



Gender Differences in Patients with Substance Use Disorder and Physical/Sexual Abuse: A Preliminary Study

Begoña Haro^{1,2}, Javier Fernández-Montalvo^{1,2}, Alfonso Arteaga^{1,2}, and José J. López-Goñi^{1,2}

¹Universidad Pública de Navarra, Spain; ²IdiSNA, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Navarra, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 January 2023

Accepted 3 May 2023

Available online 15 September 2023

Keywords:

Substance use disorder

Lifetime abuse

Psychopathology

Gender differences

Assessment

ABSTRACT

Aim: To explore the differential characteristics in sociodemographic variables, severity of consumption, psychopathological symptomatology, life maladjustment, trauma characteristics, and post-traumatic stress symptomatology between women and men who seek treatment for substance use disorder (SUD) and have experienced lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse. **Method:** The sample consisted of 26 women and 31 men who had experienced physical/sexual abuse and sought treatment for SUD. **Results:** Women had been victims of sexual abuse in a significantly higher percentage than men. Women presented a significantly higher frequency of revictimization to another traumatic event and a significantly higher presence of avoidance symptoms than men. **Conclusions:** This study supports the existence of a more severe profile in women than in men with SUD who have experienced lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse. Consequently, gender-related trauma-informed practice in SUD treatment programmes should be promoted. More research in this field is needed, as this is a preliminary study.

Las diferencias de género en pacientes con trastorno por consumo de sustancias y abuso físico/sexual: un estudio preliminar

RESUMEN

Objetivo: Explorar las diferencias de género en distintas variables (sociodemográficas, gravedad del consumo, sintomatología psicopatológica, inadaptación a la vida cotidiana, características del trauma y sintomatología de estrés postraumático) en pacientes que acuden a tratamiento por trastorno por consumo de sustancias (TCS) y han sufrido abuso físico y/o sexual. **Método:** La muestra estuvo compuesta por 26 mujeres y 31 hombres que habían sufrido abuso físico y/o sexual y buscaban tratamiento para TCS. **Resultados:** Las mujeres habían sido víctimas de abuso sexual en un porcentaje significativamente mayor que los hombres. Además presentaban una frecuencia significativamente mayor de revictimización a otro evento traumático y una presencia significativamente mayor de síntomas de evitación que los hombres. **Conclusiones:** El estudio confirma la existencia de un perfil más grave en las mujeres que en los hombres con TCS que han sufrido abuso físico y/o sexual a lo largo de la vida. En consecuencia, se debe promover la atención informada sobre el trauma con perspectiva de género en los programas de tratamiento del TCS. Dada la naturaleza preliminar de este estudio, se necesita más investigación en este campo.

The development of post-traumatic symptomatology is one of the main consequences of suffering physical and/or sexual abuse (Campbell et al., 2016; Olaya et al., 2015). Gender differences in suffering different types of potentially traumatic events and in the derived consequences have been well established (Armstrong et al., 2018; Christiansen & Hansen, 2015; Mitra et al., 2021; Tolin & Foa, 2006). Overall, men suffer a higher number of lifetime traumatic events than women. Moreover, the types of traumatic events experienced by men vary. Specifically, men present a higher proportion

of accidents, robberies, and war consequences than women, whereas women are victims of sexual abuse and childhood abuse in a higher proportion than men (Tolin & Foa, 2006). On the other hand, women present greater psychopathological consequences than men, such as depression, anxiety or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD; Armstrong et al., 2018).

There is evidence for a link between having experienced any type of abuse and the development of problematic substance use (Afifi et al., 2012; Bailey et al., 2020; Haller & Chassin, 2014; Mesa-Gresa &

Cite this article as: Haro, B., Fernández-Montalvo, J., Arteaga, A., & López-Goñi, J. J. (2023). Gender differences in patients with substance use disorder and physical/sexual abuse: A preliminary study. *Clínica y Salud*, 34(3), 123-130. <https://doi.org/10.5093/clysa2023a12>

Funding: This study was supported by a grant (code PSI2016-76511-R) from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI) of the Spanish Government and the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional of the European Union (FEDER, EU). Correspondence: begona.haro@unavarra.es (B. Haro).

ISSN: 1130-5274/© 2023 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Moya-Albiol, 2011; Proctor et al., 2017). This relationship has been traditionally explained by self-medication theory, which proposes the use of substances as a coping strategy to deal with the consequences of traumatic experiences (Khantzian, 1997). Furthermore, 18% to 53.7% of patients who seek treatment for substance use disorder (SUD) present a history of physical abuse, and 9.2% to 22.7% have experienced lifetime sexual abuse (Daigre et al., 2015; Fernández-Montalvo et al., 2015; Grundmann et al., 2018; Potthast et al., 2014).

Patients in SUD treatment programmes who have experienced histories of physical and/or sexual abuse present a more severe psychopathological profile (Daigre et al., 2015; Fernández-Montalvo et al., 2015; Haro et al., 2021; Lotzin, et al., 2016; Zaorska & Jakubczyk, 2019) and higher rates of treatment dropout (Brems et al., 2004; Daigre et al., 2015; Fernández-Montalvo et al., 2017) than those without histories of abuse. In addition, post-traumatic stress symptomatology seems to play an important role in SUD development and severity (Kok et al., 2015; Müller et al., 2015). This relationship has been widely studied in women (Bailey et al., 2019; Min et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2014; Sullivan et al., 2009; Ullman et al., 2005; Ullman et al., 2009; Ullman et al., 2013; Ullman & Sigurvinsdottir, 2015; Vaszari et al., 2011). However, gender differences in patients with SUD and lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse remain little explored given the scarcity of comparative studies with male samples (Danielson et al., 2009).

