding to the same parameter) with different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ; Duncan test); SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig.: significance: \*\*\* ( $P < 0.001$ ). SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids; *n*-3: Omega-3; *n*-6: Omega-6; LC *n*-3: Long-chain omega-3

1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123

1124 **Table 2.** Proximate composition, lipid oxidation, and physicochemical properties of dry-fermented foal  
 1125 sausages

Parameters	Treatments			SEM	Sig.
	CON	T1	T2		
<b>Chemical composition</b>					
Moisture (g/100 g)	33.57 <sup>b</sup>	28.57 <sup>a</sup>	28.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.396	***
Fat (dry matter) (g/100 g)	36.91 <sup>b</sup>	30.23 <sup>a</sup>	30.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.455	***
Protein (dry matter) (g/100 g)	44.76	46.02	44.63	0.287	ns
Ash (dry matter) (g/100 g)	5.17 <sup>a</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	6.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.187	***
<b>Color parameters</b>					
L*	38.39 <sup>b</sup>	32.59 <sup>a</sup>	32.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.413	***
a*	9.73 <sup>b</sup>	8.03 <sup>a</sup>	11.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.296	***
b*	9.14 <sup>b</sup>	7.36 <sup>a</sup>	7.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.227	**
pH	5.05	5.11	5.11	0.026	ns
<b>Texture parameters</b>					
Hardness (N)	152.39 <sup>a</sup>	317.29 <sup>c</sup>	283.16 <sup>b</sup>	10.598	***
Springiness (mm)	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.55 <sup>ab</sup>	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.005	*
Cohesiveness	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.004	ns
Gumminess (N)	57.20 <sup>a</sup>	110.50 <sup>b</sup>	103.96 <sup>b</sup>	4.123	***
Chewiness (N·mm)	30.70 <sup>a</sup>	56.24 <sup>b</sup>	49.65 <sup>b</sup>	1.931	***

1126 <sup>a-c</sup> Mean values in the same row (corresponding to the same parameter) with different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ;  
 1127 Duncan test); SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig.: significance: \*\*\* ( $P < 0.001$ ); \*\* ( $P < 0.01$ ); \* ( $P < 0.05$ ); ns (not  
 1128 significant). Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork  
 1129 backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by  
 1130 sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel  
 1131

**Table 3.** Fatty acid composition (expressed as g/100 g of fat) of dry-fermented foal sausages

Fatty acids	Treatments			SEM	Sig.
	CON	T1	T2		
C12:0	0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>b</sup>	0.001	***
C14:0	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	1.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.017	*
C14:1 <sup>n</sup> -5	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.003	*
C15:0	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.001	***
C16:0	23.19 <sup>b</sup>	20.86 <sup>a</sup>	20.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.187	***
C16:1 <sup>n</sup> -7	2.86 <sup>b</sup>	2.59 <sup>a</sup>	2.74 <sup>ab</sup>	0.043	*
C17:0	0.39 <sup>c</sup>	0.28 <sup>a</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.006	***
C18:0	8.42 <sup>b</sup>	7.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.089	***
9 <sup>t</sup> -C18:1	0.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	***
C18:1 <sup>n</sup> -9	33.27 <sup>a</sup>	36.76 <sup>b</sup>	32.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.275	***
C18:1 <sup>n</sup> -7	2.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.026	***
C18:2 <sup>n</sup> -6	13.04 <sup>b</sup>	11.60 <sup>a</sup>	17.26 <sup>c</sup>	0.298	***
C18:3 <sup>n</sup> -3	2.46 <sup>a</sup>	2.60 <sup>b</sup>	2.99 <sup>c</sup>	0.038	***
C20:0	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.24 <sup>c</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.005	***
C20:1 <sup>n</sup> -9	0.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.010	***
C20:2 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.009	***
C20:3 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	***
C20:4 <sup>n</sup> -6	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.26 <sup>a</sup>	0.004	***
C20:3 <sup>n</sup> -3	0.14 <sup>c</sup>	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.002	***
C20:5 <sup>n</sup> -3 (EPA)	0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.001	***
C22:5 <sup>n</sup> -3 (DPA)	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	ns
C22:6 <sup>n</sup> -3 (DHA)	0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.49 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.030	***
SFA	34.16 <sup>b</sup>	30.31 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.277	***
MUFA	39.29 <sup>a</sup>	41.85 <sup>b</sup>	38.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.288	***
PUFA	16.75 <sup>b</sup>	15.67 <sup>a</sup>	21.83 <sup>c</sup>	0.340	***
<i>n</i> -3	2.75 <sup>a</sup>	3.36 <sup>b</sup>	3.85 <sup>c</sup>	0.063	***
<i>n</i> -6	13.98 <sup>b</sup>	12.30 <sup>a</sup>	17.97 <sup>c</sup>	0.297	***
LC <i>n</i> -3	0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 <sup>c</sup>	0.031	***
<i>n</i> -6/ <i>n</i> -3	5.12 <sup>c</sup>	3.68 <sup>a</sup>	4.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.080	***
PUFA/SFA	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.013	***
TI	0.95 <sup>c</sup>	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.011	***
AI	0.54 <sup>c</sup>	0.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.005	***
h/H	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.36 <sup>b</sup>	2.50 <sup>c</sup>	0.023	***