Gender differences in the link between having suffered a potentially traumatic event and substance use have been found in the general population. For example, cumulative exposure to a potentially traumatic event has been associated with cannabis use and illicit drug use in women but not in men (Cunradi et al., 2020). Regarding patients in SUD treatment programmes, this differential profile has been studied in veterans (Livingston et al., 2021) and in persons with severe mental illness (Lipschitz et al., 2000; Sharif et al., 2020). Although little is known about gender differences in patients in treatment for SUD who have experienced physical and/or sexual abuse, Ouimette et al. (2000) recommended gender-related trauma-informed practice in SUD-treatments. Nevertheless, this research presented some specific limitations. For example, measure of physical and sexual abuse was based on one-item measures. The present study tries to improve this aspect, using more accurate measures.

Thus, the main objective of this study was to explore the differential characteristics between women and men who seek treatment for SUD and have experienced physical and/or sexual abuse. The variables studied were sociodemographic aspects, substance motivating treatment, severity of consumption, number of previous SUD treatments, psychopathological symptomatology, everyday life maladjustment, type of abuse, trauma characteristics and consequences, post-traumatic stress symptomatology, and diagnosis. In comparison to the study of Ouimette et al. (2000), the trauma-related variables were explored using a more in-depth interview. Based on the few previous studies, the primary hypothesis of this study is that women will present a more severe profile in most of the variables studied and a higher prevalence of sexual abuse than men.

Method

Participants

The initial sample consisted of 496 patients seeking treatment for SUD in the "Proyecto Hombre Navarra and ANTOX" addiction programmes in Navarra, Spain between February 2017 and June 2019. These programs have a cognitive behavioural basis and are geared towards abstinence. Study inclusion criteria were as follows: a) meeting the diagnostic criteria for SUD based on the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013); b) having experienced physical and/or sexual abuse; c) being older than 18 years old; d) receiving SUD

treatment; and e) giving consent to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were a) presenting serious mental illness that made it inadvisable to participate in the study (e.g., psychotic disorders); and b) not having knowledge of the Spanish language. Based on these criteria, 57 patients were selected for the study (11.5% of the initial sample). Of these subjects, 54.4% ($n = 31$) were men, and 45.6% ($n = 26$) were women. The average age of the final sample was 41.5 years ($SD = 11.8$). About half of the participants were single (47.4%, $n = 27$), had secondary studies (50.9%, $n = 29$), and were unemployed (56.1%, $n = 32$). The main substance that motivated treatment was alcohol in 45.1% ($n = 25$) of the final sample, followed by cocaine (22.8%, $n = 13$), poly consumption (21.1%, $n = 12$), and other substances (12.3%, $n = 7$) as heroin, cannabis, etc. The remaining patients were excluded for not having experienced lifetime abuse ($n = 439$). Two previous studies were done with part of this sample (Haro et al., 2021; López-Goñi et al., 2021).

Measures and Variables

The EuropASI (Kokkevi & Hartgers, 1995; Spanish version by Bobes et al., 1996) is the European version of the Addiction Severity Index (ASI; McLellan et al., 1980). This tool assesses the need for treatment in patients with SUD in seven different areas: (a) general medical condition, (b) employment and financial situation, (c) alcohol consumption, (d) use of other drugs, (e) legal problems, (f) family and social relationships, and (g) psychological state; scores ranging from 0 (*no problem*) to 9 (*extreme problems*). Interviewer Severity Rates (ISRs), which are directly related to the severity of consumption (López-Goñi et al., 2012), were used. Moreover, some items of the EuropASI were used to obtain specific information about the presence of psychopathological problems (psychiatric scale: items 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 10A). Thus, the variables derived from the interview considered in this study were: substance motivating treatment, the seven areas of the EuropASI, number of previous SUD treatments, depressive problems, anxiety problems, hallucinations, violence problems, suicidal ideation and attempts, and previous psychopharmacological treatments. For the assessment of lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse, two specific items from the family/social area of the EuropASI were used: 18B ("Has anyone ever physically abused you?") and 18C ("Has anyone ever sexually abused you?"). The short-term test-retest reliabilities of the ASI severity ratings have been reported to be greater than or equal to .92 for all domains in McLellan et al. (1985).

The Symptom Checklist (SCL-90-R) (Derogatis, 1992) is a self-report tool that assesses psychopathological symptoms in the last two weeks. It is composed of 90 items that are answered on a five-point Likert scale, from 0 (*never*) to 4 (*more than seven days*). This tool aims to assess the symptoms of psychological distress. It consists of nine primary symptom dimensions: somatization (e.g., headaches), obsession-compulsion (e.g., unwanted thoughts, words, or ideas that won't leave your mind), interpersonal sensitivity (e.g., seeing people in a negative way), depression (e.g., feeling low in energy or slowed down), anxiety (e.g., suddenly scared for no reason), hostility (e.g., feeling easily annoyed or irritated), phobic anxiety (e.g., feeling afraid to go out of your house alone), paranoid ideation (e.g., feeling uneasy when people are watching or talking about you), and psychoticism (e.g., the idea that someone else can control your thoughts); and three global indices of severity: the Global Severity Index (GSI), which reflects overall symptom severity; the Positive Symptom Distress Index (PSDI), which indicates symptom intensity; and the Positive Symptom Total (PST), which includes the number of items answered with a score different from 0. In this sample the internal consistency ranges from .70 to .90.

The Maladjustment Scale (Echeburúa et al., 2000) reveals how each patient is currently affected in six different areas of everyday

Table 1. Comparisons of Sociodemographic Characteristics by Gender

	Total N = 57		Men n = 31		Women n = 26		t(df)	p	d	(1 - β err. prob.)
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				
Age	41.5	11.8	38.8	11.6	44.8	11.4	1.9(55)	.053	0.513	.60
Marital status	N	%	n	%	n	%	χ^2 (df)	p	V	
Single	27	47.4	19	61.3	8	30.8				
Married	13	22.8	6	19.4	7	26.9				
Separated/Divorced	16	28.1	6	19.6	10	38.5	5.6(2) ¹	.060	.314	.99
Widower	1	1.8	0	-	1	3.8				
Education level										
No studies	3	5.3	2	6.5	1	3.8				
Primary	18	31.6	13	41.9	5	19.2				
Secondary	29	50.9	15	48.4	14	53.8	3.9(1) ²	.048	.261	.51
University	7	12.3	1	3.2	6	23.1				
Employment situation										
Employed	23	40.0	11	35.5	12	46.2				
Unemployed	32	56.1	20	64.5	12	46.2	0.7(1) ³	.413	.108	.15
Retired	2	3.5	0	-	2	7.7				

Note. ¹In the χ^2 analysis of marital status, the categories "separated/divorced" and "widower" have been joined. ²In the χ^2 analysis of education level, the categories "no studies" and "primary", as well as "secondary" and "university", have been joined. ³In the χ^2 analysis of employment situation, the categories "unemployed" and "retired" have been joined.

life: labour, social, leisure, partner, family and general (e.g., "because of my current problems, my usual social life has been affected..."). This scale evaluates maladjustment in each area and it ranges from 0 (*nothing*) to 5 (*extremely*) on a six-point Likert scale. The total scale range is 0-30. Higher scores indicate higher levels of maladjustment. In this sample the internal consistency is .94.

The Severity Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Scale - Revised (EGS-R; Echeburúa et al., 2016) is a Spanish semi structured interview that evaluates the presence of PTSD and the severity of the symptoms. It is based on the diagnostic criteria of the DSM-5. It is composed of two parts: a) exposure to a traumatic event and b) severity and frequency of PTSD symptoms. It consists of 21 items scored from 0 (*nothing/never*) to 3 (*extremely/5 or more times a week*) on a four-point Likert scale. The variables evaluated were type of abuse, frequency of the traumatic event, previous treatments, exposure during childhood/adolescence, revictimization, physical sequelae, PTSD symptoms severity, and PTSD diagnosis. In addition, six items valorise the interference of trauma in different daily life areas. In this sample the internal consistency is .91.

Procedure

The ethics committees of the Universidad Pública de Navarra (code: PI-006/16), Proyecto Hombre Navarra (code: PHN2016-01), and ANTOX (code: A2017-01) approved the protocol for this study. All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. All participants signed informed consent forms. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.

All patients were interviewed by clinical psychologists specialized in the assessment and treatment of addictions and trauma. The assessment of the sample was carried out in two sessions at the beginning of the addiction treatment. Self-reports were completed in the presence and with the support of the interviewers. During the first session, sociodemographic data and physical and/or sexual abuse variables were screened as well as the EuropASI (Kokkevi & Hartgers, 1995; Spanish version by Bobes et al., 1996). The procedure to determine the EuropASI scores was the two-step methodology

suggested by Bobes et al. (2008). In the first step, the scores of each area were calculated based on the interviewer's judgement considering the patient's answers. In the second step, once the interview with the patient had finished, the interviewer's scores were reviewed by the intervention team, blind to the participant's identity. If a discrepancy was observed, the intervention team discussed it until an agreement was reached.

In the second session, the psychopathological and maladjustment consequences were assessed. An in-depth interview was carried out with patients who reported a history of physical and/or sexual abuse to confirm the traumatic event and evaluate the corresponding variables with the EGS-R (Echeburúa et al., 2016). The same researcher was in charge of these in-depth interviews. For individuals experiencing more than one traumatic event, the event with the most severe consequences on the patient was considered the principal type of abuse. The clinical judgment about the PTSD diagnosis was made considering the scores of the EGS-R (Echeburúa et al., 2016). When a doubt appeared, the researcher team discussed the symptomatology of the patient until reaching an agreement.

Data Analysis

Descriptive analyses were conducted for all variables. Bivariate analyses were performed using χ^2 tests or Student's *t*-tests for independent samples, depending on the nature of the variables analysed. The variance homogeneity was assessed in the case of the Student's *t*-tests. Depending on the result of Levene's test, the corresponding correction was used (Glass & Stanley, 1970). The *t*-test was used because data presented a random distribution (assessed by Runs test for randomness), and the size of the sample was higher than 40. The statistical analyses were two-sided. Effect sizes (Cohen's *d* or Cramer's *V*) for the analyses were provided. The interpretation of Cohen's *d* was made following the next considerations: $d < 0.20$ (no effect size), $0.2 \leq d < 0.5$ (small effect size), $0.5 \leq d < 0.80$ (medium effect size) and $d \geq 0.80$ (large effect size; Cohen, 1998). The interpretation of Cramer's *V* was made according to Rea and Parker's (1992) conventions: $V \leq .20$ (small effect), $.20 < V \leq .60$ (medium effect), and $V > .60$ (large effect). Statistical power post-hoc was calculated as a function of $\alpha = .05$, the population effect size, and *N*. Scores above .80 are considered adequate for accepting the

Table 2. Comparisons of the Severity of Consumption and Psychopathological Characteristics by Gender