1133 <sup>a-c</sup> Mean values in the same row (corresponding to the same parameter) with different letter differ significantly ( $P <$   
1134 0.05; Duncan test); SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig.: significance: \*\*\* ( $P <$  0.001). Treatments: CON - sausages  
1135 prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil  
1136 mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel.  
1137 SFA: Saturated fatty acids; MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids; *n*-3: Omega-3;  
1138 *n*-6: Omega-6; LC *n*-3: Long-chain omega-3; TI: Thrombogenic index; AI: Atherogenic index; h/H:  
1139 Hypo/hypercholesterolemic fatty acids ratio



1140 **Table 4.** Effect of fat source on hydrocarbons, terpenes and terpenoids, acids, and alcohols (expressed  
 1141 as AU × 104/g) of dry-fermented foal sausages

Volatile compounds	LRI	m/z	Treatments			SEM	Sig.
			CON	T1	T2		
Pentane	500	43	78.86 <sup>b</sup>	4.62 <sup>a</sup>	75.45 <sup>b</sup>	4.585	***
Heptane	700	71	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	2.04 <sup>c</sup>	0.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.076	***
Octane	800	85	7.07 <sup>a</sup>	15.19 <sup>b</sup>	8.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.534	***
Isopropylcyclobutane	819	55	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	2.12 <sup>c</sup>	0.099	***
3,5-Octadiene, (Z,Z)-	831	81	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 <sup>c</sup>	0.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.016	***
Decane	1000	57	8.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.485	***
Heptane, 2,2,4,6,6-pentamethyl-	1001	56	4.45 <sup>a</sup>	5.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.113	***
<b>Total lineal hydrocarbons</b>			95.32 <sup>b</sup>	32.27 <sup>a</sup>	86.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.928	***
<b>Total branched hydrocarbons</b>			4.45 <sup>a</sup>	5.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.113	***
<b>Total cyclic hydrocarbons</b>			0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	2.12 <sup>c</sup>	0.099	***
<b>Total hydrocarbons</b>			100.1 <sup>b</sup>	38.25 <sup>a</sup>	92.80 <sup>b</sup>	3.905	***
m-Xylene	907	91	0.81 <sup>a</sup>	1.54 <sup>c</sup>	1.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.043	***
α-Phellandrene	949	93	138.6 <sup>a</sup>	167.5 <sup>b</sup>	195.4 <sup>b</sup>	6.365	***
Cyclofenchene	956	93	63.91 <sup>a</sup>	137.0 <sup>b</sup>	144.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.791	***
Camphene	973	121	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	1.81 <sup>b</sup>	1.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.067	***
Sabinene	996	93	143.2 <sup>a</sup>	235.0 <sup>b</sup>	252.3 <sup>b</sup>	8.795	***
(-)-β-Pinene	998	93	109.2 <sup>a</sup>	141.4 <sup>b</sup>	153.0 <sup>b</sup>	5.078	***
β-Myrcene	1006	93	43.13 <sup>a</sup>	104.4 <sup>b</sup>	109.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.267	***
3-Carene	1023	93	170.9 <sup>a</sup>	345.2 <sup>b</sup>	330.5 <sup>b</sup>	10.990	***
α-Terpinene	1031	121	14.44 <sup>a</sup>	31.35 <sup>b</sup>	61.34 <sup>c</sup>	2.557	***
D-Limonene	1040	93	176.3 <sup>a</sup>	335.5 <sup>b</sup>	324.7 <sup>b</sup>	10.896	***
o-Cymene	1044	119	296.2 <sup>a</sup>	427.6 <sup>c</sup>	334.4 <sup>b</sup>	8.873	***
Eucalyptol	1049	154	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.76 <sup>b</sup>	2.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.061	***
β-Ocimene	1054	93	0.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.64 <sup>b</sup>	3.46 <sup>c</sup>	0.147	***
γ-Terpinene	1063	93	45.44 <sup>a</sup>	74.26 <sup>b</sup>	96.15 <sup>c</sup>	3.777	***
(+)-4-Carene	1086	121	7.68 <sup>a</sup>	20.69 <sup>b</sup>	28.45 <sup>c</sup>	1.244	***
Styrene, 3,4-dimethyl-	1099	117	5.11	5.89	6.05	0.201	ns
Linalool	1115	71	5.33 <sup>a</sup>	8.91 <sup>c</sup>	7.77 <sup>b</sup>	0.266	***
(+)-trans-4-Thujanol	1124	111	2.92 <sup>a</sup>	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	3.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.155	***
(-)-Terpinen-4-ol	1173	111	27.96 <sup>a</sup>	33.21 <sup>b</sup>	33.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.973	*
α-Terpineol	1187	59	2.48 <sup>a</sup>	3.17 <sup>b</sup>	3.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.081	***
Safrole	1246	162	88.45 <sup>a</sup>	120.9 <sup>b</sup>	120.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.499	***
δ-Elementene	1260	136	3.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.54 <sup>b</sup>	5.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.221	***
α-Copaene	1286	161	23.91 <sup>a</sup>	32.02 <sup>b</sup>	31.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.633	***
Helminthogermacrene	1297	147	0.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.019	***
Caryophyllene	1321	133	56.04 <sup>a</sup>	73.69 <sup>b</sup>	79.41 <sup>b</sup>	2.375	***
1,5,9,9-Tetramethyl-1,4,7-cycloundecatriene	1339	93	6.29 <sup>a</sup>	7.91 <sup>b</sup>	8.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.208	***

Myristicin	1371	192	6.98 <sup>a</sup>	9.65 <sup>b</sup>	9.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.221	***
<b>Total terpenes and terpenoids</b>			1443 <sup>a</sup>	2335 <sup>b</sup>	2348 <sup>b</sup>	60.681	***
Butanoic acid	898	60	52.33 <sup>a</sup>	65.21 <sup>b</sup>	56.88 <sup>a</sup>	1.573	**
Hexanoic acid	1055	60	10.57 <sup>b</sup>	16.35 <sup>c</sup>	5.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.603	***
<b>Total acids</b>			62.91 <sup>a</sup>	81.57 <sup>b</sup>	62.48 <sup>a</sup>	1.807	***
Glycidol	501	44	16.55 <sup>a</sup>	25.70 <sup>b</sup>	24.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.729	***
2-Propanol	532	45	7.39 <sup>b</sup>	4.53 <sup>a</sup>	4.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.220	***
1-Propanol	571	59	0.41 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 <sup>c</sup>	0.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.021	***
1-Propanol, 2-methyl-	648	43	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>c</sup>	0.012	***
1-Butanol	704	56	0.93 <sup>c</sup>	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.038	***
1-Penten-3-ol	725	57	40.64 <sup>b</sup>	42.81 <sup>b</sup>	6.49 <sup>a</sup>	2.314	***
1-Butanol, 3-methyl-	798	70	1.38 <sup>a</sup>	1.39 <sup>a</sup>	4.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.182	***
1-Butanol, 2-methyl-	801	56	0.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.45 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.047	***
1-Pentanol	834	70	16.68 <sup>c</sup>	11.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.866	***
[R,R]-2,3-butanediol	902	45	51.47 <sup>a</sup>	145.0 <sup>c</sup>	110.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.513	***
1-Hexanol	935	56	8.11 <sup>b</sup>	13.68 <sup>c</sup>	3.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.668	***
Benzyl alcohol	1096	108	55.28 <sup>a</sup>	81.78 <sup>b</sup>	64.96 <sup>a</sup>	3.518	**
1-Octanol	1096	69	5.21 <sup>a</sup>	6.58 <sup>b</sup>	4.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.159	***
Phenylethyl Alcohol	1150	91	1.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.66 <sup>a</sup>	4.36 <sup>c</sup>	0.213	***
<b>Total alcohols</b>			206.4 <sup>a</sup>	335.8 <sup>c</sup>	231.7 <sup>b</sup>	8.248	***