	Total N = 57		Men (n = 31)		Women (n = 26)		$\chi^2(df)$	p	Phi	1 - β err. prob.
Substance motivating treatment	N	%	n	%	n	%				
Alcohol	25	43.9	9	29.0	16	61.5	6.0(1)	.014	.326	.47
Other	32	56.1	22	71.0	10	38.5				
Severity of consumption	N = 55		n = 30		n = 25					
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t(df)	p	d	
EuropASI (Interviewer severity rates)										
Medical	2.8	2.1	2.5	2.2	3.1	2.0	1.1(53)	.258	.310	.18
Employment/support	3.8	1.7	3.5	1.7	4.1	1.6	1.2(53)	.212	.342	.25
Alcohol use	4.6	2.5	4.1	2.5	5.3	2.3	1.7(53)	.083	.479	.41
Drug use	4.5	2.9	5.2	2.5	3.5	3.1	2.2(44.4)	.033	.611	.57
Legal	2.3	2.2	3.0	2.3	1.5	1.9	2.6(53)	.012	.705	.69
Family	5.4	1.6	5.3	1.8	5.4	1.5	0.1(53)	.874	.043	.06
Social	5.0	1.8	4.7	1.7	5.0	1.9	0.1(53)	.884	.040	.09
Psychiatric	4.8	2.0	4.5	2.3	5.3	1.4	1.4(49.1)	.158	.373	.30
Number of previous treatments	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.6	1.9	2.1	0.6(53)	.500	.184	.12
Lifetime psychopathological symptomatology	N	%	n	%	n	%	$\chi^2(df)$	p	Phi	
Depressive problems	31	56.4	17	56.7	14	56.0	0.2(1)	.960	.007	.05
Anxiety problems	44	80.0	22	73.3	22	88.0	1.8(1)	.176	.183	.21
Hallucinations	7	12.7	5	16.7	2	8.0	0.9(1)	.337	.129	.07
Violence problems	22	40.0	14	46.7	8	32.0	1.2(1)	.269	.149	.10
Suicidal ideation	23	41.8	11	36.7	12	48.0	0.7(1)	.396	.114	.08
Suicide attempts	16	29.1	7	23.3	9	36.0	1.0(1)	.303	.139	.10
Previous psychopharmacological treatments	34	61.8	14	46.7	20	80.0	6.4(1)	.011	.342	.55
	N = 57		n = 31		n = 26					
SCL-90-R	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t(df)	p	d	(1 - β err. prob.)
Somatisation	0.82	0.79	0.77	0.83	0.88	0.75	0.5(55)	.548	.161	.13
Obsession-compulsion	1.09	0.71	1.22	0.76	0.93	0.62	1.5(55)	.143	.395	.46
Interpersonal sensitivity	1.18	0.86	1.19	0.85	1.18	0.90	0.0(52.1)	.618	.134	.05
Depression	1.34	0.84	1.25	0.85	1.45	0.82	0.9(55)	.612	.136	.22
Anxiety	0.96	0.76	0.99	0.76	0.93	0.77	0.3(55)	.110	.431	.09
Hostility	0.81	0.88	1.12	0.93	0.44	0.66	3.1(55)	.002	.886	.93
Phobic anxiety	0.44	0.56	0.50	0.55	0.37	0.57	0.9(55)	.059	.511	.22
Paranoid ideation	1.19	0.87	1.38	0.93	0.96	0.75	1.9(55)	.357	.247	.58
Psychoticism	0.67	0.56	0.74	0.63	0.60	0.47	1.0(55)	.660	.117	.24
GSI	0.99	0.61	1.04	0.65	0.93	0.56	0.6(55)	.134	.405	.17
PST	40.7	18.5	42.9	20.1	38.2	16.4	1.0(55)	.114	.421	.24
PSDI	2.07	0.61	2.07	0.64	2.07	0.57	0.0(55)	.680	.110	.05
Maladjustment										
Labour	3.4	1.6	3.5	1.5	3.3	1.7	0.5(55)	.619	.113	.07
Social	3.0	1.7	3.3	1.5	2.7	1.9	1.2(55)	.219	.331	.26
Leisure	3.0	1.7	2.7	1.9	3.3	1.5	1.3(55)	.196	.348	.26
Partner	3.4	1.7	3.5	1.6	3.2	1.8	0.7(55)	.481	.189	.10
Family	3.7	1.4	3.9	1.2	3.4	1.6	1.2(55)	.209	.338	.26
General	3.9	1.2	3.9	1.0	3.8	1.1	0.3(55)	.762	.081	.06
Total maladjustment	20.4	6.1	20.9	6.0	19.8	6.2	0.6(52)	.517	.174	.10

Note. SCL-90-R = Symptom Checklist; ISR = Interviewer Severity Rate; GSI = Global Severity Index; PSDI = Positive Symptom Distress Index; PST = Positive Symptom Distress.

statistically significant ($p < .05$) differences. Regarding multivariate analyses, a logistic regression analysis (forward stepwise entry method) was conducted to determine which specific factors were the most important to differentiate between men and women. In this analysis, all the variables were included. Due the preliminary character of the study, the variable entry criterion was set to 0.05, and the variable retention criterion was set to 0.10. The proportion of the variance explained was calculated as Nagelkerke's R^2 . Moreover, the Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to assess the goodness of fit of this model (Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2013). A difference of $p < .05$ was considered significant. Statistical analysis were performed with the SPSS program (25.0) and G*Power 3 software (Faul et al., 2007).

Results

Comparisons of Sociodemographic, Severity of Consumption, and Psychopathological Variables by Gender

There were no gender differences in sociodemographic characteristics (Table 1). Comparisons between men and women in severity of consumption and psychopathological characteristics are presented in Table 2. Statistically significant differences in the substance that motivated treatment were observed ($\chi^2 = 6.0$, $p = .014$), with a low effect size. Alcohol was the substance that motivated

Table 3. Comparisons of the Characteristics and Consequences of Traumatic Events by Gender

Characteristics of the traumatic event	Total N = 57		Men (n = 31)		Women (n = 26)		χ^2 (df)	p	Phi	(1 - β err. prob.)
	N	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)				
Type of abuse										
Physical	32	(56.1)	26	(83.9)	6	(23.1)	21.2 (1)	.000	.610	.99
Sexual	25	(43.9)	5	(16.1)	20	(76.9)				
Frequency										
One event	10	(17.5)	4	(12.9)	6	(23.1)	1.0 (1)	.314	.133	.08
More than one event	47	(82.5)	27	(87.1)	20	(76.9)				
Previous treatment	12	(21.1)	5	(16.1)	7	(26.9)	0.9 (1)	.319	.132	.08
Exposure during childhood/ adolescence	43	(75.4)	25	(80.6)	18	(69.2)	0.9 (1)	.319	.132	.09
Revictimization to another traumatic event	18	(31.6)	3	(9.7)	15	(57.7)	15.0 (1)	.000	.514	.99
Physical sequelae	9	(15.8)	4	(12.9)	5	(19.2)	0.4 (1)	.514	.086	.08
Consequences of the traumatic event	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	t (df)	p	d	
Intrusion symptoms	3.4	(2.8)	2.8	(2.6)	4.2	(2.9)	1.8 (55)	.063	.493	.45
Avoidance symptoms	2.8	(2.6)	2.1	(2.3)	3.6	(2.8)	2.1 (55)	.037	.550	.57
Negative alterations in cognitions and mood	5.0	(4.1)	4.6	(4.0)	5.5	(4.2)	0.8 (55)	.387	.232	.13
Alterations in arousal and reactivity	6.7	(3.1)	7.1	(3.4)	6.2	(2.7)	1.0 (55)	.300	.277	.19
Global severity	18.0	(10.1)	16.7	(10.0)	19.6	(10.2)	1.1 (55)	.273	.293	.19
Symptom interference in daily life areas	8.2	(5.2)	7.9	(5.5)	8.6	(5.0)	0.4 (55)	.649	.122	.08
	N	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)	χ^2 (df)	p	Phi	
Post-traumatic stress disorder diagnosis	15	(26.3)	6	(19.4)	9	(34.6)	1.6 (1)	.193	.173	.12

treatment in 43.9% ($n = 25$) of patients: 61.5% ($n = 16$) of women and 29% ($n = 9$) of men. Regarding addiction severity assessed by the EuropASI, men showed higher scores in drug use ($t = 2.2$, $p < .033$) and legal problem area ($t = 2.6$, $p < .012$) than women, with moderate effect sizes. Moreover, women presented a higher prevalence of previous psychopharmacological treatments than men ($\chi^2 = 6.4$, $p = .014$), with a low effect size. Regarding psychopathological variables assessed by the SCL-90-R, men obtained significantly higher scores on the hostility dimension ($t = 3.3$, $p = .0002$), with a large effect size.

Comparisons of Trauma-related Variables by Gender

Most patients had been victims of more than one traumatic event ($n = 47$, 82.5%) and had experienced the traumatic event during childhood or adolescence ($n = 43$, 75.4%), without gender differences. Moreover, 26.3% ($n = 15$) of the sample presented a diagnosis of PTSD. The results showed a differential profile in trauma-related variables between men and women (Table 3). Regarding the type of abuse, more women ($n = 20$, 76.9%) than men ($n = 5$, 16.1%) had experienced at least one event of sexual abuse, with a significant gender difference ($\chi^2 = 21.2$, $p < .001$) and a moderate effect size. Most of men had been victims of physical abuse ($n = 26$, 83.9%). On the other hand, women presented a higher frequency of revictimization to another traumatic event than men ($\chi^2 = 15.0$, $p < .001$), with a moderate effect size, and a higher presence of avoidance symptoms as a consequence of having experienced the traumatic event ($t = 2.1$, $p < .037$), with a moderate effect size.

Regarding violence perpetration against people, men (80.6%, $n = 25$) presented a higher percentage than women (38.5%, $n = 10$), which was significantly different ($\chi^2 = 10.6$, $p = .001$) with a low effect size.

Variables Related to Gender

The logistic regression analysis showed that the three variables that best differentiated women from men were having experienced sexual abuse, alcohol as the substance that motivated treatment,

higher scores in depression and lower paranoid ideation scores (SCL-90-R). These three variables explained 79.6% of the variance and correctly classified 87.3% of the patients (Table 4).

Table 4. Variables Related to Gender

Logistic Regression			
Dependent variable = gender, 0 = men, 1 = women			
(n = 55)			
Variable	OR	p	95% CI
Type of principal abuse (sexual)	126.1	.000	(8.2, 1947.6)
Substance motivating treatment (alcohol)	9.3	.031	(1.2, 70.8)
Depression (SCL-90-R)	9.5	.015	(1.5, 58.4)
Paranoid ideation (SCL-90-R)	0.1	.007	(0.1, 0.5)
Constant	0.1	.013	
Adjusted R ²			.796
% Correctly classified			87.3

Note. SCL-90-R = Symptom Checklist.

Discussion

This study supports the existence of a differential profile between women and men in treatment for SUD who have experienced lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse, similar to previous findings (Lipschitz et al., 2000; Livingston et al., 2021; Sharif et al., 2020). Specifically, as hypothesized, women presented a more severe profile than men regarding trauma characteristics and consequences. This more severe profile in women who have experienced trauma has also been reported in the general population (Cunradi et al., 2020), in people who use drugs (Mittra et al., 2021) and in patients with co-occurring SUD, such as veterans or patients with severe mental illness (Lipschitz et al., 2000; Sharif et al., 2020). The main contribution of this study is that these gender differences have been found in patients who seek treatment for SUD and who have suffered lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse.

With regard to the severity of consumption and psychopathological characteristics, women presented alcohol as the substance

that motivated treatment more frequently and more previous psychopharmacological treatments than men. Therefore, women with SUD and lifetime physical and/or sexual abuse seem to seek help for their psychopathological problems more frequently than men (Estancial et al., 2018; Simoni-Wastila, 2000), opposite to what usually happens in the addiction centres, where women face barriers in access to treatment (Taylor, 2010). However, they presented lower drug consumption and legal problems than men. These results obtained in patients with histories of abuse are in line with those found in general patients in SUD treatment (Fernández-Montalvo et al., 2014; Santos-de-Pascual et al., 2020).

Regarding the characteristics and consequences of the traumatic event, women presented a greater presence of sexual abuse and a higher probability of revictimization to another traumatic event than men. In this sense, previous studies have shown that women who present lifetime sexual abuse and substance abuse have a higher probability of revictimization due to the effect of consumption (Filipas & Ullman, 2006; Klanecky et al., 2008; Ullman et al., 2009). Similar results were found in this study.