1142 <sup>a-c</sup> Mean values in the same row (corresponding to the same parameter) with different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ;  
1143 Duncan test); SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig.: significance: \*\*\* ( $P < 0.001$ ); \*\* ( $P < 0.01$ ); \* ( $P < 0.05$ ); ns (not  
1144 significant). Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat  
1145 replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal  
1146 oil mixture hydrogel. LRI: lineal retention index calculated for DB-624 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm id, 1.4 μm film  
1147 thickness; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA), installed on a gas chromatograph equipped with a mass selective detector;  $m/z$ :  
1148 quantification ion.  
1149

1150 **Table 5.** Effect of fat source on aldehydes, ketones, esters, furans, nitrogen compounds, sulphur  
 1151 compounds, and other volatile compounds (expressed as AU × 10<sup>4</sup>/g) of dry-fermented foal sausages

Volatile compounds	LRI	m/z	Treatments			SEM	Sig.
			CON	T1	T2		
2-Propenal	524	56	0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	0.20 <sup>b</sup>	0.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.007	*
Propanal	526	58	21.67 <sup>b</sup>	40.97 <sup>c</sup>	0.83 <sup>a</sup>	2.026	***
Butanal	584	72	0.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.026	***
Butanal, 3-methyl-	659	58	3.31 <sup>a</sup>	11.18 <sup>b</sup>	10.08 <sup>b</sup>	0.476	***
Butanal, 2-methyl-	671	58	1.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.44 <sup>b</sup>	4.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.201	***
Pentanal	724	57	43.71 <sup>b</sup>	47.07 <sup>b</sup>	6.13 <sup>a</sup>	2.547	***
2-Butenal, 2-methyl-	792	84	0.40 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 <sup>c</sup>	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.226	***
Hexanal	852	56	195.1 <sup>b</sup>	244.3 <sup>c</sup>	29.49 <sup>a</sup>	11.883	***
Heptanal	953	70	18.90 <sup>c</sup>	15.99 <sup>b</sup>	3.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.851	***
2-Heptenal, (Z)-	1013	83	10.90 <sup>c</sup>	4.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.571	***
Benzaldehyde	1020	106	15.16 <sup>a</sup>	26.96 <sup>c</sup>	21.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.843	***
2,4-Heptadienal, (E,E)-	1063	81	10.07 <sup>b</sup>	10.99 <sup>b</sup>	1.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.529	***
Benzeneacetaldehyde	1091	91	21.03 <sup>a</sup>	24.66 <sup>a</sup>	105.5 <sup>b</sup>	4.971	***
Nonanal	1117	98	4.28 <sup>b</sup>	5.90 <sup>c</sup>	1.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.223	***
<b>Total aldehydes</b>			346.6 <sup>b</sup>	441.9 <sup>c</sup>	186.3 <sup>a</sup>	13.671	***
2-Butanone	594	72	1.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.54 <sup>c</sup>	3.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.202	***
2,3-Pentanedione	731	100	7.66 <sup>b</sup>	19.33 <sup>c</sup>	1.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.961	***
Acetoin	780	45	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	8.17 <sup>b</sup>	10.64 <sup>c</sup>	0.381	***
2-Heptanone	947	58	2.68 <sup>b</sup>	2.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.131	***
Butyrolactone	1022	86	23.59 <sup>a</sup>	37.87 <sup>b</sup>	36.81 <sup>b</sup>	1.167	***
γ-Pentalactone	1052	56	5.83 <sup>b</sup>	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	5.10 <sup>ab</sup>	0.211	*
γ-Caprolactone	1128	85	2.10 <sup>c</sup>	1.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.091	***
<b>Total Ketones</b>			48.36 <sup>a</sup>	78.60 <sup>c</sup>	59.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.924	***
Acetic acid, methyl ester	538	74	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.022	***
Ethyl Acetate	598	43	342.2 <sup>b</sup>	307.4 <sup>a</sup>	381.5 <sup>c</sup>	6.654	***
Formic acid, 2-propenyl ester	671	57	3.63 <sup>a</sup>	7.16 <sup>b</sup>	6.69 <sup>b</sup>	0.255	***
Propanoic acid, ethyl ester	731	57	22.85 <sup>b</sup>	52.04 <sup>c</sup>	8.35 <sup>a</sup>	2.612	***
Butanoic acid, ethyl ester	841	71	81.34	74.62	72.34	2.974	ns
Propanoic acid, 2-hydroxy-, ethyl ester	878	45	148.8 <sup>b</sup>	124.2 <sup>a</sup>	126.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.675	***
Butanoic acid, 2-methyl-, ethyl ester	891	102	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	4.05 <sup>a</sup>	5.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.136	***
Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, ethyl ester	895	88	9.69 <sup>b</sup>	7.80 <sup>a</sup>	12.53 <sup>c</sup>	0.317	***
Pentanoic acid, ethyl ester	940	85	9.41	8.95	8.40	0.205	ns
Hexanoic acid, ethyl ester	1024	99	55.43 <sup>c</sup>	39.71 <sup>b</sup>	21.61 <sup>a</sup>	2.054	***
Acetic acid, hexyl ester	1037	56	2.72 <sup>b</sup>	3.57 <sup>c</sup>	1.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.110	***
Octanoic acid, ethyl ester	1169	88	18.39 <sup>b</sup>	11.65 <sup>a</sup>	10.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.568	***
Decanoic acid, ethyl ester	1293	88	15.81 <sup>b</sup>	5.76 <sup>a</sup>	6.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.586	***
<b>Total esters</b>			715.1 <sup>b</sup>	647.5 <sup>a</sup>	662.1 <sup>a</sup>	10.972	*