One of the greatest predictors of chronification of psychopathological traumatic consequences is the use of avoidance coping strategies (Min et al., 2007), such as substance abuse (Shuai et al., 2022) and misuse of psychopharmacological treatments. This relationship has been traditionally explained by self-medication theory. It is one of the main explanations for the relationship between suffering a potentially traumatic event and the development of problematic substance use (Khantjian, 1997). In this study, women showed more severe avoidance symptoms and a higher rate of previous psychopharmacological treatments than men. Substance use could be a maladaptive coping skill related to the traumatic event. These specific characteristics could jeopardize SUD treatment progress in women. In this sense, other adaptive coping strategies should be provided to prevent dropout from SUD treatment (López-Goñi et al., 2021).

From a multivariate perspective, women showed higher presence of lifetime sexual abuse, alcohol as the principal substance that motivated treatment compared with other drugs, higher scores in depression and lower paranoid ideation scores. Thus, the gender perspective is relevant in patients in SUD treatment who have experienced physical and/or sexual abuse.

This study had some limitations. First, the size of the sample was limited. Future studies should replicate this research with larger samples. A larger sample would enable a deeper exploration of gender differences in trauma characteristics and consequences in patients receiving SUD treatment and a greater generalizability of the results. This is especially important in those variables in which a statistical power under .80 has been obtained (drug use and legal problems, symptom avoidance, psychopharmacological treatments). Second, this study included patients from only two treatment centres in Navarra, Spain, which might have introduced a bias that prevents us from generalizing the findings of the study to other contexts. Third, as this investigation was a cross-sectional study, a causal relationship cannot be established between having experienced physical and/or sexual abuse and the development of a substance use disorder.

One strength of this study is that the participants presented two very specific conditions: SUD and lifetime abuse. These specific patients are difficult to find and recruit, especially women. This research fills a gap in scientific knowledge and provides a first step for future research.

In summary, the gender perspective in patients in SUD treatment with lifetime abuse remains a neglected aspect that needs in-depth research. The results of this study provide valuable information to the field. Patients could benefit from integrated treatments that consider both problematic areas (Ouimette et al., 2000; Roberts et al., 2015; Simpson et al., 2017). As the results of this study suggest, this is very relevant for women due to their more severe profile compared to men. Trauma-informed practice in SUD treatment

programmes should be promoted (Ouimette et al., 2000). This implies a paradigm shift in the culture of SUD treatment centres. First, it is necessary to recognize the differential profile of this population and its prevalence among SUD patients. And second, to apply specific interventions to address these differences and reduce the risk of revictimization (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2014). In conclusion, the inclusion of a gender perspective practice in SUD treatments would benefit women who have suffered lifetime abuse.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff of the “Proyecto Hombre Navarra” and “ANTOX” programmes for their help with assessing the clinical sample.

Note

Data supporting the findings of this study are not openly available due to them containing information that could compromise research participant privacy/consent.

References

- Afifi, T. O., A Henriksen, C., Asmundson, G. J. G., & Sareen, J. (2012). Childhood maltreatment and substance use disorders among men and women in a nationally representative sample. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(11), 677-686. <https://doi.org/10.1177/070674371205701105>
- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Press.
- Armstrong, J. L., Ronzitti, S., Hoff, R. A., & Potenza, M. N. (2018). Gender moderates the relationship between stressful life events and psychopathology: Findings from a national study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 107, 34-41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.09.012>
- Bailey, K., Trevillion, K., & Gilchrist, G. (2019). What works for whom and why: A narrative systematic review of interventions for reducing post-traumatic stress disorder and problematic substance use among women with experiences of interpersonal violence. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 99, 88-103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2018.12.007>
- Bailey, K., Trevillion, K., & Gilchrist, G. (2020). “We have to put the fire out first before we start rebuilding the house”: practitioners' experiences of supporting women with histories of substance use, interpersonal abuse and symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Addiction Research and Theory*, 28(4), 289-297. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16066359.2019.1644323>
- Bobes, J., Bascarán, M. T., Bobes-Bascarán, M. T., Carballo, J. L., Díaz, E. M., Flórez, G., García-Portilla, M. P., & Sáiz, P. A. (2008). *Valoración de la gravedad de la adicción: aplicación a la gestión clínica y monitorización de los tratamientos* [Assessment of the severity of addiction: application to clinical management and treatment monitoring]. Barcelona: Socidrogalcohol.
- Bobes, J., González, M. P., Sáiz, P. A., & Bousoño, M. (1996). Índice europeo de severidad de la adicción: EuropASI. Versión española. [European Severity Index of Addiction: EuropASI. Spanish version]. *Actas de La IV Reunión Interregional de Psiquiatría*, 201-218.
- Brems, C., Johnson, M. E., Neal, D., & Freeman, M. (2004). Childhood abuse history and substance use among men and women receiving detoxification services. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 30(4), 799-821. <https://doi.org/10.1081/ADA-200037546>
- Campbell, J. A., Walker, R. J., & Egede, L. E. (2016). Associations between adverse childhood experiences, high-risk behaviors, and morbidity in adulthood. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 50(3), 344-352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.07.022>
- Christiansen, D. M., & Hansen, M. (2015). Accounting for sex differences in PTSD: A multi-variable mediation model. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 6(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v6.26068>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale
- Cunradi, C. B., Caetano, R., Alter, H. J., & Ponicki, W. R. (2020). Adverse childhood experiences are associated with at-risk drinking, cannabis and illicit drug use in females but not males: an Emergency Department

- study. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 46(6), 739-748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990.2020.1823989>
- Daigre, C., Rodríguez-Cintas, L., Tarifa, N., Rodríguez-Martos, L., Grau-López, L., Berenguer, M., Casas, M., & Roncero, C. (2015). History of sexual, emotional or physical abuse and psychiatric comorbidity in substance-dependent patients. *Psychiatry Research*, 229(3), 743-749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.08.008>
- Danielson, C. K., Amstader, A. B., Dangelmaier, R. E., Resnick, H. S., Saunders, B. E., & Kilpatrick, D. G. (2009). Trauma-related risk factors for substance abuse among male versus female young adults. *Addictive Behaviors*, 34(4), 395-399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.11.009>
- Derogatis, L. R. (1992). *The SCL-90-R*. Clinical Psychometric Research.
- Echeburúa, E., Amor, P. J., Sarasua, B., Zubizarreta, I., Holgado-Tello, F. P., & Muñoz, J. M. (2016). Escala de Gravedad de Síntomas Revisada (EGS-R) del Trastorno de estrés posttraumático según el DSM-5: propiedades psicométricas [Posttraumatic stress disorder symptom Severity Scale-Revised by DSM-5: Psychometric properties]. *Terapia Psicológica*, 34(2), 111-128. <https://doi.org/110.4067/S0718-48082016000200004>
- Echeburúa, E., Corral, P., & Fernández-Montalvo, J. (2000). Escala de Inadaptación (EI): Propiedades psicométricas en contextos clínicos. [Maladjustment Scale: Psychometric properties in clinical contexts]. *Análisis y Modificación de Conducta*, 26(107), 325-340.
- Estancal, C. S., Soares, R. C., Goldbaum, M., & De Azevedo, M. B. (2018). Psychotropic use patterns: Are there differences between men and women? *Plos One*, 13(11), Article e0207921. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207921>
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A. G., & Buchner, A. (2007) G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175-91. <https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03193146>
- Fernández-Montalvo, J., López-Goñi, J. J., & Arteaga, A. (2015). Psychological, physical, and sexual abuse in addicted patients who undergo treatment. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 30(8), 1279-1298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260514539843>
- Fernández-Montalvo, J., López-Goñi, J. J., Arteaga, A., Cacho, R., & Azanza, P. (2017). Therapeutic progression in abused women following a drug-addiction treatment program. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 32(13), 2046-2056. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260515591980>
- Fernández-Montalvo, J., López-Goñi, J. J., Azanza, P., & Cacho, R. (2014). Gender differences in drug-addicted patients in a clinical treatment center of Spain. *The American Journal on Addictions*, 23, 399-406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.12117.x>
- Filipas, H. H., & Ullman, S. E. (2006). Child sexual abuse, coping responses, self-blame, posttraumatic stress disorder, and adult sexual revictimization. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 21(5), 652-672. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260506286879>
- Glass, G. V., & Stanley, J. C. (1970) *Statistical methods in education and psychology*. Prentice Hall.
- Grundmann, J., Lincoln, T. M., Lüdecke, D., Bong, S., Schulte, B., Verthein, U., & Schäfer, I. (2018). Traumatic experiences, revictimization and posttraumatic stress disorder in German inpatients treated for alcohol dependence. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 53(4), 677-685. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10826084.2017.1361997>
- Haller, M., & Chassin, L. (2014). Risk pathways among traumatic stress, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, and alcohol and drug problems: A test of four hypotheses. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 28(3), 841-851. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035878>
- Haro, B., López-Goñi, J. J., Fernández-Montalvo, J., & Arteaga, A. (2021). Prevalence and differential profile of patients with substance use disorder who have suffered physical and/or sexual abuse. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 37(15-16), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08862605211001463>
- Hosmer, D. W., & Lemeshow, S. (2013) *Applied Logistic Regression*. Wiley.
- Khantzian, E. J. (1997). The self-medication hypothesis of substance use disorders: A reconsideration and recent applications. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 4(5), 231-244. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10673229709030550>
- Klancey, A. K., Harrington, J., & McChargue, D. E. (2008). Child sexual abuse, dissociation, and alcohol: Implications of chemical dissociation via blackouts among college women. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 34(3), 277-284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990802013441>
- Kok, T., de Haan, H., van der Meer, M., Najavits, L., & de Jong, C. (2015). Assessing traumatic experiences in screening for PTSD in substance use disorder patients: What is the gain in addition to PTSD symptoms? *Psychiatry Research*, 226(1), 328-332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.01.014>
- Kokkevi, A., & Hartgers, C. (1995). European adaptation of a multidimensional assessment instrument for drug and alcohol dependence. *European Addiction Research*, 1(4), 208-210. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000259089>
- Lipschitz, D. S., Grilo, C. M., Fehon, D., McGlashan, T. M., & Southwick, S. M. (2000). Gender differences in the associations between posttraumatic stress symptoms and problematic substance use in psychiatric inpatient adolescents. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 188(6), 349-356. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-200006000-00005>
- Livingston, N. A., Lee, D. J., Mahoney, C. T., Farmer, S. L., Cole, T., Marx, B. P., & Keane, T. M. (2021). Longitudinal assessment of PTSD and illicit drug use among male and female OEF-OIF veterans. *Addictive Behaviors*, 118, Article 106870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2021.106870>
- López-Goñi, J. J., Fernández-Montalvo, J., & Arteaga, A. (2012). Predictive validity of the EuropASI: Clinical diagnosis or composite scoring? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 42(4), 392-399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2011.09.011>
- López-Goñi, J. J., Haro, B., Fernández-Montalvo, J., & Arteaga, A. (2021). Impact of a trauma intervention on reducing dropout from substance use disorder treatment. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 13(8), 847-855. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0001127>
- Lotzin, A., Haupt, L., von Schönfels, J., Wingenfeld, K., & Schäfer, I. (2016). Profiles of childhood trauma in patients with alcohol dependence and their associations with addiction-related problems. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 40(3), 543-552. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.12990>
- McLellan, A., Luborsky, L., Cacciola, J., Griffith, J., Evans, F., Barr, H., & O'Brien, C. (1985). New data from the Addiction Severity Index: Reliability and validity in three centers. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 173(7), 412-423. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-198507000-00005>
- McLellan, A. T., Luborsky, L., Woody, G. E., & O'Brien, C. P. (1980). An improved diagnostic evaluation instrument for substance abuse patients. The Addiction Severity Index. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 168(1), 26-33. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005053-198001000-00006>
- Mesa-Gresa, P., & Moya-Albiol, L. (2011). Neurobiología del maltrato infantil: el "ciclo de la violencia." *Revista de Neurología*, 52(8), 489-503. <https://doi.org/10.33588/rn.5208.2009256>
- Min, M., Farkas, K., Minnes, S., & Singer, L. T. (2007). Impact of childhood abuse and neglect on substance abuse and psychological distress in adulthood. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 20(5), 833-844. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20250>
- Mitra, S., Lee, W., Hayashi, K., Boyd, J., Milloy, M. J., Dong, H., Wood, E., & Kerr, T. (2021). A gender comparative analysis of post-traumatic stress disorder among a community-based cohort of people who use drugs in Vancouver, Canada. *Addictive Behaviors*, 115, Article 106793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2020.106793>
- Müller, M., Vandeleur, C., Rodgers, S., Rössler, W., Castela, E., Preisig, M., & Ajdacic-Gross, V. (2015). Posttraumatic stress avoidance symptoms as mediators in the development of alcohol use disorders after exposure to childhood sexual abuse in a Swiss community sample. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 46, 8-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.03.006>
- Olaya, B., Alonso, J., Atwoli, L., Kessler, R. C., Vilagut, G., & Haro, J. M. (2015). Association between traumatic events and post-traumatic stress disorder: results from the ESEMeD-Spain study. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 24(2), 172-183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.03.040>
- Ouimette, P. C., Kimerling, R., Shaw, J., & Moos, R. H. (2000). Physical and sexual abuse among women and men with substance use disorders. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, 18(3), 7-17. https://doi.org/10.1300/J020v18n03_02
- Potthast, N., Neuner, F., & Catani, C. (2014). The contribution of emotional maltreatment to alcohol dependence in a treatment-seeking sample. *Addictive Behaviors*, 39(5), 949-958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.01.015>
- Proctor, L. J., Lewis, T., Roesch, S., Thompson, R., Litrownik, A. J., English, D., Arria, A. M., Isbell, P., & Dubowitz, H. (2017). Child maltreatment and age of alcohol and marijuana initiation in high-risk youth. *Addictive Behaviors*, 75, 64-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2017.06.021>
- Rea, L. M., & Parker, R. A. (1992). *Designing and conducting survey research*. Jossey-Boss.
- Roberts, N. P., Roberts, P. A., Jones, N., & Bisson, J. I. (2015). Psychological interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder and comorbid substance use disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 38, 25-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.02.007>
- Santos-de Pascual, A., Saura-Garre, P., & López-Soler, C. (2020). Mental health in people with substance use disorder: differential aspects between men and women. *Anales de Psicología*, 36(3), 443-450. <https://doi.org/110.6018/analesps.36.3.399291>
- Sharif, N., Karasavva, V., & Farrell, S. (2020). One size doesn't fit all: gender differences in trauma, PTSD, substance use and the SMI-PTSD conceptual model in persons with severe mental illness in assertive community treatment. *Psychosis*, 13(2), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17522439.2020.1839123>
- Shuai, R., Bravo, A. J., Anker, J. J., Kushner, M. G., & Hogarth, L. (2022) The direct effect of drinking to cope on alcohol problems is not mediated by alcohol consumption: Invariance across gender and countries. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 16(1), Article 100469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2022.100469>
- Simoni-Wastila, L. (2000). The use of abusable prescription drugs: The role of gender. *Journal of Women's Health & Gender-Based Medicine*, 9(3), 289-297. <https://doi.org/10.1089/1524609000318470>
- Simpson, T. L., Lehavot, K., & Petrakis, I. L. (2017). No wrong doors: Findings from a critical review of behavioral randomized clinical trials for individuals with co-occurring alcohol/drug problems and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 41(4), 681-702. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13325>
- Smith, K. Z., Smith, P. H., & Grekin, E. R. (2014). Childhood sexual abuse, distress, and alcohol-related problems: Moderation by drinking to