Furan, 2-ethyl-	699	96	4.14 <sup>b</sup>	4.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.240	***
Furan, 2-pentyl-	1012	81	9.75 <sup>b</sup>	16.08 <sup>c</sup>	3.80 <sup>a</sup>	0.738	***
<b>Total furans</b>			13.89 <sup>b</sup>	20.30 <sup>c</sup>	4.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.922	***
2-Propanamine	724	58	11.71 <sup>b</sup>	13.86 <sup>c</sup>	4.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.536	***
Methylformamide	880	59	3.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>c</sup>	0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.208	***
Propane, 2-nitro-	900	46	1.54 <sup>a</sup>	3.30 <sup>b</sup>	3.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.140	***
4-Imidazolemethanol	945	98	1.49 <sup>a</sup>	2.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.065	***
Pyrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidin-2,4(1H,3H)-dione	1015	151	40.13 <sup>b</sup>	37.85 <sup>b</sup>	34.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.759	**
<b>Total nitrogen compounds</b>			57.91 <sup>b</sup>	60.75 <sup>b</sup>	44.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.224	***
Methional	978	104	1.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.84 <sup>b</sup>	4.61 <sup>c</sup>	0.190	***
Dimethyl trisulfide	1011	126	1.37	1.09	1.10	0.058	ns
Dimethyl sulfone	1049	79	4.58 <sup>a</sup>	6.75 <sup>b</sup>	14.27 <sup>c</sup>	0.550	***
<b>Total sulphur compounds</b>			7.11 <sup>a</sup>	10.68 <sup>b</sup>	19.97 <sup>c</sup>	0.687	***
Allyl ethyl ether	671	58	1.57 <sup>a</sup>	4.55 <sup>b</sup>	4.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.201	***
Ethylbenzene	899	91	0.38 <sup>a</sup>	1.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.037	***
<b>Total others</b>			1.95 <sup>a</sup>	5.57 <sup>c</sup>	4.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.220	***
<b>Total volatile compounds</b>			3003 <sup>a</sup>	4056 <sup>c</sup>	3716 <sup>b</sup>	61.657	***

1152 <sup>a-c</sup> Mean values in the same row (corresponding to the same parameter) with different letter differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ;  
1153 Duncan test); SEM: Standard error of the mean; Sig.: significance: \*\*\* ( $P < 0.001$ ); \*\* ( $P < 0.01$ ); \* ( $P < 0.05$ ); ns (not  
1154 significant). Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork backfat  
1155 replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of pork fat replaced by sesame and algal  
1156 oil mixture hydrogel. LRI: lineal retention index calculated for DB-624 capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm id, 1.4 μm film  
1157 thickness; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA), installed on a gas chromatograph equipped with a mass selective detector; *m/z*:  
1158 quantification ion  
1159

1160 **Table 6.** Global preference values of dry-fermented foal sausages

Sample most favorite	Sample least favorite
T2 (121)	T1 (92)
CON (93)	

$$F_{\text{test}}=10.63 > F(\alpha=0.05)=5,99$$

1161 Samples in the same row not have significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ); samples in different rows have significant differences ( $P$   
 1162  $< 0.05$ ). The numbers in brackets are  $\Sigma$  score. Treatments: CON - sausages prepared with 100% pork backfat; T1 - sausages  
 1163 prepared with 50% of pork backfat replaced by tigernut and algal oil mixture hydrogel; T2 - sausages prepared with 50% of  
 1164 pork fat replaced by sesame and algal oil mixture hydrogel

1165

1166