- cope. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 28(2), 532-537. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035381>
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). *SAMHSA's Concept of trauma and guidance for a trauma-informed approach* (HHS Publication No. SMA 14-4884). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health. <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/sma14-4884.pdf>
- Sullivan, T. P., Cavanaugh, C. E., & Buckner, J. D. (2009). Testing posttraumatic stress as a mediator of physical, sexual, and psychological intimate partner violence and substance problems among women. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 22(6), 575-584. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts>
- Taylor, O. D. (2010). Barriers to treatment for women with substance use disorders. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 20(3), 393-409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10911351003673310>
- Tolin, D. F., & Foa, E. B. (2006). Sex differences in trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: A quantitative review of 25 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(6), 959-992. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.6.959>
- Ullman, S. E., Filipas, H. H., Townsend, S. M., & Starzynski, L. L. (2005). Trauma exposure, posttraumatic stress disorder and problem drinking in sexual assault survivors. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 66(5), 610-619. <https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.2005.66.610>
- Ullman, S. E., Najdowski, C. J., & Filipas, H. H. (2009). Child sexual abuse, post-traumatic stress disorder, and substance use: Predictors of revictimization in adult sexual assault survivors. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse*, 18(4), 367-385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10538710903035263>
- Ullman, S. E., Relyea, M., Peter-Hagene, L., & Vasquez, A. L. (2013). Trauma histories, substance use coping, PTSD, and problem substance use among sexual assault victims. *Addictive Behaviors*, 38(6), 2219-2223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.01.027>
- Ullman, S. E., & Sigurvinsdottir, R. (2015). Intimate partner violence and drinking among victims of adult sexual assault. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 24(2), 117-130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10926771.2015.996312>
- Vaszari, J. M., Bradford, S., Callahan O'Leary, C., Ben Abdallah, A., & Cottler, L. B. (2011). Risk factors for suicidal ideation in a population of community-recruited female cocaine users. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 52(3), 238-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2010.07.003>
- Zaorska, J., & Jakubczyk, A. (2019). The prevalence and significance of childhood trauma in alcohol-dependent patients. *Alcoholism & Drug Addiction*, 32(2), 132-152. <https://doi.org/10.5114/ain.2019.87628>